

**Question 1.** (1) [Filtered Probability Space] A filtration over a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  is a increasing sequence  $\{\mathcal{F}_t : t \geq 0\}$  of sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{F}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{F}_s \subseteq \mathcal{F}_t \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  for  $0 \leq s < t$ . A filtered probability space is a probability space equipped with a filtration.

[Stopping Time] Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \{\mathcal{F}_t\})$  be a filtered probability space. An  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ -stopping time is a random time  $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that  $\{\tau \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$  for every  $t \geq 0$ .

[Continuous Time Submartingale] A real-valued stochastic process  $\{X_t : t \geq 0\}$  is called a continuous time submartingale if for every  $t \geq 0$ ,  $X_t$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable and integrable, and for every  $0 \leq s < t$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] \geq X_s$ .

[Optional Sampling Theorem] Let  $\{X_t, \mathcal{F}_t\}$  be a right continuous submartingale, and let  $\sigma, \tau$  be two bounded  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ -stopping times such that  $\sigma \leq \tau$ . Then the optional sampling theorem asserts that  $\{X_\sigma, \mathcal{F}_\sigma; X_\tau, \mathcal{F}_\tau\}$  is a two-step submartingale.

(2) From the optional sampling theorem, we know that

$$X_{\tau \wedge s} \leq \mathbb{E}[X_{\tau \wedge t} | \mathcal{F}_{\tau \wedge s}] = \mathbb{E}[X_{\tau \wedge t} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq s\}} | \mathcal{F}_{\tau \wedge s}] + \mathbb{E}[X_{\tau \wedge t} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} | \mathcal{F}_{\tau \wedge s}]$$

for  $s \leq t$ . First term equals  $\mathbb{E}[X_{\tau \wedge t} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq s\}} | \mathcal{F}_s]$  since  $X_{\tau \wedge t} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq s\}} = X_{\tau \wedge s} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq s\}}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{\tau \wedge s}$ -measurable. The second term equals  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} \mathbb{E}[X_{\tau \wedge t} | \mathcal{F}_s] | \mathcal{F}_{\tau \wedge s}]$ , where the integrand

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} \mathbb{E}[X_{\tau \wedge t} | \mathcal{F}_s] \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau \wedge s}.$$

Therefore,

$$X_{\tau \wedge s} \leq \mathbb{E}[X_{\tau \wedge t} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq s\}} | \mathcal{F}_s] + \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} \mathbb{E}[X_{\tau \wedge s} | \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[X_{\tau \wedge t} | \mathcal{F}_s].$$

(3) (i) Since

$$u(\lambda, x) = e^{\frac{1}{2}x^2} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\lambda)^2},$$

we can see that

$$H_n(x) = e^{\frac{1}{2}x^2} \cdot \left. \frac{d}{d\lambda} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\lambda)^2} \right|_{\lambda=0} = (-1)^n e^{\frac{1}{2}x^2} \frac{d}{dx} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}.$$

(ii) Given  $0 \leq s < t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{\lambda B_t - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 t} | \mathcal{F}_s^B \right] &= e^{\lambda B_s - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 s} \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{\lambda(B_t - B_s) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2(t-s)} | \mathcal{F}_s^B \right] \\ &= e^{\lambda B_s - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 s}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the process  $e^{\lambda B_t - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 t}$  is an  $\{\mathcal{F}_t^B\}$ -martingale.

Now if we write

$$e^{\lambda B_t - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 t} = e^{(\lambda\sqrt{t}) \cdot \left(\frac{B_t}{\sqrt{t}}\right) - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda\sqrt{t})^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n t^{\frac{n}{2}}}{n!} H_n \left( \frac{B_t}{\sqrt{t}} \right),$$

the martingale property says that for  $0 \leq s < t$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \mathbb{E} \left[ t^{\frac{n}{2}} H_n \left( \frac{B_t}{\sqrt{t}} \right) | \mathcal{F}_s^B \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} s^{\frac{n}{2}} H_n \left( \frac{B_s}{\sqrt{s}} \right).$$

Since this is true for all  $\lambda$ , by comparing the coefficients of  $\lambda^n$ , we conclude that the process  $t^{\frac{n}{2}} H_n(B_t/\sqrt{t})$  is an  $\{\mathcal{F}_t^B\}$ -martingale.

(iii) From part (3) (i), we can easily see that

$$H_2(x) = x^2 - 1, \quad H_4(x) = x^4 - 6x^2 + 3.$$

Therefore, the processes

$$\begin{aligned} M_t^{(2)} &\triangleq B_t^2 - t, \\ M_t^{(4)} &\triangleq B_t^4 - 6tB_t^2 + 3t^2 \end{aligned}$$

are  $\{\mathcal{F}_t^B\}$ -martingales. According to the optional sampling theorem, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[B_{\tau_r \wedge t}^2] = \tau_r \wedge t, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[B_{\tau_r}^2] = r^2 = \mathbb{E}[\tau_r].$$

Similarly,

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{\tau_r}^{(4)}] = r^4 - 6r^2\mathbb{E}[\tau_r] + 3\mathbb{E}[\tau_r^2] = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau_r^2] = \frac{6r^2\mathbb{E}[\tau_r] - r^4}{3} = \frac{5r^4}{3}.$$

**Question 2.** (1) [Itô's formula] Let  $X_t = (X_t^1, \dots, X_t^d)$  be a vector of  $d$  continuous semimartingales. Suppose that  $F \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then  $F(X_t)$  is a continuous semimartingale given by

$$F(X_t) = F(X_0) + \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i}(X_s) dX_s^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}(X_s) d\langle X^i, X^j \rangle_s.$$

[Girsanov's theorem] Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \{\mathcal{F}_t\})$  be a filtered probability space which satisfies the usual conditions, and let  $B_t = (B_t^1, \dots, B_t^d)$  be a  $d$ -dimensional  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ -Brownian motion. Suppose that  $X_t = (X_t^1, \dots, X_t^d)$  is a stochastic process in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $X^i \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(B^i)$  for each  $i$ . Define

$$\mathcal{E}^X \triangleq \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t X_s^i dB_s^i - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |X_s|^2 ds \right), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Assume that  $\{\mathcal{E}_t^X, \mathcal{F}_t : t \geq 0\}$  is a martingale. For each given  $T > 0$ , we define a probability measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T$  on  $\mathcal{F}_T$  by

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T(A) \triangleq \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_A \mathcal{E}_T^X], \quad A \in \mathcal{F}_T.$$

Define the process  $\tilde{B}_t = (\tilde{B}_t^1, \dots, \tilde{B}_t^d)$  by

$$\tilde{B}_t^i \triangleq B_t^i - \int_0^t X_s^i ds, \quad t \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

Then for each  $T > 0$ ,  $\{\tilde{B}_t, \mathcal{F}_t : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$  is a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion under  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T$ .

(2) (i) Define

$$X_t \triangleq \exp \left( \int_0^t \sigma_s dB_s + \int_0^t \left( \mu_s - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_s^2 \right) ds \right), \quad t \geq 0.$$

From Itô's formula, we see immediately that  $X_t$  satisfies the desired integral equation.

Now suppose that  $Y_t$  is another continuous semimartingale that also satisfies the integral equation. Let

$$Z_t \triangleq Y_t X_t^{-1} = Y_t \exp \left( - \int_0^t \sigma_s dB_s - \int_0^t \left( \mu_s - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_s^2 \right) ds \right)$$

Itô's formula again, or more precisely, the integration by parts formula, will imply that the martingale part and the bounded variation part of the continuous semimartingale  $Z_t$  are identically zero. Therefore,

$$Z_t = Z_0 = 1,$$

which shows that  $Y_t = X_t$ . In other words,  $X_t$  is the unique continuous semimartingale which satisfies the integral equation.

(ii) First of all, we know that

$$X_t - 1 - \int_0^t X_s \mu_s ds = \int_0^t X_s \sigma_s dB_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

is a continuous local martingale under  $\mathbb{P}$ . Suppose we want to find a process  $q_t$  which is used to define the change of measure in the way that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T(A) \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \int_0^T q_s dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T q_s^2 ds \right) \mathbf{1}_A \right], \quad A \in \mathcal{F}_T.$$

Then we know from Theorem 5.16 in the lecture notes that the process

$$\int_0^t X_s \sigma_s dB_s - \int_0^t q_s X_s \sigma_s ds = X_t - 1 - \int_0^t X_s \mu_s ds - \int_0^t q_s X_s \sigma_s ds$$

is a continuous local martingale under  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T$  (provided that the exponential martingale is a true martingale so that  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T$  is a probability measure). Now we want this process to be  $X_t - 1$ , therefore we just need to choose

$$q_t \triangleq -\mu_t \sigma_t^{-1}.$$

Since  $\mu_t$  is uniformly bounded and  $\sigma \geq C$ , in this way we can see easily that Novikov's condition holds for the continuous local martingale  $\int_0^t q_s dB_s$ , which verifies that the exponential martingale is a true martingale.

(3) In matrix notation, we need to solve

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix},$$

which gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, define

$$\mathbb{Q}(A) \triangleq \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{1}_A \exp(-3B_T^1 + B_T^2 - 5T)], \quad A \in \mathcal{F}_T,$$

and

$$\tilde{B}_t \triangleq B_t - \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

It follows that under  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\tilde{B}_t$  is a Brownian motion, and  $X_t$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} d\tilde{B}_t, & 0 \leq t \leq T; \\ X_0 = y, \end{cases}$$

which is apparently a martingale.

**Question 3.** (1) [Exactness] We say that the SDE is exact if on any given set-up  $((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \{\mathcal{F}_t\}), \xi, B_t)$ , there exists exactly one (up to indistinguishability) continuous,  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ -adapted  $n$ -dimensional process  $X_t$ , such that with probability one,

$$\int_0^t (\|\alpha(s, X)\|^2 + \|\beta(s, X)\|) ds < \infty, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (a)$$

and

$$X_t = \xi + \int_0^t \alpha(s, X) dB_s + \int_0^t \beta(s, X) ds, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (b)$$

[Weak Solution] Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We say that the SDE has a weak solution with initial distribution  $\mu$  if there exists a set-up  $((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \{\mathcal{F}_t\}), \xi, B_t)$  together with a continuous,  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ -adapted  $n$ -dimensional process  $X_t$ , such that

- (i)  $\xi$  has distribution  $\mu$ ;
- (ii)  $X_t$  satisfies (a) and (b).

If for every probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the SDE has a weak solution with initial distribution  $\mu$ , we say that it has a weak solution.

[Pathwise Uniqueness] We say that pathwise uniqueness holds for the SDE if the following statement is true. Given any set-up  $((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \{\mathcal{F}_t\}), \xi, B_t)$ , if  $X_t$  and  $X'_t$  are two continuous,  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ -adapted  $n$ -dimensional process satisfying (a) and (b), then  $\mathbb{P}(X_t = X'_t \forall t \geq 0) = 1$ .

- (2) (i) Let  $\tilde{B}_t$  be the reflection of  $B_t$  at  $x = 1$  defined by

$$\tilde{B}_t \triangleq \begin{cases} B_t, & t < \tau_1; \\ 2 - B_t, & t \geq \tau_1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\tilde{S}_t \triangleq \sup_{s \leq t} \tilde{B}_s$ . From the reflection principle, we know that  $\tilde{B}_t$  is also a Brownian motion. Now observe that  $\{S_t \geq 1\} = \{\tilde{S}_t \geq 1\}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\tau_1 \leq t) &= \mathbb{P}(S_t \geq 1) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(S_t \geq 1, B_t \leq 1) + \mathbb{P}(S_t \geq 1, B_t > 1) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\tilde{S}_t \geq 1, \tilde{B}_t \leq 1) + \mathbb{P}(S_t \geq 1, B_t > 1) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(S_t \geq 1, B_t \geq 1) + \mathbb{P}(S_t \geq 1, B_t > 1) \\ &= 2\mathbb{P}(B_t \geq 1) \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_1^\infty e^{-\frac{u^2}{2t}} du. \end{aligned}$$

By differentiation, we arrive at

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_1 \in dt) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2t}} dt, \quad t > 0. \quad (c)$$

(ii) Let  $\tau_0 \triangleq \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t = 0\}$ , and define

$$Y_t \triangleq X_t^{-1/2}, \quad t < \tau_0 \wedge e.$$

According to Itô's formula, we conclude that

$$dY_t = dB_t, \quad t < \tau_0 \wedge e.$$

This in particular implies that  $\tau_0 = \infty$  almost surely and therefore we have

$$X_t = \frac{1}{(1 + B_t)^2}, \quad t < e.$$

It follows that  $e = \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t = -1\}$ . From the density formula (c) for  $e$ , it is easy to see that

$$\mathbb{P}(e < \infty) = 1, \quad \mathbb{E}[e] = \infty.$$

(3) Let  $\tau_0 \triangleq \{t \geq 0 : X_t = 0\}$ , and define

$$Y_t \triangleq X_t^{-\theta}, \quad t < \tau_0 \wedge e.$$

It follows from Itô's formula that

$$dY_t = \left( -\theta\alpha + \left( \frac{\theta(\theta+1)}{2} \gamma^2 - \theta\beta \right) Y_t \right) dt - \theta\gamma Y_t dB_t, \quad t < \tau_0 \wedge e.$$

Therefore, if we define

$$Z_t \triangleq \exp \left( \gamma B_t + \left( \beta - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \right) t \right),$$

then

$$Y_t = Z_t^{-\theta} \left( Y_0 - \alpha\theta \int_0^t Z_s^\theta ds \right), \quad t < \tau_0 \wedge e.$$

This implies that  $\tau_0 = \infty$  almost surely, and we have

$$X_t = Z_t \left( x^{-\theta} - \alpha\theta \int_0^t Z_s^\theta ds \right)^{-\frac{1}{\theta}}, \quad t < e.$$

In particular, we conclude that

$$e = \inf \left\{ t \geq 0 : x^{-\theta} - \alpha\theta \int_0^t Z_s^\theta ds = 0 \right\}.$$

If  $\alpha \leq 0$ , it is apparent that

$$x^{-\theta} - \alpha\theta \int_0^t Z_s^\theta ds > 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Therefore,  $\mathbb{P}(e = \infty) = 1$ .

**Question 4.** (1) According to Green's theorem in calculus, the value of the integral

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (x_s dy_s - y_s dx_s)$$

is the geometric (signed) area enclosed by the path  $\{\gamma_s : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$  and the segment connecting  $\gamma_0, \gamma_t$ .

(2) (i) The solution is given by

$$g(s) = \sqrt{2\alpha} \cdot \frac{e^{2\sqrt{2\alpha}(s-t)} - 1}{e^{2\sqrt{2\alpha}(s-t)} + 1}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t.$$

(ii) According to part (i), we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( -\alpha \int_0^t b_s^2 ds \right) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ - \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g'(s) b_s^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g^2(s) b_s^2 ds \right) \right].$$

Now let  $F(s, x) \triangleq g(s)x^2$ . By applying Itô's formula to  $F(s, b_s)$ , we obtain

$$\int_0^t g'(s) b_s^2 ds + 2 \int_0^t g(s) b_s db_s + \int_0^t g(s) ds = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( -\alpha \int_0^t b_s^2 ds \right) \right] = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \int_0^t g(s) b_s db_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g^2(s) b_s^2 ds \right) \right].$$

On the other hand, it is apparent that

$$\exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g^2(s) b_s^2 ds \right) \leq \exp \left( \alpha \int_0^t b_s^2 ds \right).$$

By assumption ( $\alpha$  is small), the martingale  $\{\int_0^s g(u) b_u db_u : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$  satisfies Novikov's condition. Therefore, the exponential martingale

$$\exp \left( \int_0^s g(u) b_u db_u - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s g^2(u) b_u^2 du \right), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t,$$

is a true martingale. In particular, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( -\alpha \int_0^t b_s^2 ds \right) \right] &= \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{1 + e^{2\sqrt{2\alpha}t}}{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{\frac{\sqrt{2\alpha}}{2}t}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) First of all, we know that  $\rho_t$  satisfies the SDE

$$\begin{aligned} d\rho_t &= 2(B_t^1 dB_t^1 + B_t^2 dB_t^2) + 2dt \\ &= 2\sqrt{\rho_t} db_t + 2dt, \end{aligned} \quad (d)$$

where

$$b_t \triangleq \int_0^t \frac{B_s^1 dB_s^1 + B_s^2 dB_s^2}{\sqrt{\rho_s}}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

is a Brownian motion according to Lévy's characterization theorem. On the other hand, we have

$$\langle L, b \rangle_t = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{B_s^1 B_s^2}{\sqrt{\rho_s}} ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{B_s^1 B_s^2}{\sqrt{\rho_s}} ds = 0.$$

According to Knight's theorem, we know that the processes  $W_t \triangleq L_{C_t}$  and  $b_t$  are independent Brownian motions. But we know from the Yamada-Watanabe theorem that the SDE (d) is exact. Therefore, the process  $\rho$  is a functional of  $b$ , and in particular it is measurable with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $b$ . It follows that the processes  $W$  and  $\rho$  are independent.

(iv) First of all, we have

$$\text{ch}_t(\lambda) = \mathbb{E} [e^{i\lambda W_{\langle L \rangle_t}}] = \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{E} [e^{i\lambda W_{\langle L \rangle_t}} | \mathcal{F}^\rho]],$$

where  $\mathcal{F}^\rho$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\rho$ . Since

$$\langle L \rangle_t = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t ((B_s^1)^2 + (B_s^2)^2) ds \in \mathcal{F}^\rho,$$

and conditioned on  $\mathcal{F}^\rho$ ,  $W_{\langle L \rangle_t}$  is a Gaussian random variable with mean zero and variance  $\langle L \rangle_t$ , from the result of part (iii), we conclude that

$$\text{ch}_t(\lambda) = \mathbb{E} [e^{-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \langle L \rangle_t}] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( -\frac{\lambda^2}{8} \int_0^t \rho_s ds \right) \right].$$

(v) According to part (ii), we arrive at

$$\text{ch}_t(\lambda) = \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( -\frac{\lambda^2}{8} \int_0^t b_s^2 ds \right) \right] \right)^2 = \frac{1}{\cosh(\lambda t/2)}, \quad (e)$$

at least when  $\lambda$  is small. But it is easy to see that the functions

$$\Phi(z) \triangleq \mathbb{E}[e^{zL_t}], \quad \Psi(z) \triangleq \frac{1}{\cosh(-izt/2)},$$

are holomorphic on the common domain  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  which contains the whole imaginary axis. According to the identity theorem in complex analysis, we conclude that (e) holds for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^1$ .