

- Matteo - H-convergence \rightarrow compactness
 \searrow relation with Γ -convergence

\rightarrow connection between the two def. !!!

- 1. Setup: recall: ^{that} if $(\sigma_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ symmetric \rightarrow relation between σ -convergence & minimizers of some energies [De Giorgi-Spagnolo]

\rightarrow the goal here is to have a symmetric parallelism, i.e., $\sigma_\varepsilon \leftrightarrow$ functionals F_ε, F_0 s.t.

$$F_\varepsilon \rightarrow F_0 \Leftrightarrow \sigma_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{H} \sigma$$

- let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ open, bdd [and if Ω is bounded ???]

$A \rightsquigarrow A^s, A^a$; let $\mathcal{U}(c_0, c_1, \Omega) := \left\{ \sigma \in L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}), \right.$
 $\left. \begin{aligned} &\sigma(x) \geq c_0 |\xi|^2 \\ &\sigma(x) \leq c_1 |\xi|^2 \end{aligned} \right\}$

given σ , we define:

$$\Sigma := \begin{pmatrix} (\sigma^s)^{-2} & -(\sigma^s)^{-2} \sigma^a \\ \sigma^a (\sigma^s)^{-2} & \sigma^s \sigma^a (\sigma^s)^{-2} \sigma^a \end{pmatrix}$$

symmetric,
 $2N \times 2N$
 $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & C \end{pmatrix}$

$\rightsquigarrow \Sigma_\varepsilon$ if $\sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma_\varepsilon$

We also define the functionals:

- $Q_E : L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^M) \times L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^M) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$,

by

$$Q_E(a, b) := \int_{\Omega} \Sigma_E \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} dx.$$

Then:

$$\text{grad } Q_E(a, b) = (A_E a + B_E b, B_E^T a + C_E b),$$

A_E, B_E, C_E are the blocks in Σ_E .

- $F_E : L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^M) \times H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^M) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$,

by

$$F_E(\alpha, \psi) := Q_E(\alpha, \nabla \psi)$$

- $\forall \lambda, \mu \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$, we define

$$F_E^{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha, \psi) := \begin{cases} F_E(\alpha, \psi) - \langle \mu, \psi \rangle & \text{if } -\text{div} \alpha = \lambda, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

\leadsto similarly, if M symmetric $\leadsto Q_M, F_M^{\lambda, \mu}$

- distance: in $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^M) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ defined by

$$d((\alpha, \psi), (\beta, \varphi)) := \|\alpha - \beta\|_{H^1} + \|\text{div}(\alpha - \beta)\|_{H^1} + \|\psi - \varphi\|_{L^2}$$

→ It is possible to show that the unique minimizer $(\alpha_\varepsilon, \psi_\varepsilon)$ of $F_\varepsilon^{f, \mu}$ solves:

$$(**A) \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \alpha_\varepsilon = f, \\ \int_{\Omega} (A_\varepsilon \alpha_\varepsilon + B_\varepsilon \nabla \psi_\varepsilon) \beta \, dx = 0, & \forall \beta \in L^2, \operatorname{div} \beta = 0 \\ \int_{\Omega} (B_\varepsilon^T \alpha_\varepsilon + C_\varepsilon \nabla \psi_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla \varphi = \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle & \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

• Thm:

If $u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon$ solve $(*)$, then $(a_\varepsilon + b_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon)$ solves $(**A)$, where:

$$\begin{cases} a_\varepsilon := \sigma_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon \\ b_\varepsilon := \sigma_\varepsilon^T \nabla v_\varepsilon \\ \lambda = f + g \\ u = f - g \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $(a_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon + v_\varepsilon)$ minimizes $F_\varepsilon^{f-g, f+g}$.

• Thm 1:

Let $(c_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{M}(c_0, c_1, \Omega)$, then, up to a subsequence,
 $\exists M \in L^\infty$ symmetric s.t. $F_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\Gamma(\text{id})} F_M$,
 M is positive definite & coercive.

• Thm 2:

Let $(c_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{M}(c_0, c_1, \Omega)$, $M \in L^\infty$ symmetric, pos. def. & coercive.
 Assume $F_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\Gamma(\text{id})} F_M$, then $\forall \mu, \lambda \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$,
 we have that

$$F_\varepsilon^{\lambda, \mu} \xrightarrow{\Gamma(\text{id})} F_M^{\lambda, \mu}$$

\downarrow
 $\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{L}^2 \times H_0^1)$

• 2. Cher-Koen-Gibbansky variational principle:

goal: associate to

$$\begin{cases} -\text{div}(\sigma_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon) = f \\ u_\varepsilon \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} -\text{div}(\sigma_\varepsilon^\top \nabla v_\varepsilon) = g \\ v_\varepsilon \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

a variational structure. [Next time!]

• 3) Main results:

• Thm 3.1;

Let $(\sigma_\epsilon)_\epsilon \in \mathcal{M}(c_0, c_1, \Omega)$; then, up to a subsequence,

$$\exists \sigma_0 \in \mathcal{M}(c_0, c_1, \Omega) \text{ s.t. } \begin{cases} \sigma_\epsilon \xrightarrow{H} \sigma_0 \\ \sigma_\epsilon^T \xrightarrow{H} \sigma_0^T \end{cases}$$

• Thm 3.2;

Let $(\sigma_\epsilon)_\epsilon, \sigma_0$ in $\mathcal{M}(c_0, c_1, \Omega)$.

The following are equivalent:

a) $\sigma_\epsilon \xrightarrow{H} \sigma_0$

b) $\sigma_\epsilon^T \xrightarrow{H} \sigma_0^T$

c) $F_\epsilon \xrightarrow{\Gamma(\cdot)} F_0$

d) $F_\epsilon^{\lambda, \mu} \xrightarrow{\Gamma(\cdot)} F_0^{\lambda, \mu} \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in H^{-2}(\Omega)$

convergence of
microstructures?

Proof:

• (a) \Leftrightarrow b) : thm 3.1

• c) \Rightarrow d) : thm 2

• d) \Rightarrow a) : w/ the proof of thm 3.1

• a) & b) \Rightarrow c) : by thm 1: $F_\epsilon \xrightarrow{\Gamma(\cdot)} F_M$, $M \in L^\infty$, symmetric, pos-def, coercive; we "only" need to show $M = \Sigma$.