

• Adrian - Part 2

- 2nd order linear elliptic:

$$\int_{\Omega} A\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla_x u_\varepsilon(x) \cdot \nabla_x \phi(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \phi(x) dx$$

$$u_\varepsilon \in H_0^2(\Omega).$$

→ by standard machinery, u_ε fall in H_0^2
 ↓ compactness

- $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u_0$ in H^2
- $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u_0$ in L^2
- $u_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2-5} u_0$
- $\nabla u_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2-5} \nabla u_0 + \nabla_y u_z$

→ consider the test functions

$$\phi(x) = \phi_0(x) + \varepsilon \phi_1\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

$$\rightarrow \nabla_x \phi = \nabla_x \phi_0 + \varepsilon \nabla_x \phi_1\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) + \nabla_y \phi_1\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

see brave:

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[A\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla_x u_\varepsilon(x) \cdot \nabla_x \phi_0(x) + A\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \cdot \nabla_x u_\varepsilon(x) \cdot \varepsilon \nabla_y \phi_2\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \right. \\ \left. + A\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla_y \phi_2\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \right] dx = \int_{\Omega} f \phi dx$$

\downarrow

$$\int_{\Omega} f \phi_0 dx$$

Let us consider term by term on the l.h.s.:

- $\int_{\Omega} -\nabla_x u_\varepsilon(x) A^T\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla_x \phi_0(x) dx \rightarrow$
 $\rightarrow \int_{\Omega xy} (\nabla_x u_0(x) + \nabla_y u_2(x, y)) A^T(y) \nabla_x \phi_0(x) dy$
- $\int_{\Omega} \nabla_x u_\varepsilon(x) A^T\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla_y \phi_2\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) dx$
 $\rightarrow \int_{\Omega xy} (\nabla_x u_0(x) + \nabla_y u_2(x, y)) A^T(y) \nabla_y \phi_2(x, y) dy$
- The other one goes to 0.

We thus obtain the weak formulation:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{xy}} A(y) (\nabla_x u_0(x) + \nabla_y u_1(x, y)) (\nabla_x \phi_0(x) + \nabla_y \phi_1(x, y)) dx dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{xy}} f(x) \phi_0(x) dx dy \quad [Y \text{ is the unit cube}]$$

→ the new formulation is symmetric in $u_0 \sim \phi_0$
 \downarrow $u_1 \sim \phi_1$

we can apply Lax-Milgram to get
 the existence of a solution

$$(u_0, u_1) \in H_0^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega, H_{\text{per}}^2).$$

Moreover, we can recover the cell & the homogenized
 pb by a choice of a test functions:

- $\phi_0 = 0$: $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{xy}} A(y) (\nabla_x u_0(x) + \nabla_y u_1(x, y)) \cdot \nabla_y \phi_1(x, y) dx dy = 0$

- $\phi_1(x, y) = \theta(x) \psi(y)$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{xy}} A(y) (\nabla_x u_0(x) + \nabla_y u_1(x, y)) \cdot \nabla_y \phi_1(x, y) dx dy = 0$$

\Rightarrow cell-pb

→ similarly, if $\phi_1 = 0 \rightarrow$ homogenized pb

- 2-scale convergence & Γ -convergence:

- $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \nabla u \cdot \nabla u dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f u dx$

Minimizers of \mathcal{J}_ε correspond to solutions of our PDE.

- idea: $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{J}_0(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_{hom} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f u$
with respect to
the L^2 -metric

- i) Γ -liminf: assume $u \in L^2_{loc}$, and $\lim_\varepsilon \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) < +\infty$.
 $\Rightarrow (u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ bdd in $H_0^2(\mathbb{R})$
 \Rightarrow 2-scale compactness, $\nabla u^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2-s} \nabla_x u_0 + \nabla_y u_1$,
 where u_1 doesn't necessarily solve the cell pb.
 we want to show that we do better if u_1
 solves the cell pb.

[Forget about the continuous term $\int f u$]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} A(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \nabla u_\varepsilon(x) \nabla u_\varepsilon(x) = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} A(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \left(\nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla u^0 - \nabla \Psi \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) = \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla u^0 - \nabla \Psi \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) \\ &+ 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} A(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \left(\nabla u_\varepsilon^0 \left(\nabla_x u^0 + \nabla_y \Psi \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) \right) \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}} A(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \left(\nabla_x u^0 + \nabla_y \Psi \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) \cdot \nabla_x u^0 + \nabla_y \Psi \end{aligned}$$

because we are not
sure about the
regularity of
 ∇u_ε

take the Lim: we forget the first term

$$\underline{\lim} J_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \geq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A(y) (\nabla_x u_0 + \nabla_y u_1) (\nabla_x u_0 + \nabla_y \varphi_\varepsilon) dx dy$$

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A(y) (\nabla_x u^0 + \nabla_y \varphi_\varepsilon) (\nabla_x u^0 + \nabla_y \varphi_\varepsilon) dx dy$$

by Poincaré-Lebesgue lemma

\Rightarrow by density $\varphi_\varepsilon \rightarrow u_2$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A(y) (\nabla_x u_0 + \nabla_y u_1) (\nabla_x u^0 + \nabla_y u^2)$$

$$\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A(y) (\nabla_x u_0 + \nabla_y \tilde{u}_1) (\nabla_x u_0 + \nabla_y \tilde{u}_2).$$

By the

cell pb:

let \tilde{u}_2 be the
solution of the cell
pb associated with u_0

ii) R-Limsup: for $u_0 \in H_0^2$; we want to find $u_\varepsilon \in$
s.t: $u_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}} u_0$

$$\bullet J(u_0) \geq \text{Limsup } J_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)$$

\hookrightarrow

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = u_0(x) + \underbrace{\varepsilon u_2(x)}_{\mathcal{E}}$$

solutions of better, u_2 s.t.
the cell pb \Rightarrow $u_2 \in V_2$ in L^2