

## The diffusion equation in bounded domains: separation of variables

Let us consider the diffusion equation in an interval  $[0, L]$ :

$$\begin{cases} u_t - Du_{xx} = 0 & \text{in } [0, L] \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = g(x) & \text{in } [0, L], \\ u(0, t) = 0 & \text{for } t > 0, \\ u(L, t) = 0 & \text{for } t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Notice that, besides the initial condition  $u(x, 0) = g(x)$ , we have some boundary conditions, telling us what is going on at the boundary points  $x = 0$  and  $x = L$ . The particular conditions we are considering here are the **homogeneous** Dirichlet conditions

$$u(0, t) = u(L, t) = 0, ,$$

for  $t > 0$ . The general strategy we are going to develop now is valid for *any* kind of **homogeneous** boundary conditions (like the Neumann BCs, the Robin BCs,...). Moreover, we will see that the same procedure allows to find the solution even in the case of non-homogeneous boundary conditions, as well as for the non-homogeneous heat equation. The basic idea is the following: since we don't know what the solution can be, we look for a particular kind of solution, namely one of the form:

$$u(x, t) = T(t)X(x),$$

for some (one variable) functions  $T$  and  $X$ . Notice that, for the above functions, the two variables  $t$  and  $x$  are **separated**. This means that we are looking for a solution for which a fixed profile, given by  $X$ , is evolving in time, according to  $T$ . This solution is a particular kind of **self-similar** solution. In order for such a function  $u$  to solve the equation

$$u_t - Du_{xx} = 0,$$

we need the functions  $T$  and  $X$  to satisfy

$$T'(t)X(x) - DT(t)X''(x) = 0.$$

By dividing by  $DT(t)X(x)$  (here we are assuming that it is possible to divide by  $T(t)X(x)$ ). This is just a formal operation. It is possible, and we will do it later on during the course, to perform this step in a more rigorous way), we get

$$\frac{T'(t)}{DT(t)} = \frac{X''(x)}{X(x)}.$$

since the left-hand side is a function just of the variable  $t$ , while the right-hand side is a function just of the variable  $x$ , in order to have the above equality in force for every  $x \in [0, L]$  and every  $t > 0$ , we must impose both sides to be constant. So, we are lead to the equations

$$\begin{cases} T'(t) = \lambda DT(t), \\ X''(x) = \lambda X(x), \end{cases}$$

for some *arbitrary*  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . The equation for  $T$  leads us to (up to a multiplicative constant)

$$T(t) = e^{\lambda Dt}. \quad (2)$$

Let us now consider the equation for  $X$ . The reason why to consider the BCs for  $u$  in the equation for  $X$  is the following: the BCs we have are

$$0 = u(0, t) = T(t)X(0), \quad 0 = u(L, t) = T(t)X(L),$$

for every  $t > 0$ . In order to satisfy them, we can have  $T \equiv 0$  (but this would implies that  $u \equiv 0$ , and this is a solution if and only if  $g \equiv 0$ ), or we need to impose

$$X(0) = 0, \quad X(L) = 0.$$

So, we have to solve the problem

$$\begin{cases} X''(x) = \lambda X(x) & \text{in } [0, L], \\ X(0) = 0, \\ X(L) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

We have to consider three cases (recall that  $\lambda$  is an arbitrary number!):

- $\lambda > 0$ : in this case, the general solution of the above equation is

$$X(x) = a \sinh(\sqrt{\lambda}x) + b \cosh(\sqrt{\lambda}x).$$

We now have to impose the boundary conditions. So,

$$0 = X(0) = b,$$

and hence  $X(x) = a \sinh(\sqrt{\lambda}x)$ . Moreover, we have to impose

$$0 = X(L) = a \sinh(\sqrt{\lambda}L).$$

Since  $\sinh y \neq 0$  if  $y \neq 0$  (and this is the case, since  $\sqrt{\lambda}L \neq 0$  - recall that we are in the case  $\lambda > 0$ ), we get that the above equation can be satisfied only if  $a = 0$ . So, we obtain the trivial solution  $X \equiv 0$ , leading to the function

$$u(x, t) = T(t)X(x) \equiv 0.$$

So,  $u$  can be a solution of problem (1) if and only if  $g \equiv 0$  (it is the only way for the null function to match the initial condition). In the case  $g \neq 0$ , this cannot be a solution, and thus we have to exclude it.

- $\lambda = 0$ , in this case, the general solution of the above equation is

$$X(x) = ax + b.$$

By imposing the boundary conditions, we get  $a = b = 0$ . Thus,  $X \equiv 0$ . By arguing as before, for a nontrivial initial data, this function cannot lead to a solution of our problem.

- $\lambda < 0$ : in this case, the general solution of the equation is given by

$$X(x) = a \cos(\sqrt{-\lambda}x) + b \sin(\sqrt{-\lambda}x).$$

By imposing the boundary conditions at  $x = 0$ , we get

$$0 = X(0) = a,$$

and hence  $X(x) = b \sin x$ . Then, by imposing the boundary conditions at  $x = L$ , we get

$$0 = X(L) = b \sin(\sqrt{-\lambda}L).$$

Since for  $b = 0$  we obtain the trivial solution, we want to impose  $\sin(\sqrt{-\lambda}L) = 0$ . By recalling that

$$\sin x = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x = n\pi,$$

for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we get

$$\sqrt{-\lambda}L = n\pi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda_n = -\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}\right)^2.$$

So, for every  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  (because, for  $n = 0$ , we obtain  $\lambda = 0$ , and we already discussed that case), we get that the function

$$x \mapsto b_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right),$$

where  $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$  is *arbitrary*, is a solution of problem (3) with  $\lambda_n = -\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}\right)^2$ .

By inserting this value of  $\lambda_n$  in (2), we get that, for every  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , the function

$$u_n(x, t) = b_n e^{-\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}\right)^2 Dt} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right)$$

satisfies the diffusion equation and matches the boundary conditions. In order to solve the problem we also have to satisfy the initial condition. Notice that

$$u_n(x, 0) = b_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right).$$

That is, the function  $u_n$  solves the problem (1) if and only if the initial data  $g$  is of the form

$$g(x) = a \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right),$$

for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . This is too restrictive. We would like to use the above functions  $u_n$ 's to build a solution for a generic initial data  $g$ . To this purpose, let us consider

$$v(x, t) := \sum_{n=1}^N b_n e^{-\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}\right)^2 Dt} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right), \quad (4)$$

for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since the diffusion equation is **linear**, any *finite* sum of the above functions still satisfies the diffusion equation. So,  $v$  still satisfies the equation. Moreover, since the boundary conditions are **homogeneous**,  $v$  will match them. Again, we see that

$$v(x, 0) := \sum_{n=1}^N b_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right),$$

and hence, we need to assume the initial data  $g$  to be of the form

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N g_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right),$$

for some  $g_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Again, this is too restrictive.

We now do something a little crazy: we set  $N = +\infty$  in (4) (making the sum a series), forgetting about asking ourselves whether it makes sense or not. We just do it!, obtaining the function

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n e^{-\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}\right)^2 Dt} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right).$$

So, we can say that **formally** (that means, if we believe it!), the above function is still a solution of the diffusion equation and it matches the boundary condition (since every *finite* sum of the  $u_n$ 's does).

Then, in order for  $u$  to satisfy the initial problem, it just need to match the initial condition:

$$u(x, 0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right) = g(x),$$

for  $x \in [0, L]$ . In order to have the above equality satisfies, we will make a further assumption: we will **assume** that  $g$  is of the form

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right), \quad (5)$$

for some  $g_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Is this too restrictive? We will see, thanks to the theory of Fourier series, that it is not!

So, assume that

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right).$$

In order for  $u$  to match the initial condition, we need to have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right),$$

for every  $x \in [0, L]$ . But two series of functions are equal if and only if all the terms in the series are the same. That is, we need to impose

$$g_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right) = b_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right),$$

for every  $x \in [0, L]$  and every  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . But these conditions boil down to impose

$$b_n = g_n,$$

for every  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Thus, we get that the function  $u$  defined as

$$u(x, t) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n e^{-\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}\right)^2 Dt} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right).$$

is a solution of the problem (1).

Summing up, we have that:

- if we assume the initial data  $g$  to be of the form (5) and
- if we believe that everything we did was correct

then **the** (since we already know uniqueness) solution of problem (1) is given by

$$u(x, t) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n e^{-\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}\right)^2 Dt} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right).$$

**Notice.** The things that we believed in the above reasoning, as well as seeing that the hypothesis on the form of the initial data  $g$  are, will be justified when we will develop the theory of Fourier series.

**Asymptotic behavior of  $u$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .** Notice that, for  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , every term of the above series (that is, if we fix  $x \in [0, L]$ ) tends to 0, since the exponential goes to 0, *i.e.*, for every fixed  $x \in [0, L]$ , we have that

$$g_n e^{-\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}\right)^2 Dt} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

So, (with a bit of magic!) we have that

$$u(x, t) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n e^{-\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}\right)^2 Dt} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right) \rightarrow 0,$$

that is, we can say that (again, formally), for  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , the solution of the diffusion equation with Dirichlet boundary condition tends to the null function (independently of the initial data  $g$ ). This is what we expect from a physical point of view: system (1) models the diffusion of particles (with initial density given by  $g$ ) that are diffusing in the interval  $[0, L]$  and such that, every time a particle reaches one of the ends, it exits the interval. So, it is clear that, after a long time, we expect no particle presents in the interval. And this is exactly what we get from the equation!