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## 1 Warm-up

1. (Gelca-Andreescu 44.) Inside a circle of radius 4 are chosen 61 points. Show that among them there are two at distance at most $\sqrt{2}$ from each other.
Solution: Put down unit graph paper. The unit disk hits $15 \times 4=60$ unit squares.
2. (Gelca-Andreescu 46, Moscow Math Olympiad.) Show that any convex polyhedron has two faces with the same number of edges.
Solution: Consider the dual graph, where faces are vertices and adjacent faces give edges. Every graph has two vertices of equal degree.

## 2 Problems and famous results

1. (Erdős-Szekeres upper bound.) The Ramsey Number $R(s, t)$ is the minimum integer $n$ for which every red-blue coloring of the edges of $K_{n}$ contains a completely red $K_{s}$ or a completely blue $K_{t}$. Prove that

$$
R(s, t) \leq\binom{ s+t-2}{s-1}
$$

Solution: Observe that $R(s, t) \leq R(s-1, t)+R(s, t-1)$, because if we have that many vertices, then if we select one vertex, then it cannot simultaneously have $<R(s-1, t)$ red neighbors and $<R(s, t-1)$ blue neighbors, so we can inductively build either a red $K_{s}$ or a blue $K_{t}$. But

$$
\binom{(s-1)+t-2}{(s-2)}+\binom{s+(t-1)-2}{s-1}=\binom{s+t-2}{s-1}
$$

because in Pascal's Triangle the sum of two adjacent guys in a row equals the guy directly below them in the next row.
2. (USAMO 1990/1.) A license plate has six digits from 0 to 9 and may have leading zeros. If two plates must always differ in at least two places, what is the largest number of plates that is possible?
Solution: Answer: $10^{5}$. Take the plates whose sum of digits modulo 10 is, say, 0 . then changing one digit forces you to also change another digit too. Now suppose we have more than $10^{5}$. Then look on "holes" which are the last 5 digits. There are $10^{5}$ holes. "Pigeons" are the license plates. So some hole gets at least 2 pigeons.
3. (Sweden 2010.) In a mathematical competition, the number of competitors is greater than $k$ times the number of problems. If all competitors solved at least one problem, prove that there exists one among them such that any problem he/she solved was solved by at least $k$ more competitors.
Solution: Assume false. Then for every competitor, one can designate a low-degree problem that it is adjacent to: one which has degree $\leq k$ in the incidence graph. Suppose there are $n$ problems.

Then there are $>k n$ competitors. So we have $>k n$ vertices each linked with a low-degree problem. The total number of low-degree problems is $\leq n$, but the total number of edges they can absorb by definition of low-degree is $\leq k n$, contradiction.
4. (Ireland $2010 / 12$.) The numbers $1,2, \ldots, 4 n^{2}$ are written in the unit squares of a $2 n \times 2 n$ array, $n \geq 3$. Prove that there exist $n+1$ columns in the array such that in each of them any number is less than the sum of the remaining $2 n-1$ numbers in that column.
Solution: Suppose for contradiction that the first $n$ columns all have that the largest number is at least the sum of all other numbers in that column. Let $B$ be the sum by taking the largest number in each of those columns, and let $A$ be the sum by taking all but the largest number in each of those columns. Then we have $B \geq A$. However, the smallest $A$ can be is if it is the first $n(2 n-1)$ numbers, and the largest $B$ can be is if it is the last $n$ numbers. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+\cdots+n(2 n-1) & \leq\left(4 n^{2}-n+1\right)+\cdots+4 n^{2} \\
n(2 n-1)\left(2 n^{2}-n+1\right) & \leq n \cdot\left(8 n^{2}-n+1\right) \\
(2 n-1)\left(2 n^{2}-n+1\right) & \leq\left(8 n^{2}-n+1\right) \\
4 n^{3}-4 n^{2}+3 n-1 & \leq 8 n^{2}-n+1 \\
4 n^{3}-12 n^{2}+4 n-2 & \leq 0 \\
2 n^{3}-6 n^{2}+2 n-1 & \leq 0 \\
2 n^{3} & <6 n^{2} \\
n & <3,
\end{aligned}
$$

contradiction.
5. (Sweden 2010.) Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ be integers such that $1 \leq x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{m} \leq n$, and assume that $m>\frac{n+1}{2}$. Prove that there exist indices $i, j, k$ such that $1 \leq i \leq j<k \leq m$, for which $x_{i}+x_{j}=x_{k}$. What if we only assume that $m \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$ ?
Solution: If $n=2 k+1$ is odd, and we only take the integers $\{k+1, k+2, \ldots, 2 k+1\}$, then this is clearly sum-free, but there are $k+1=\frac{n+1}{2}$ integers. So the result is tight.
To prove it, let $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$. Let $X-X$ denote the set $\{a-b: a, b \in X\}$. The key observation is that $(X-X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{+} \geq|X|-1$. This can be seen by considering all differences of the form $x_{i}-x_{1}$, $i \geq 2$. However, we cannot have $X-X$ and $X$ intersect, or else we will have an equality of the form $x_{k}-x_{j}=x_{i}$. Yet $X$ covers $m>\frac{n+1}{2}$ elements of [ $n$ ], and $X-X$ must cover at least $m-1>\frac{n-1}{2}$ elements of $[n]$. Thus $|X|+|X-X|>n$, contradiction.
6. (Erdős-Szekeres.) Prove that every sequence of $n^{2}$ distinct numbers contains a subsequence of length $n$ which is monotone (i.e. either always increasing or always decreasing).
Solution: For each of the $n^{2}$ indices in the sequence, associate the ordered pair $(x, y)$ where $x$ is the length of the longest increasing subsequence ending at $x$, and $y$ is the length of the longest decreasing one. All ordered pairs must obviously be distinct. But if they only take values with $x, y \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, then there are not enough for the total $n^{2}$ ordered pairs. Thus $n$ appears somewhere, and we are done.
7. (Sweden 2010.) The numbers $1,2, \ldots, n^{2}$ are placed randomly in an $n \times n$ table. Prove that there are two adjacent cells (in a row or a column) such that the numbers in them differ by at least $n$.
Solution: Isoperimetric inequality. Consider where the numbers $1,2, \ldots, t$ have been placed. Look on all squares that are adjacent to these (the "vertex" expansion). If the number of such squares is at least $n$, then immediately we know that by the time $t+1, \ldots, t+n$ are placed, in particular the $t+n$ one must be close to a square with a number $\leq t$ (like a pigeonhole type of idea). The key transition point is when $t=\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. At this point, the boundary must have at least $n$ squares.

Some ideas on how to show this: you can compress the hit squares toward the left, and only reduce the boundary. You can then compress all hit squares down, and only reduce the boundary. Now we have a staircase pattern. Let the nonzero rows have $a_{1} \geq a_{2} \geq \cdots \geq a_{m}$ hit squares. Any $a_{i}$ which is not the full $n$ can contribute +1 to the boundary (at its right end). Also, if $a_{i}>a_{i+1}$, then we contribute $a_{i}-a_{i+1}$. These can be reconciled by saying that the boundary is the sum of all $a_{i}-a_{i+1}$, adding another +1 for every pair with $a_{i}=a_{i+1}$.

## 3 Bonus problems

1. (Hamming code.) A license plate has seven binary digits (0 or 1 ), and may have leading zeros. If two plates must always differ in at least three places, what is the largest number of plates that is possible?
2. (Erdős.) Every set $A$ of $n$ nonzero integers contains a sum-free subset (one with no $x+y=z$, with $x, y, z \in A$, not necessarily distinct) of size $|A|>\frac{n}{3}$.
3. Show that the previous result also holds for nonzero real numbers.
