Name:
Instructions: You have 50 minutes to complete this exam. Show your work and justify all of your responses. No calculators, notes, or other external aids are allowed. You may use the following theorems (you may also use any version of the Chernoff bound you want):

Theorem 1 (Union Bound). Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots, A_{n}$ be events in a probability space.

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left(A_{i}\right)
$$

Theorem 2 (Markov's Inequality). If $X$ is a nonnegative random variable and $\lambda>0$ is a real number, then

$$
\mathbb{P}(X \geq \lambda) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}(X)}{\lambda}
$$

Theorem 3 (Chebyshev's Inequality). Let $X$ be a random variable with finite variance and $\lambda>0$ a real number. Then

$$
\mathbb{P}(|X-\mathbb{E}(X)|>\lambda) \leq \frac{\operatorname{Var}(X)}{\lambda^{2}}
$$

Theorem 4 (Chernoff Bound). Let $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}$ be independent random variables with $\mathbb{P}\left(X_{i}=1\right)=p$ and $\mathbb{P}\left(X_{i}=0\right)=1-p$. Let $S=X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}$. Then for any $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}(S \leq(1-\epsilon) p n) \leq e^{-\epsilon^{2} p n / 2} \\
& \mathbb{P}(S \geq(1+\epsilon) p n) \leq e^{-\epsilon^{2} p n / 3}
\end{aligned}
$$

1. (10 points) Let $A$ be a subset of integers in $[n] . A$ is called a $B_{3}$ set if for $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6} \in A$, if

$$
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}=x_{4}+x_{5}+x_{6},
$$

then it implies that $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}=\left\{x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right\}$. Show that there is a $B_{3}$ subset $A \subset[n]$ with $|A|=\Omega\left(n^{1 / 5}\right)$ (Hint: you may want to show that the total number of solutions to $x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}=x_{4}+x_{5}+x_{6}$ with $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6} \in[n]$ is $\left.O\left(n^{5}\right)\right)$.

Solution: For any fixed $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5} \in[n]$, there is at most one $x_{6} \in[n]$ such that $x_{6}=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}-x_{4}-x_{5}$. Therefore there are at most $n^{5}$ solutions to the equation $x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}=x_{4}+x_{5}+x_{6}$.
Choose $S \subset[n]$ randomly, putting each integer in $S$ independently with probability $p$. Let $X=|S|$ and $Y$ count the number of solutions to the equation $x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}=x_{4}+x_{5}+x_{6}$ with $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6} \in S$. Given our set $S$, we may make it a $B_{3}$ set by removing at most one element of $S$ for each solution to the equation. Therefore, there is a $B_{3}$ set of size at least $X-Y$ for every outcome of this random process. In particular, there is a $B_{3}$ set of size at least $\mathbb{E}(X-Y)=p n-p^{6}$. (the number of solutions) $\geq p n-p^{6} n^{5}$. Choosing $p=\frac{1}{2} n^{-4 / 5}$ yields the result.
2. Throw $m^{2}$ distinct balls into $m$ bins independently and uniformly at random.
(a) Fix a bin. Give an upper bound on the probability that the bin has more than $m+\log m \sqrt{m}$ balls in it.
(b) Show that no bin has more than $m+\log m \sqrt{m}$ balls in it with probability tending to 1 .

Solution: Let $X_{i}$ be the event that the $i$ 'th ball goes into the fixed bin and let $S=\sum X_{i}$. Since the $X_{i} \mathrm{~S}$ are independent, we may apply the Chernoff Bound with $p=\frac{1}{m}$ and $n=m^{2}$. Then we have that for any $\epsilon \in[0,1]$

$$
\mathbb{P}(S \geq(1+\epsilon) m) \leq e^{-\epsilon^{2} m / 3}
$$

Taking $\epsilon=m^{-1 / 2} \log m$ gives

$$
\mathbb{P}(S \geq m+\sqrt{m} \log m) \leq e^{-\frac{1}{3} \log ^{2} m}
$$

For the second part, by the union bound the probability that any bin has more than $m+\sqrt{m} \log m$ balls in it is bounded above by

$$
m \mathbb{P} \text { (a fixed bin has too many balls } \leq m e^{-\frac{1}{3} \log ^{2} m} \rightarrow 0
$$

Taking complementary events gives the result.
3. (10 points) The $k$-color Ramsey number for $C_{4}$, denoted $r_{k}\left(C_{4}\right)$ is the minimum $n$ such that any $k$-coloring of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ contains a monochromatic $C_{4}$.
(a) Show that $r_{k}\left(C_{4}\right)=O\left(k^{2}\right)$. (Hint: this is equivalent to showing that for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, any coloring of $K_{n}$ with $\varepsilon n^{1 / 2}$ colors must contain a monochromatic $C_{4}$ )
(b) * Give the best lower bound on $r_{k}\left(C_{4}\right)$ that you can (equivalently, partition the edge set of $K_{n}$ into $C_{4}$ free graphs using as few graphs as possible).

Solution: (a) If $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ is colored by $\epsilon n^{1 / 2}$ colors, then by the pigeonhole principle one color must have at least

$$
\frac{\binom{n}{2}}{\epsilon n^{1 / 2}}
$$

edges. If $\epsilon$ is a small enough positive constant, this is larger than $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, C_{4}\right) \lesssim$ $\frac{1}{2} n^{3 / 2}$, and therefore this color class must contain a $C_{4}$.
(b) Let $q$ be a prime power and let $A_{i} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}$ be defined by

$$
A_{i}:=\left\{\left(x, x^{2}+i\right): x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right.
$$

We proved in class that $A_{0}$ is a Sidon set, and since any translate of a Sidon set is a Sidon set, we have that all of the $A_{i}$ are Sidon sets. We also note that each has $q$ elements. Next we claim that the $A_{i}$ are disjoint. To see this, if for some $x, y, i, j \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ we have

$$
\left(x, x^{2}+i\right)=\left(y, y^{2}+j\right)
$$

then $x=y$ which implies $i=j$. Since $A_{i}$ and $A_{j}$ are disjoint for distinct $i, j$, and since each set has size $q$, we have that (by counting)

$$
\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} A_{i}=\mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q} .
$$

Now let $K_{n}$ be a complete graph with $n=q^{2}$ and identify the vertex set with $\mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}$. We will color $K_{n}$ with $q$ colors such that no color class has no $C_{4}$. By the same density of primes argument in your homework, this implies that
$r_{k}\left(C_{4}\right)=\Omega\left(k^{2}\right)$. Let $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}$ be vertices in $K_{n}$. We color the edge between them with color $i$ where

$$
\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in A_{i} .
$$

Since the $A_{i}$ are disjoint and cover the whole $\mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}$ this coloring is welldefined. Further, since each color class is a Cayley sum graph with generating set a Sidon set, each color class does not contain a $C_{4}$.

