

- does not mean $R(A) = C(A)$, usually (12)
- In fact $R(A) \neq C(A)$.

to future self:
pp 15-20 first then
12-15 column space

Null spaces $N(A)$ and $N(A^T)$

- We just saw: given A $m \times n$ (row space): we have $C(A)$ (subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$); $C(A^T)$ (subspace of $\mathbb{R}^m$); dimensions agree (hence both $\leq \min\{m, n\}$)
- $C(A)$ sometimes called range of A :
 - for every $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $A\vec{v}$ is linear combo of columns, i.e. $A\vec{v} \in C(A)$
 - conversely every combo of columns can be written $A\vec{v}$ for some $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$
 - hence $C(A) = \text{outputs of map } \vec{v} \rightarrow A\vec{v}$
- We now consider the null spaces $N(A)$ of A and $N(A^T)$ of A^T .
 - $N(A) = \{\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n : A\vec{v} = \vec{0}\}$ (nonempty since $\vec{0} \in N(A)$)
 - $N(A^T) = \{\vec{u} \in \mathbb{R}^m : A^T \vec{u} = \vec{0}\}$
- vectors $\vec{u}, \vec{v}$ over $\mathbb{R}$ are called orthogonal if $\vec{u}^T \vec{v} = 0$
(if over $\mathbb{C}$ change to: $\vec{u}^* \vec{v} = 0$)

Notice :- if $\vec{v} \in N(A)$ then $A\vec{v} = \vec{0}$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{r}_i^T \vec{v} = 0 \quad \text{for every row } \vec{r}_i^T \text{ of } A$$

- Conversely if $\vec{r}_i^T \vec{v} = 0$ for every row $\vec{r}_i^T$ of A then $\vec{v} \in N(A)$ since $A\vec{v} = \vec{0}$.

- So: $\vec{v} \in N(A)$ iff $\vec{v}$ orthogonal to every row of A

- but in this case: $\vec{v}$ orthog to every $\vec{u}$ in row space of A (why?) (distributivity of mult.)

- we say: $\vec{v}$ is orthogonal to row space $C(A^T)$

~~orthogonal to row space~~

- $\vec{v} \in N(A)$ was arbitrary; we say: $N(A)$ orthogonal to $C(A^T)$

- similarly $N(A^T)$ orthog. to $C(A)$ in some sense.

- we know $\dim(C(A)) = \dim(C(A^T))$

can also find dims of nullspaces:

Theorem: (rank + nullity thm) if A is ~~matrix~~

$m \times n$ and $\dim(C(A)) = \overset{\text{"rank of } A"}{\dim(C(A^T))} = r$ then

$$\overset{\text{"nullity of } A"}{\dim(N(A))} = n - r$$

$$\text{i.e. } \text{rank}(A) + \text{nullity}(A) = n$$

Proof idea: - iteratively form orthogonal (14)
 basis (i.e. vectors in basis pairwise orthogonal)
 $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r, \vec{v}_{r+1}, \dots, \vec{v}_{r+k}\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ (so $r+k=n$)
 So that $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r\}$ is a basis for row space $C(AT)$
 (we'll see how to form orthog basis later)
 - then $\{\vec{v}_{r+1}, \dots, \vec{v}_{r+k}\}$ is a basis for nullspace $N(A)$
 (Why? See if you can prove) ✓

Summary: if A is $m \times n$ and $\dim C(A) = r$
 $= \dim C(AT)$ then $\dim N(A) = n - r$ and likewise
 $\dim N(AT) = m - r$.

Ex if $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 7 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

$\text{rank}(A) = 2$ so nullity = $\dim(N(A)) = 2$

hence $A\vec{u} = \vec{0}$ (if $R\vec{u} = \vec{0}$) (Why?)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} x_1 + 2x_2 - x_4 &= 0 \\ x_3 + 2x_4 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_4 = x_1 + 2x_2$$

$$x_3 = -2x_4 = -2x_1 - 4x_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ -2x_1 - 4x_2 \\ x_1 + 2x_2 \end{pmatrix} = x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -4 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ form basis for } N(A)$$

Important rank facts

- ① $\text{rank}(AB) \leq \text{rank}(A), \text{rank}(B)$
(Why? can you prove?)
- ② $\text{rank}(A+B) \leq \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B)$
(Why?)
- ③ $\text{rank}(A^T A) = \text{rank}(A A^T) = \text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^T)$
(Hw).

AB as sum of rank 1 matrices:

- if A is $m \times n = \left[\vec{c}_1 \mid \dots \mid \vec{c}_n \right]$

B is $n \times k = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{r}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \vec{r}_n^T \end{bmatrix}$

we can take yet another "left to right"
view of AB : new "column times row"

- $AB = \vec{c}_1 \vec{r}_1^T + \dots + \vec{c}_n \vec{r}_n^T$

- each $\vec{c}_i \vec{r}_i^T$ is $(m \times 1) \times (1 \times k) = m \times k$

- observe: $\vec{c}_i \vec{r}_i^T$ is always rank 1 (if $\vec{c}_i, \vec{r}_i^T \neq \vec{0}$)

e.g. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 4 & 0 \\ 3 & 6 & 6 & 0 \\ 4 & 8 & 8 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ (16)

- So: $AB = \vec{c}_1 \vec{r}_1^T + \dots + \vec{c}_n \vec{r}_n^T$ write AB as sum of rank 1 matrices.

e.g. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 6 \\ 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

exercise: convince yourself this way of taking product AB always same as usual.

- we'll see decomposition into rank 1 sums frequently (e.g. with $A=LU$ and later when factoring symmetric matrices).

$A = LU$ for square matrices!

(17)

- Recall: system of n linear equations in n unknowns can be represented by matrix eq'n $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

- e.g.
$$\begin{aligned} x + y + z &= 1 \\ 2x + y - 3z &= 0 \\ -x + 2y - 2z &= 1 \end{aligned}$$
 leads to
$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & -3 \\ -1 & 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}}_A \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}}_{\vec{x}} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\vec{b}}$$

- one way to solve: Gaussian elimination on A (in this case w/o row exchanges)

↳ Let's forget about $\vec{b}$ for now, focus on A

- first step:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & -3 \\ -1 & 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\substack{-2R_1 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2 \\ R_1 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3}]{D} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -5 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

A

row equivalent A'

- in matrix terms, can write this as:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -5 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

↑
rank 1
matrix

↑
"2x2" matrix

- Notice: needed first pivot nonzero for this to work $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & & \\ & & \end{bmatrix} = A$ (or left column of zeroes)

- Now: can pivot again and write:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -5 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -5 \\ 0 & 3 & 15 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -16 \end{bmatrix}$$

rank 1 "1x1"

(again: needed nonzero pivot)

- So: overall:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -5 \\ 0 & 3 & 15 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -16 \end{bmatrix}$$

already rank 1
can stop
algorithm

~~rank~~ = sum of rank 1 matrices

- but rank 1 matrices can be factored! column
x
row

$$\text{i.e. } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} [1 \ 1 \ 1] + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} [0 \ -1 \ -5] + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} [0 \ 0 \ -16]$$

which, by our previous notes factor as:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & -16 \end{bmatrix} = L U$$

"lower triangular" "upper triangular"

- Note: factoring $A=LU$ only works when we have nonzero pivots at every stage of elimination (or get lucky w/ column of 0's when we have a 0 pivot)
- when it works L has 1's along diagonal
 U has the pivots along diag.
- there are variations on $A=LU$, but heart of process always same:
elimination along a column of A can be viewed as writing
$$A = (\text{rank 1 matrix}) + (\text{matrix w/ a row and column of 0's})$$
- after iterating we can factor rank 1 matrices as ~~rank~~ column x row then reassemble into a big matrix factorization for A .

Ex: if $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 7 \\ 2 & 4 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$ then $A=LU$ fails since first pivot 0

Consider $P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ = "permutation matrix" flips R_1 and R_2

then $PA = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$ elimination on this matrix leads to no zero pivots
so we can factor $PA=LU$

Check:

(20)

$$\begin{aligned} PA = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 8 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 6 & 14 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 6 & 14 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & -2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 7 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix} = LU \checkmark \end{aligned}$$