

# Math 300 Class 23

Monday 4th March 2019

## Definition 1 — Reflexivity, symmetry and transitivity

A relation  $R$  on a set  $X$  is...

- ...**reflexive** if  $aRa$  for all  $a \in X$ ;
- ...**symmetric** if, for all  $a, b \in X$ , if  $aRb$ , then  $bRa$ ;
- ...**transitive** if, for all  $a, b, c \in X$ , if  $aRb$  and  $bRc$ , then  $aRc$ ;
- ...an **equivalence relation** if it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

Equivalence relations behave in some ways like equality (indeed, equality is reflexive, symmetric and transitive!)—so we will often use symbols like  $\sim$  or  $\equiv$  or  $\approx$ , instead of letters like  $R$  or  $S$ , to denote equivalence relations.

### Example 2

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Define a relation  $\equiv_n$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  by letting  $a \equiv_n b$  mean ' $n$  divides  $b - a$ ' for each  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Prove that  $\equiv_n$  is an equivalence relation.

•  $\equiv_n$  is reflexive: Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $a - a = 0 = 0 \cdot n$   
So  $n$  divides  $a - a \Rightarrow a \equiv_n a$ .

•  $\equiv_n$  is symmetric: Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and assume  $a \equiv_n b$ .  
Then  $b - a = kn$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow a - b = (-k)n$   
 $\Rightarrow b \equiv_n a$ .

•  $\equiv_n$  is transitive: Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  and assume  
 $a \equiv_n b$  and  $b \equiv_n c$ . Then  $b - a = kn$  and  
 $c - b = ln$  for some  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So  
 $c - a = (c - b) + (b - a) = ln + kn = (k + l)n$   
 $\Rightarrow a \equiv_n c$ . □

**Definition 3** — Equivalence class, quotient

Let  $\sim$  be an equivalence relation on a set  $X$ . The  $\sim$ -equivalence class of an element  $x \in X$  is the subset  $[x]_{\sim}$  of  $X$  defined by

$$[x]_{\sim} = \{a \in X \mid x \sim a\}$$

If the relation  $\sim$  is obvious from context, we may just say 'equivalence class' and write  $[x]$ , rather than referring to  $\sim$  every time.

**Example 4**

We proved last time that the relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  defined by letting  $a \sim b$  mean ' $a - b \in \mathbb{Q}$ ' is an equivalence relation. Show that  $[0]_{\sim} = \mathbb{Q}$ .

( $\subseteq$ ) Let  $x \in [0]_{\sim}$ . Then  $0 \sim x$ , so  $x \sim 0$ , and hence  $x - 0 = x \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

( $\supseteq$ ) Let  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $x - 0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ , so  $x \sim 0$ , and so  $0 \sim x \Rightarrow x \in [0]_{\sim}$ .

So  $[0]_{\sim} = \mathbb{Q}$  by double containment.

**Example 5**

Find the equivalence classes of the integers 0, 1 and 2 with respect to the relation  $\equiv_3$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , as defined in Example 2.

For  $r \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , ~~and~~ and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$a \in [r]_{\equiv_3} \Leftrightarrow r \sim a \Leftrightarrow 3 \text{ divides } a - r$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a - r = 3q \text{ for some } q \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow a = 3q + r \text{ for some } q \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\text{So } [0]_{\equiv_3} = \{a \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a = 3q \text{ for some } q \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{\dots, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, 9, \dots\}$$

$$[1]_{\equiv_3} = \{a \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a = 3q + 1 \text{ for some } q \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{\dots, -5, -2, 1, 4, 7, 10, \dots\}$$

$$[2]_{\equiv_3} = \{a \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a = 3q + 2 \text{ for some } q \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, 11, \dots\}$$

2

(Note:  $[3]_{\equiv_3} = [0]_{\equiv_3}$ ,  $[4]_{\equiv_3} = [1]_{\equiv_3}$ ,  $[5]_{\equiv_3} = [2]_{\equiv_3}$ , etc...)

**Definition 6 — Quotient**

The **quotient** of a set  $X$  by an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $X$  is the set  $X/\sim$  of all  $\sim$ -equivalence classes of elements of  $X$ . That is

$$X/\sim = \{\text{equivalence classes of } \sim\} = \{[x]_{\sim} \mid x \in X\}$$

The quotient of a set by an equivalence relation *identifies* equivalent elements: the relation  $\sim$  on  $X$  'becomes' equality on  $X/\sim$ , in the sense that

$$\forall a, b \in X, a \sim b \Leftrightarrow [a]_{\sim} = [b]_{\sim} \quad \|\otimes \leftarrow \text{Try to prove this yourself some time.}$$

**Example 7**

Describe the set  $\mathbb{Z}/\equiv_3$ .

We saw before that, for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  
 $[a]_{\equiv_3} = \{\text{integers having the same remainder as } a \text{ when divided by } 3\}$

The only possible remainders when divided by 3 are 0, 1 and 2, so

$$\mathbb{Z}/\equiv_3 = \{[0]_{\equiv_3}, [1]_{\equiv_3}, [2]_{\equiv_3}\}$$

**Example 8**

Prove that  $|\mathbb{Z}/\equiv_n| = n$  for all  $n > 0$ .

As noted above for  $n=3$ , each  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  leaves a remainder of  $r$  when divided by  $n$  for a unique  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ ; but then  $a \in [r]_{\equiv_n}$  for a unique  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ . So

$$\mathbb{Z}/\equiv_n = \{[0]_{\equiv_n}, [1]_{\equiv_n}, \dots, [n-1]_{\equiv_n}\} \leftarrow \text{and the sets in this list are distinct.}$$
$$\Rightarrow |\mathbb{Z}/\equiv_n| = n$$

**Definition 9**

A **partition** of a set  $X$  is a collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of inhabited subsets of  $X$  such that each  $x \in X$  is an element of a unique set  $U \in \mathcal{A}$ .

The next two results prove that partitions and equivalence relations are essentially the same thing: the equivalence classes give a partition of the set, and each partition of  $X$  is the quotient of  $X$  by a unique equivalence relation.

**Example 10**

Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\sim$  be an equivalence relation on  $X$ . Prove that  $\mathcal{A} = X/\sim$  is a partition of  $X$ .

• Each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  is inhabited: Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $A = [x]$  for some  $x \in X$ . But then  $x \in A$ , since  $x \sim x$  by reflexivity of  $\sim$ .

• Each  $x \in X$  is an element of a unique  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

(Existence) Let  $x \in X$ . Then  $x \sim x$ , so  $x \in [x] \in \mathcal{A}$ .

(Uniqueness) Let  $x \in X$  and assume  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $x \in A$  and  $x \in B$ . Then:

- $A = [y]$  for some  $y \in X$ , so  $x \sim y$
- $B = [z]$  for some  $z \in X$ , so  $x \sim z$

$\Rightarrow y \sim x$  by symmetry of  $\sim$

$\Rightarrow y \sim z$  by transitivity of  $\sim$

$\Rightarrow A = [y] = [z] = B$  □

↑  
by (\*)

**Theorem 11**

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a partition of a set  $X$ . There is a unique equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $X$  such that  $X/\sim = \mathcal{A}$ . □