

Math 54 Fall 2016: Discussion 102/105 Quiz#1 Soln.

GSI: Christopher Eur

Office hours: Th 4-6pm, 1064 Evans

Website: https://math.berkeley.edu/~ceur/course_pages/math54f16.html

9/2/2016

Problem 1. (5 points) Find the general solution to the following linear system of equations.

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 - x_2 - 2x_3 &= 1 \\x_1 + 2x_2 + 4x_3 + x_4 &= 7 \\2x_1 + 2x_4 &= 10\end{aligned}$$

Aug. matrix: $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 7 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 1 & -1 & -2 & 0 & 7 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & -1 & -2 & -1 & -4 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\Rightarrow x_3$ free. $x_1 = 2$, $x_4 = 3$, $x_2 + 2x_3 = 1$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} x_1 = 2 \\ x_2 = 1 - 2x_3 \\ x_3 \text{ free} \\ x_4 = 3 \end{cases}$$

Problem 2. Suppose M is a 3×3 coefficient matrix such that the 3×4 augmented matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & M & & 2 \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is consistent (i.e. has a solution although not necessarily unique).

(a) (3 points) Show that a 3×4 augmented matrix $\begin{bmatrix} & & c \\ & M & 2c \\ & & c \end{bmatrix}$ is also consistent for any values of c .

(b) (1 points) Give an example of M (satisfying the above properties) such that $\begin{bmatrix} & & 1 \\ & M & 3 \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is NOT consistent.

(c) (3 points) Now, suppose that the original augmented matrix $\begin{bmatrix} & & 1 \\ & M & 2 \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ has a **unique** solution. Then show that for any numbers s, t, u , the augmented system $\begin{bmatrix} & & s \\ & M & t \\ & & u \end{bmatrix}$ is in fact consistent.

(a) ~~...~~
 ① swapping 2 #'s then $xc = xc$ then swapping
 ② xc then $xd = xd$ then xc
 ③ $c \cdot (R - dR') = (cR) - ~~dR'~~ d(cR')$
 This means that if $\begin{bmatrix} M' & \alpha \\ & \beta \\ & \gamma \end{bmatrix}$ is the reduced form of $\begin{bmatrix} M & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
 then $\begin{bmatrix} M' & c\alpha \\ & c\beta \\ & c\gamma \end{bmatrix}$ is the reduced form of $\begin{bmatrix} M & c \\ & 2c \\ & c \end{bmatrix}$.
 Hence if $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}$ was not pivot in first then $\begin{pmatrix} c\alpha \\ c\beta \\ c\gamma \end{pmatrix}$ isn't either.

(b) $M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow$ you get $x_1 = 1$ & $2x_1 = 3$ for $\begin{bmatrix} M & 1 \\ & 3 \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

(c) Unique soln \Rightarrow all col. of M pivots, but M is 3×3 . Hence, reduced form of M is $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Thus, $\begin{bmatrix} M & s \\ & t \\ & u \end{bmatrix}$ can never have the last column as pivot.