

# Problem solving seminar

## IMC Preparation, Set IV — Solutions

Tomasz Tkocz, Rosemberg Toala

1. Consider the function  $f$  defined for positive real numbers  $x, y, z$ ,

$$f(x, y, z) = \frac{(x+y+z)(xy+yz+zx)}{(x+y)(y+z)(z+x)}.$$

What is the image of  $f$ ?

**Solution.** We will prove that  $\text{Im}(f) = (1, \frac{9}{8}]$ . By expanding the multiplication one can check that the lower bound is equivalent to  $0 < xyz$ , and moreover  $f(x, y, z)$  can get arbitrarily close to 1 by taking  $z \rightarrow 0^+$ .

The upper bound is equivalent to

$$xyz \leq \frac{xyz + xz^2 + y^2z + yz^2 + xyz + xy^2 + x^2z + x^2y}{8}$$

which follows from the AM-GM inequality.  $\square$

2. Let  $A, B \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $A^2 + B^2 = AB$ . Show that  $(AB - BA)^2 = 0$ .

**Solution.** We will use the following result: If  $X \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ , with  $\text{tr}(X) = 0 = \det(X)$  then  $X^2 = 0$ . One way to see it is by recalling the identity<sup>1</sup>  $X^2 - \text{tr}(X)X + \det(X)I = 0$ . Alternately, it is clear by using the Jordan Canonical form.

Now,  $X = AB - BA$  is clearly traceless. To compute the determinant we use the cubic root of unity  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$ , and note that

$$\begin{aligned} (A + \omega B)(A + \bar{\omega} B) &= A^2 + B^2 + \omega BA + \bar{\omega} AB \\ &= (1 + \bar{\omega})AB + \omega BA \\ &= -\omega AB + \omega BA \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^2 \det(AB - BA) &= \det(A + \omega B) \det(A + \bar{\omega} B) \\ &= \det(A + \omega B) \overline{\det(A + \omega B)} \\ &= |\det(A + \omega B)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

The latter being purely real, therefore  $\omega^2 \det(AB - BA) = 0$  and we are done.  $\square$

<sup>1</sup>Cayley-Hamilton theorem

3. Let  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $A_1 A_2 \dots A_n$  be a regular  $n$ -gon inscribed in a circle with radius 1. Prove that

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (5 - |A_1 A_{k+1}|^2) = F_n^2,$$

where the sequence  $(F_n)$  is defined recursively as  $F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1, F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}, n \geq 1$  (the Fibonacci sequence).

**Solution.** Let  $\epsilon_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$ . Then  $\epsilon_n^k, 0 \leq k < n$  are consecutive vertices of the regular  $n$ -gon inscribed into the unit circle  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| = 1\}$ . We can take  $A_k = \epsilon_n^{k-1}$  and then  $|A_1 A_{k+1}|^2 = |1 - \epsilon_n^k|^2 = 2 - 2\Re(\epsilon_n^k) = 2 - 2\cos(\frac{2\pi k}{n}), k \geq 1$ . By the well-known Binet's formula we have

$$F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \left( \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n \right).$$

Thus we want to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( 3 + 2\cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{n}\right) \right) & \quad (\star) \\ &= \frac{1}{5} \left( \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \left( \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

To verify this identity observe that for any reals  $x, y$  we have

$$x^n - y^n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (x - \epsilon_n^k y),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} (x^n - y^n)^2 &= |x^n - y^n|^2 = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} |x - \epsilon_n^k y|^2 \\ &= \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (x^2 + y^2 - 2xy\Re(\epsilon_n^k)) \\ &= \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (x^2 + y^2 - 2xy\cos(2\pi k/n)). \end{aligned}$$

For  $x = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2, y = (1 - \sqrt{5})/2$  we have  $x^2 + y^2 = 3$  and  $xy = -1$ , hence  $(\star) \square$

4. Fix  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Let  $A_1, \dots, A_m$  be distinct subsets of the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $|A_i \cap A_j| = k$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Prove that  $m \leq n$ .

Here  $|A|$  denotes the cardinality of  $A$ .

**Solution.** Let  $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $i \leq m$ , be the characteristic vector of  $A_i$ , i.e. if  $j \in A_i$ , then its  $j^{\text{th}}$  coordinate is 1, otherwise it is 0. Then  $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = k$ , for  $i \neq j$ , and  $\langle v_i, v_i \rangle = |A_i|$ .

If we show that  $v_i$ 's are linearly independent, then necessarily  $m \leq n$  and we are done. Suppose  $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i v_i = 0$  with not all  $\lambda_i$ 's being 0. Then there are (at least) two indices, say  $s \neq t$  for which  $\lambda_s \neq 0 \neq \lambda_t$ . Observe that clearly  $|A_i| \geq k$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left\langle \sum_i \lambda_i v_i, \sum_j \lambda_j v_j \right\rangle = \sum_i \lambda_i^2 |A_i| + \sum_{i \neq j} \lambda_i \lambda_j \cdot k \\ &= \sum_i \lambda_i^2 (|A_i| - k) + k \left( \sum_i \lambda_i \right)^2 \\ &\geq \lambda_s^2 (|A_s| - k) + \lambda_t^2 (|A_t| - k). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $|A_s| = |A_t| = k$  which together with  $|A_s \cap A_t| = k$  implies that  $A_s = A_t$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

5. Let  $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be vectors of length 1 such that  $|v_i - v_j| > \sqrt{2}$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Prove that any  $n$  of them are linearly independent.

**Solution.** Observe that for  $i \neq j$  we have

$$\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \frac{-|v_i - v_j|^2 + |v_i|^2 + |v_j|^2}{2} < 0,$$

thus we conclude by the following lemma.

**Lemma.** Let vectors  $v_0, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfy  $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle < 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Then any  $n$  of them are linearly independent.

*Proof.* Consider

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i = 0.$$

By taking the inner product with  $v_0$  we see that to show that all  $\lambda_i$ 's are zero it is enough to show that they have the same sign. Without loss of generality let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_n < 0$ . If  $k = n$  we are done. If not, consider

$$\sum_{i \leq k} \lambda_i v_i = \sum_{j > k} (-\lambda_j) v_j$$

and take the inner product with  $\sum_{i \leq k} \lambda_i v_i$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \left| \sum_{i \leq k} \lambda_i v_i \right|^2 = \left\langle \sum_{i \leq k} \lambda_i v_i, \sum_{j > k} (-\lambda_j) v_j \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i \leq k, j > k} \lambda_i \lambda_j (-\langle v_i, v_j \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

As in the last sum  $\lambda_i \lambda_j \leq 0$ , there is actually  $\lambda_i \lambda_j = 0$  for every  $i \leq k$  and  $j > k$ . This is possible only if  $\lambda_i = 0$  for every  $i \leq k$ , so all  $\lambda_i$ 's have the same sign.

$\square$