Math 301: Homework 7

Due Wednesday November 1 at noon on Canvas

1. Show that any graph on *n* vertices that has at least *nd* edges contains a subgraph of minimum degree $d + 1$.

Solution: Let *G* have *n* vertices and *nd* edges. If *G* has minimum degree at least *d* + 1 we are done. Otherwise, let *v* be a vertex of minimum degree at most *d* and let $G_1 = G \setminus \{v\}$. Continue this process recursively: if G_i has minimum degree at least $d+1$ we are done, otherwise there is a vertex *v* with degree in G_i at most *d* and let $G_{i+1} = G_i \setminus \{v\}$. We claim that this process stops, and then you are left with your graph of minimum degree *i*. If not, then we remove vertices until we are left with just one vertex remaining and no edges. In this case we have removed at most $(n-1)d$ edges. But the original graph contained *nd* edges, a contradiction.

- 2. (a) Modify the proof of the upper bound for $ex(n, K_{2,t})$ that we did in class to prove the Kővari-Sós-Turán Theorem. For $2 \leq s \leq t$ there exists a constant *c* such that $ex(n, K_{s,t}) \le cn^{2-1/s}$.
	- (b) Give the best lower bound you can for $ex(n, K_{s,t})$.

Solution: See bottom.

- 3. (a) Let *G* be a graph where *V* (*G*) consists of *n* points in the Euclidean plane and two points are adjacent if and only if they are at distance 1 from each other. Show that no matter how the points are placed, the number of edges in the graph is $O(n^{3/2})$. Solution: In a unit distance graph, the vertices adjacent to a fixed vertex *v* must be on the circle with radius 1 which is centered at *v*. Therefore, in order for a vertex to be adjacent to both *v* and *u*, it must be on the intersection two unit circles, one centered at *u* and one at *v*. Since two circles can intersect in at most two points, *u* and *v* may have at most 2 neighbors in common. Since *u* and *v* are arbitrary, this means that any unit distance graph is $K_{2,3}$ free. By the KST theorem it contains at most $O(n^{3/2})$ edges.
	- (b) Make a construction of *n* points in the plane that has as many pairs at unit distance as you can. How many edges are in the graph? Solution: Here is an example of a unit distance graph with $n \log n$ edges. This is not best possible (no one knows what is best possible). Let $n = 2^k$ and write the vertices of a graph on *n* vertices as binary vectors of length *k*. Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_k$

be *k* distinct unit vectors (it doesn't matter what they are). Given a vertex $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \{0,1\}^k$, place the vertex in the Euclidean plane at point

$$
\sum_{i=1}^k x_i \mathbf{v}_i.
$$

Then any pair of vertices with Hamming distance 1 will be placed at unit distance in the Euclidean plane. This gives a graph on 2^k vertices with minimum degree k .

- 4. Let T be a tree on $t + 1$ vertices.
	- (a) Assume that *n* is divisible by *t*. Show that $ex(n,T) \geq \frac{t-1}{2}n$. (Hint: K_t cannot contain a copy of *T*).

Solution: If *n* is divisible by *t* we may place down $\frac{n}{t}$ disjoint copies of K_t . Since *T* has $t + 1$ vertices, this graph does not contain a copy of *T*, and it has $\frac{t-1}{2}n$ edges.

(b) Use Problem 1 to show that $ex(n,T) \leq (t-1)n$.

Solution: Let *G* be a graph on *n* vertices with $(t-1)n$ edges. We will show that *G* contains a copy of *T*. By problem 1, *G* has a subgraph G' which has minimum degree t . We embed T greedily in G' as a breadth first search. Since T has only $t+1$ vertices, and G' has minimum degree t , we may always choose a vertex which has not yet been used in continue on our breadth first search.

5. Let *k* be fixed. Show that there is a constant *c* so that $ex(n, \{C_3, C_4, \cdots, C_{2k}\}) \le$ *cn*^{1+1/k}. (Hint: you need to show that if *G* has more than $cn^{1+1/k}$ edges then it must contain a cycle of length at most 2*k*. Assume *G* has this many edges and use Problem 1 to start with a graph of minimum degree $c'n^{1/k}$. Do a breadth first search and show that you must find your cycle).

Solution: Let $c = 2$ and by way of contradiction assume that there is a graph on n vertices with $2n^{1+1/k}$ edges and no cycle of length at most 2k. By problem 1, there is a subgraph with minimum degree at least $n^{1/k} + 1$. Do a breadth first search starting from an arbitrary vertex. Once the search is *k* levels from the root, the graph induced by those vertices must be a tree, otherwise there is a cycle of length at most 2*k* in the graph. But a tree with $k + 1$ levels (including the root) and minimum degree $n^{1/k} + 1$ must contain more than *n* vertices, a contradiction.

Proof. Fix some positive natural numbers *n*, *s*, and *t* with $s \leq t$, and choose an arbitrary edgemaximal $K_{s,t}$ -free graph *G* on *n* vertices and *m* edges. We first claim that $\delta(G) \geq s - 1$. If this were not the case, then there would be some vertex *v* of degree at most $s-2$ in *G*. Form a graph *G*^{\prime} by adding an edge between *v* and an arbitrary other vertex.² Then we cannot have added any $K_{s,t}$ to this graph: clearly any new $K_{s,t}$ would need to use this new edge, so v would need to be in this $K_{s,t}$, but

$$
\deg_{G'}(v) = \deg_G(v) + 1 \le s - 1 < s \le t;
$$

if *v* were part of a $K_{s,t}$ then its degree would need to be either *s* or *t*, and this is not possible. Therefore, any $K_{s,t}$ in G' exists in G , so the fact that G is $K_{s,t}$ -free implies that G' is, too. But this is a contradiction: we selected *G* as an edge-maximal $K_{s,t}$ -free graph, yet *G*^{\prime} witnesses that it is not.

Now, we proceed with the main proof. For all $S \subseteq V(G)$, let

$$
\deg(S) = \left| \bigcap_{v \in S} \Gamma(v) \right|
$$

be the mutual degree of the vertices in *S*. Then for all $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| = s$, we must have

$$
\deg(S) \le t - 1
$$

because otherwise *S* and its mutual neighborhood would contain a *Ks,t*. We therefore note that

$$
\sum_{\substack{S \subseteq V(G) \\ |S| = s}} \deg(S) \le \binom{n}{s} (t - 1).
$$

Note that this summation is one way to count the number of $K_{1,s}$ s in G : we can form a $K_{1,s}$ by first picking a set *S* to form the satellites, and then picking any of their deg(*S*)-many mutual neighbors to be the center. Alternatively, we can first pick any $v \in G$ as the center, and then choose any *s*-subset of its neighbors. Therefore, we have

$$
\sum_{v \in V(G)} \binom{\deg(v)}{s} \cdot \frac{1}{\sum_{\substack{S \subseteq V(G) \\ |S|=s}} \deg(S) \le \binom{n}{s} (t-1)}.
$$

Now, let *X* be a random variable whose value is the degree of a vertex chosen uniformly at random from $V(G)$. Let $\varphi : \{z \in \mathbb{R} : z \ge s - 1\} \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by $\varphi(z) = \binom{z}{s}$; we know from lemma 1 that φ is convex. Note that $\varphi(X)$ is a well-defined random variable, because each value that X takes is at least $\delta(G)$, which is at least $s-1$ as previously justified. Similarly, $\varphi(\mathbb{E}(X))$ is well-defined because $\mathbb{E}(X) \ge \min X \ge \delta(G)$. Therefore, Jensen's inequality dictates that

$$
\varphi(\mathbb{E}(X)) \leq \mathbb{E}(\varphi(X))
$$

$$
\left(\frac{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{v\in V}\deg(v)}{s}\right) \leq \frac{1}{n}\sum_{v\in V}\binom{\deg(v)}{s}.
$$

For the left-hand side, we have

$$
\binom{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{v\in V}\deg(v)}{s} = \binom{2m/n}{s} \ge \left(\frac{2m}{ns}\right)^s = (2/s)^s m^s n^{-s}.
$$

On the right-hand side, we have

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{v \in V} \binom{\deg(v)}{s} \le \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{s} (t-1) \le \frac{1}{n} n^s (t-1) = n^{s-1} (t-1).
$$

²This is not possible only if *G* is complete, but if *G* is complete and contains no $K_{s,t}$ then *n* must be smaller than $s + t$. There are only finitely many (isomorphism classes of) such graphs, and so we may simply adjust our final constant *C*⁰ by making sure that it is large enough to handle all of these "special cases." For instance, choosing $C = \max\{C_0, (s + t)^2\}$ suffices.

Combining these yields

$$
(2/s)^s m^s n^{-s} \le n^{s-1} (t-1)
$$

$$
m^s \le (t-1)(s/2)^s \cdot n^{2s-1}
$$

$$
m \le (t-1)^{1/s} (s/2) \cdot n^{2-1/s}.
$$

Because $(t-1)^{1/s}(s/2)$ is a constant depending only on *s* and *t*, the proof is now complete. \Box

(b) We use the method of alterations. Fix some *s* and *t*. Suppose that we construct a random graph on *n* vertices by choosing each vertex independently with some probability $p \in (0,1)$. Let *X* be the number of edges in this graph, and let *Y* be the number of *Ks,t*s that appear in this graph. Then by removing at most one arbitrary edge from each $K_{s,t}$ in G , we form a $K_{s,t}$ -free graph with $X - Y$ edges. Note that 3*n*

$$
\mathbb{E}(X) = p \binom{n}{2} \approx p n^2
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{E}(Y) \le p^{st} \binom{n}{s} \binom{n-s}{t} \approx p^{st} n^{s+t},
$$

because there are at most $\binom{n}{s}\binom{n-s}{t}$ possible copies of $K_{s,t}$ in *G*. (Interestingly, this count is exact for $s \neq t$, but when $s = t$ we are counting each $K_{s,t}$ twice and so must divide this count by 2.) It then follows that

$$
\mathbb{E}(X - Y) = \mathbb{E}(X) - \mathbb{E}(Y) \ge p \binom{n}{2} - p^{st} \binom{n}{s} \binom{n-s}{t}.
$$

To maximize this value, we should expect to choose *p* such that $pn^2 \approx p^{st}n^{s+t}$, so choose

$$
p = \varepsilon \cdot n^{(s+t-2)/(1-st)}
$$

for some small $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, writing $x^y = x \uparrow y$ for legibility, we find a $K_{s,t}$ -free graph with edge count at least

$$
\mathbb{E}(X - Y) \ge p {n \choose 2} - p^{st} {n \choose s} {n - s \choose t}
$$

\n
$$
\ge p(n/2)^2 - p^{st} n^{s+t}
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \cdot n \uparrow \left(2 + \frac{s+t-2}{1-st}\right) - \varepsilon^{st} \cdot n \uparrow \left(\frac{st(s+t-2)}{1-st} + s + t\right)
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \cdot n \uparrow \frac{(2 - 2st) + (s + t - 2)}{1-st} - \varepsilon^{st} \cdot n \uparrow \frac{(s^2t + t^2s - 2st) + (s - s^2t) + (t - st^2)}{1-st}
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \cdot n \uparrow \frac{s+t-2st}{1-st} - \varepsilon^{st} \cdot n \uparrow \frac{s+t-2st}{1-st}
$$

\n
$$
= (\varepsilon/4 - \varepsilon^{st}) \cdot n \uparrow \frac{s+t-2st}{1-st},
$$

and by choosing a small enough ε we can make $\varepsilon/4 - \varepsilon^{st} > 0$ to guarantee that this expression is always positive.

This seems like a pretty good lower bound, which we can see by considering the case when $s \approx t$. Indeed, if we let $s = t$ then the exponent on *n* is

$$
\frac{s+t-2st}{1-st} = \frac{2(s-s^2)}{1-s^2} = \frac{2s(1-s)}{(1+s)(1-s)} = \frac{2s}{1+s} = 2 - \frac{2}{1+s}.
$$

So we have a lower bound of $2 - 2/(1 + s)$, which is approximately $2 - 2/s$ and is thus quite close to our upper bound of $2 - 1/s$.