

Rainbow numbers for  $x_1 + x_2 = kx_3$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ Erin Bevilacqua\*, Samuel King†, Jürgen Kritschgau‡  
Michael Tait§, Suzannah Tebon¶, Michael Young||

September 13, 2018

**Abstract**

In this work, we investigate the fewest number of colors needed to guarantee a rainbow solution to the equation  $x_1 + x_2 = kx_3$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . This value is called the Rainbow number and is denoted by  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, k)$  for positive integer values of  $n$  and  $k$ . We find that  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_p, 1) = 4$  for all primes greater than 3 and that  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, 1)$  can be determined from the prime factorization of  $n$ . Furthermore, when  $k$  is prime,  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, k)$  can be determined from the prime factorization of  $n$ .

**Introduction**

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  be the cyclic group of order  $n$ , and let an  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  be a function  $c : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow [r]$  where  $[r] := \{1, \dots, r\}$ . In this paper, we assume that each  $r$ -coloring is *exact* (surjective). Given an exact  $r$ -coloring, we define  $r$  color classes  $C_i = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n \mid c(x) = i\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Occasionally, when convenient, we will use  $R, G, B,$  and  $Y$  to denote the colors or the color classes red, green, blue, and yellow, respectively.

Fix an integer  $k$ . Let a *triple*  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  be any three elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  which are a solution to  $x_1 + x_2 \equiv kx_3 \pmod n$ . When  $k = 1$ , we will call these triples *Schur triples*. Such a triple is called a *rainbow triple* under a coloring  $c$  when  $c(x_1) \neq c(x_2)$ ,  $c(x_1) \neq c(x_3)$ , and  $c(x_2) \neq c(x_3)$ . Consequently, a coloring will be called *rainbow-free* when there does not exist a rainbow triple in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  under  $c$ .

The *rainbow number* of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  given  $x_1 + x_2 = kx_3$ , denoted  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, k)$ , is the smallest positive integer  $r$  such that any  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  admits a rainbow triple. By convention, if such an integer does not exist, we set  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, k) = n + 1$ . A *maximum* coloring is a rainbow-free  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  where  $r = rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, k) - 1$ .

For a coloring  $c$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_{st}$ , the  $i^{\text{th}}$  *residue class* modulo  $t$  is the set of all the elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_{st}$  which are congruent to  $i \pmod t$ . Denote each residue class as  $R_i = \{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{st} \mid j \equiv i \pmod t\}$ . We say the  $i^{\text{th}}$  *residue palette* modulo  $t$  is the set of colors which appear in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  *residue class*, and we will denote each palette as  $P_i = \{c(j) \mid j \equiv i \pmod t\}$ .

Rainbow numbers for the equation  $x_1 + x_2 = 2x_3$ , for which the solutions are 3-term arithmetic progressions, have been studied in [4], [5], [7], and [9]. These problems are historically rooted in Roth's Theorem, Szemerédi's Theorem, and van der Waerden's Theorem. The first half of our paper explores the rainbow numbers of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  given the Schur equation,  $x_1 + x_2 = x_3$ . We rely on the work of Llano and Montejano in [8], Jungić et al. in [7], and Butler et al. in [5] to prove exact values for  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, 1)$  in terms of the prime factorization of  $n$ . Our results are an extension to the results in [4], [7], and [9].

\*Department of Mathematics, Pennsylvania State University, State College, PA 16801, USA, eib5092@psu.edu. NSA Grant H98230-18-1-0043.

†Department of Mathematics, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627, sking19@u.rochester.edu. NSA Grant H98230-18-1-0043.

‡Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA, jkritisch@iastate.edu.

§Department of Mathematics, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15289, mtait@cmu.edu. Research supported by NSF Grant DMS-1606350.

¶Department of Mathematics, Beloit College, Beloit, WI 53589, tebonsr@beloit.edu. NSA Grant H98230-18-1-0043

||Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA, myoung@iastate.edu. Research supported by NSF Grant DMS-1719841 and NSA Grant H98230-18-1-0043.

**Theorem 1.** For a prime  $p \geq 5$ ,  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_p, 1) = 4$ .

**Remark 1.** It can be deduced through inspection that  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_2, 1) = rb(\mathbb{Z}_3, 1) = 3$ .

Theorem 1 gives exact values for  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_p, 1)$  where  $p$  is prime. Therefore, Theorems 2 and 1 give exact values for  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, 1)$ . The proof for Theorem 2 is at the end of Section 1.3.

**Theorem 2.** For a positive integer  $n$  with prime factorization  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m}$ ,

$$rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, 1) = 2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \alpha_i (rb(\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}, 1) - 2) \right).$$

We continue by considering the equation  $x_1 + x_2 = px_3$  for any prime  $p$ . Many of the techniques for the  $k = 1$  case generalize. However, there are complications. If we let the prime factorization of  $n$  be  $n = p^\alpha \cdot q_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots q_m^{\alpha_m}$ , then we can produce a recursive formula for  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, p)$  detailed in Theorem 5.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $p, q$  be distinct and prime. Then  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_q, p) = 4$  if and only if  $p, q$  do not satisfy either of the following conditions:

1.  $p$  generates  $\mathbb{Z}_q^*$ ,
2.  $|p| = (q - 1)/2$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_q^*$  and  $(q - 1)/2$  is odd.

Otherwise,  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_q, p) = 3$ .

**Theorem 4.** For  $p \geq 3$  prime and  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,

$$rb(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}, p) = \begin{cases} 3 & p = 3, \alpha = 1 \\ 4 & p = 3, \alpha \geq 2 \\ \frac{p+1}{2} + 1 & p \geq 5 \end{cases}$$

The values for  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_{2^\alpha}, 2)$  are resolved in [4]. In conjunction with Theorems 3 and 4, Theorem 5 determines exact values for  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, p)$ . The proof for Theorem 5 is at the end of Section 2.4.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $n$  be a positive integer, and let  $p$  be prime. Let  $n$  have prime factorization  $n = p^\alpha \cdot q_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots q_m^{\alpha_m}$ . Then

$$rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, p) = rb(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}, p) + \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \alpha_i (rb(\mathbb{Z}_{q_i}, p) - 2) \right).$$

In the case that  $\alpha = 0$ , let  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}, p) = 2$ .

## 1 Schur Triples

Section 1 is dedicated to proving Theorem 2. In Section 1.1 we introduce the idea of a dominant color to describe the structural properties of colorings of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Additionally, we prove Proposition 9, the Schur triple counterpart of Theorem 3.2 in [7]. We use Proposition 9 to prove Theorem 1, concluding Section 1.1. In Section 1.2 we show that the lower bound of  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, 1)$  can be determined by the prime factorization of  $n$ . The equivalent upper bound is proved in 1.3. Combining Sections 1.2 and 1.3 proves Theorem 2.

## 1.1 Schur Triples in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , $p$ prime

Let  $c$  be a coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . We say a sequence  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k$  of colors *appears at position  $i$*  if  $c(i) = S_1, c(i+1) = S_2, \dots, c(i+k-1) = S_k$ . A sequence is *bichromatic* if it contains exactly two colors. A color  $R$  is *dominant* if for  $S = \{c(x) : i \leq x \leq j, i < j\}$ ,  $|S| = 2$  implies  $R \in S$ . That is,  $R$  appears in every bichromatic string. Using dominant colors to derive a contradiction is used in [7]. We also use this idea to describe the structure of rainbow-free colorings of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . However, we must show that a dominant color exists.

**Lemma 6.** *There exists a dominant color in every rainbow-free coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Furthermore,  $c(1)$  is dominant.*

*Proof.* Let  $c$  be a rainbow-free coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Note that  $(1, i, i+1)$  is a Schur triple for all  $i \notin \{0, 1\}$ . Since  $c$  is rainbow-free, either  $c(i) = c(i+1)$ ,  $c(1) = c(i)$ , or  $c(1) = c(i+1)$ . Thus, if  $c(i) \neq c(i+1)$ , then  $c(1)$  must appear on either  $i$  or  $i+1$ . This implies that  $c(1)$  is dominant.  $\square$

An immediate result from this lemma is that any color which doesn't appear on 1 must be adjacent to itself or the dominant color. Now we can relate the structure of our coloring to the presence of a rainbow triple. Without loss of generality, let  $c(1) = R$  be dominant.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $c$  be an  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  with  $r \geq 3$ . If  $BB$  and  $GG$  appears in  $c$ , then there exists a rainbow Schur triple in  $c$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $c$  be an  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  with  $r \geq 3$  such that  $BB$  and  $GG$  appears in  $c$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $R$  is dominant, and  $c$  contains  $BB$  and  $GG$ . Then, the sequence  $BBR$  must appear at some position  $i$  and the sequence  $GGR$  must appear at some position  $j$ .

Consider the Schur triple  $(i, j+2, i+j+2)$ . Since  $c(i) = B$ , and  $c(j+2) = R$ , then either  $c$  contains a rainbow Schur triple, or  $c(i+j+2)$  is  $R$  or  $B$ . Assume the second case, and consider the Schur triple  $(i+2, j, i+j+2)$ . Since  $c(i+2) = R$ , and  $c(j) = G$  then either  $c$  contains a rainbow Schur triple or  $c(i+j+2)$  is  $R$ . Again, assume the second case, and finally consider the triple  $(i+1, j+1, i+j+2)$ . Since  $c(i+1) = B$ ,  $c(j+1) = G$ , and  $c(i+j+2) = R$ , this triple is rainbow. Therefore,  $c$  contains a rainbow Schur triple.  $\square$

Therefore, if  $c$  is a rainbow-free coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  with  $R$  dominant, either  $GG$  or  $BB$  can appear in  $c$ , but not both. Next we show that there are ways to re-order colorings while maintaining whether or not Schur triples are rainbow.

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $c$  be an  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . If  $m$  is relatively prime to  $n$ , then  $c$  has a rainbow Schur triple if and only if  $\hat{c}(x) := c(mx)$  contains a rainbow Schur triple. Additionally, the cardinality of each color class will be maintained.*

*Proof.* Let  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  be a triple in  $c$ . By definition,  $x_1 + x_2 = x_3$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + x_2 &= sn + r \\ x_3 &= tn + r, \end{aligned}$$

as equations in the integers for some  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Multiply both equations by  $m$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} mx_1 + mx_2 &= msn + mr \\ mx_3 &= mtn + mr \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $mx_1 + mx_2 \equiv mr \pmod{n}$ , and  $mx_3 \equiv mr \pmod{n}$ , so  $mx_1 + mx_2 \equiv mx_3 \pmod{n}$ . Thus,  $(mx_1, mx_2, mx_3)$  is rainbow in  $\hat{c}$  if and only if  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  is rainbow in  $c$ .

Finally, the last statement of Lemma 8 follows from the fact that if  $m$  is relatively prime to  $n$ , then the map  $F : x \mapsto mx$  is a bijection.  $\square$

Our next result is the Schur equation counterpart to Theorem 3.2 in [7].

**Proposition 9.** *Let  $p$  be prime. Then every 3-coloring  $c$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  with  $\min(|R|, |G|, |B|) > 1$  contains a rainbow Schur triple.*

*Proof.* For the sake of contradiction, assume that  $c$  is a rainbow-free 3-coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\min(|R|, |G|, |B|) > 1$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $|R| = \min(|R|, |G|, |B|)$ . Since there are at least two elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  colored  $R$ , there exists a minimal element  $1 \leq i \leq p-1$  such that  $c(i) = R$ . Because  $p$  is prime,  $i$  is relatively prime to  $p$  and  $i$  has a multiplicative inverse. Let  $\hat{c}(x) := c(ix)$  so that  $\hat{c}(1) = R$ . Therefore, by Lemma 6,  $R$  is dominant in  $\hat{c}$ . By Lemma 7,  $BB$  and  $GG$  cannot both appear in  $\hat{c}$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $GG$  does not appear in  $\hat{c}$ . Because  $R$  is dominant,  $R$  must follow each  $G$ , so  $|R| \geq |G|$ . Furthermore,  $BR$  must appear in  $\hat{c}$ . This implies that  $|R| \geq |G| + 1$  in  $\hat{c}$  which implies  $|R| \geq |G| + 1$  in  $c$  by Lemma 8. This contradicts our assumption that  $|R| = \min(|R|, |G|, |B|)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 10.** *If  $c$  is a rainbow-free  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for a prime  $p$  with  $r > 2$ , then  $c(x) = c(-x)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $c$  be a rainbow-free  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . For the sake of contradiction, assume that there exists  $i, -i$  with  $c(i) \neq c(-i)$ . Without loss of generality, let  $c(i) = R$  and  $c(-i) = G$ . Now, let  $\hat{c}(x) := c(ix)$  and let  $\bar{c}(x) := c(-ix)$ . By Lemma 8,  $\hat{c}$  and  $\bar{c}$  are both rainbow-free. Since  $\hat{c}(1) = c(i) = R$  and  $\bar{c}(1) = c(-i) = G$ ,  $R$  is dominant in  $\hat{c}$ , and  $G$  is dominant in  $\bar{c}$ . Notice that  $\hat{c}(x) = \bar{c}(-x)$ , so if two colors are adjacent at some position in  $\hat{c}$ , then they are also adjacent at some position in  $\bar{c}$ . Thus, since  $G$  is dominant in  $\bar{c}$ ,  $G$  must also appear in every bichromatic sequence in  $\hat{c}$ , and, consequently,  $G$  is also dominant in  $\hat{c}$ . If both  $R$  and  $G$  are dominant in  $\hat{c}$ , then  $\hat{c}$  must only contain  $R$  and  $G$ , and  $r = 2$ ; this is a contradiction.  $\square$

Note that this lemma shows that the coloring from 1 to  $p-1$  must be symmetric in a rainbow-free coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

**Remark 2.** *For any prime  $p \geq 5$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  can be colored with three colors by coloring zero uniquely and coloring 1 to  $p-1$  with two colors in any way such that  $c(x) = c(-x)$  for all  $x$ . This coloring is rainbow-free since any three group elements which witness three colors must contain 0, and in order to make a Schur triple of three distinct elements where one of the elements is 0 the other two elements must be  $x$  and  $-x$  for some  $x$  (see also Corollary 2 in [8]).*

Now we have enough information about the structure of rainbow-free colorings to prove Theorem 1. A color class  $C$  is *singleton* if  $|C| = 1$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* For the sake of contradiction, suppose that  $r + 1 = rb(\mathbb{Z}_p, 1) > 4$  for a prime  $p \geq 5$ , and let  $c$  be a rainbow-free  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  with  $r > 3$ . Note that since  $c$  is rainbow-free, at least one of the color classes in  $c$  must contain more than one element. Partition the color classes of  $c$  into three sets to define  $\hat{c}$ , an exact 3-coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . We use the union of the color classes within each part of the partition as the color classes for  $\hat{c}$ . Since we are concatenating colors,  $\hat{c}$  is also rainbow-free. By Proposition 9, regardless of how the color classes of  $c$  are partitioned, there exists some color class in  $\hat{c}$  with exactly one element. If  $r \geq 5$ , then there exists a partition of the five or more color classes such that each color class has more than one element. Therefore,  $r = 4$ .

Furthermore, if two or more color classes are not singleton, then there would exist a partition of the color classes that yields no singleton color classes in  $\hat{c}$ . Therefore, all but one of the four color classes in  $c$  must be singleton.

If there are three singleton color classes in  $c$ , then there exists an  $x \neq 0$  such that  $c(x) \neq c(-x)$ . This contradicts Lemma 10, and  $c$  cannot be rainbow-free.

Thus, there does not exist an exact rainbow-free  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for  $r > 3$  and  $p \geq 5$ .  $\square$

## 1.2 Lower Bound

In order to prove the lower bound for  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, 1)$ , we examine the relationship between Schur triples in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n}{m}}$  where  $m$  divides  $n$ .

**Lemma 11.** *If there exists a Schur triple of form  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  where  $m|x_1, x_2, x_3$  for some  $m|n$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then there exists a Schur triple of the form  $(x_1/m, x_2/m, x_3/m)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n}{m}}$ .*

*Proof.* By definition,  $x_1 + x_2 = x_3$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  implies that in the integers

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 + x_2 &= qn + r \\x_3 &= tn + r,\end{aligned}$$

for some  $q, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Divide both equations by  $m$  to get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{x_1}{m} + \frac{x_2}{m} &= q\frac{n}{m} + \frac{r}{m} \\ \frac{x_3}{m} &= t\frac{n}{m} + \frac{r}{m}.\end{aligned}$$

Now we must check that  $\frac{r}{m}$  is an integer. Since  $m|(x_1 + x_2 - qn)$ , we know  $m|r$ .

By definition, this means that there exists a Schur triple of the form  $(x_1/m, x_2/m, x_3/m)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n}{m}}$ .  $\square$

This shows that Schur triples can be “projected” from the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  to a subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n}{m}}$ . Next, we will show another property of Schur triples related to the divisibility of a triple’s elements by a prime.

**Lemma 12.** *For a positive integer  $n$  and a prime  $p$ , if  $x_1 + x_2 \equiv x_3 \pmod{np}$ , then  $p$  cannot divide exactly two of  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $x_1 + x_2 \equiv x_3 \pmod{np}$ , then there exist integers  $c_1, c_2$ , and  $r_0$  such that  $x_1 + x_2 = c_1np + r_0$  and  $x_3 = c_2np + r_0$ .

Assume that  $p$  divides  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Then there exist integers  $c_3$  and  $c_4$  such that  $x_1 = c_3p$  and  $x_2 = c_4p$ . We know there exist integers  $c_5$  and  $r_1$  with  $0 \leq r_1 < p$  such that  $x_3 = c_5p + r_1$ , so we want to show  $r_1 = 0$ . Immediately, we see that  $c_3p + c_4p = c_1np + r_0$  and  $c_5p + r_1 = c_2np + r_0$ , which, after substituting for  $r_0$ , shows us  $c_3p + c_4p = c_1np + c_5p + r_1 - c_2np$ . Solving for  $r_1$  gives us

$$\begin{aligned}r_1 &= c_3p + c_4p - c_1np - c_5p + c_2np \\ &= p(c_3 + c_4 - c_1n - c_5 + c_2n)\end{aligned}$$

This means that  $p$  divides  $r_1$ , forcing  $r_1 = 0$ . Thus,  $p$  divides  $x_3$ .

Now assume  $p$  divides  $x_1$  and  $x_3$ , i.e. there exist integers  $c_6$  and  $c_7$  such that  $x_1 = c_6p$  and  $x_3 = c_7p$ . We know there exist integers  $c_8$  and  $r_2$  with  $0 \leq r_2 < p$  such that  $x_2 = c_8p + r_2$ , so we want to show  $r_2 = 0$ . Immediately, we see that  $c_6p + c_8p + r_2 = c_1np + r_0$  and  $c_7p = c_2np + r_0$ , which, after substituting for  $r_0$ , shows us  $c_6p + c_8p + r_2 = c_1np + c_7p - c_2np$ . Solving for  $r_2$  gives us

$$\begin{aligned}r_2 &= c_1np + c_7p - c_2np - c_6p - c_8p \\ &= p(c_1n + c_7 - c_2n - c_6 - c_8)\end{aligned}$$

This means that  $p$  divides  $r_2$ , forcing  $r_2 = 0$ . Thus,  $p$  divides  $x_2$ . By symmetry, this case is identical to the case where  $p$  divides  $x_2$  and  $x_3$ .

Therefore, we can see that if  $p$  divides two elements in  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , then  $p$  must also divide the third.  $\square$

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $p, t$  be positive integers with  $p$  prime. If there exists a rainbow-free  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_t$ , then there exists a rainbow-free  $r + rb(\mathbb{Z}_p, 1) - 2$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_{pt}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t, p$  be positive integers such that  $p$  is a prime. Assume  $\hat{c}$  is a rainbow-free  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_t$ . Then let  $c$  be an exact  $(r + rb(\mathbb{Z}_p, 1) - 2)$ -coloring (if  $p = 2$  or  $p = 3$ , then  $c$  is an exact  $(r + 1)$ -coloring. Otherwise,  $c$  is an exact  $r + 2$  coloring) of  $\mathbb{Z}_{pt}$  as follows:

$$c(x) := \begin{cases} \hat{c}(x/p) & x \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ r + 1 & x \equiv 1 \text{ or } p - 1 \pmod{p} \\ r + 2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Notice that if  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  is a Schur triple in  $\mathbb{Z}_{pt}$ , then there are three cases by Lemma 12:  $p$  divides exactly one of  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ ,  $p$  divides each of  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , or  $p$  divides none of  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ .

**Case 1:** The two terms  $x_i, x_j$  where  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  that are not divisible by  $p$  are either additive inverses modulo  $p$  or are equal modulo  $p$ . Thus,  $c(x_i) = c(x_j)$  and  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  does not form a triple.

**Case 2:** The coloring of each  $x_i$  is inherited from  $\hat{c}$ . Since  $\hat{c}$  does not admit rainbow triples, we know that this triple will not be rainbow by Lemma 11.

**Case 3:** The three integers in the triple will be colored from  $\{r+1, r+2\}$ , so the triple will not be rainbow. In each case,  $c$  is a rainbow-free  $r + rb(\mathbb{Z}_p, 1) - 2$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_{pt}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 14.** For any positive integer  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m}$ ,

$$rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, 1) \geq 2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \alpha_i (rb(\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}, 1) - 2) \right).$$

*Proof.* If  $n$  is prime, there is nothing to show. Suppose that the claim holds true for  $n$  where  $n$  has  $N$  prime factors.

Assume that  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m}$  where  $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m = N + 1$ . By the induction hypothesis, there exists a rainbow-free  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_{n/p_1}$  where

$$r = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \alpha_i (rb(\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}, 1) - 2) \right) - rb(\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}, 1) + 2.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 13, there exists a rainbow-free  $r + rb(\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}, 1) - 2$  coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Thus, by induction

$$rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, 1) \geq 2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \alpha_i (rb(\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}, 1) - 2) \right).$$

$\square$

### 1.3 Upper Bound

To establish the upper bound for  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, 1)$ , we consider residue classes and their corresponding residue palettes under  $c$ .

**Lemma 15.** Let  $R_0, R_1, \dots, R_{t-1}$  be the residue classes modulo  $t$  for  $\mathbb{Z}_{st}$ , and let  $P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{t-1}$  be the corresponding residue palettes under rainbow-free  $c$ . Then  $|P_i \setminus P_0| \leq 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $|P_i \setminus P_0| \geq 2$ . Then  $R_i$  must contain at least two elements which receive colors that do not appear in  $P_0$ . Without loss of generality, let  $G$  and  $B$  denote two colors in  $P_i \setminus P_0$ . Then there exists two integers  $m$  and  $n$  such that  $c(mt+i) = G$  and  $c(nt+i) = B$ . Consider the Schur triple  $(mt-nt, nt+i, mt+i)$ . Notice that  $mt-nt \equiv 0 \pmod{t}$ ,  $c(mt-nt) \neq G, B$ . Thus, we have a rainbow triple under  $c$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{st}$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $|P_i \setminus P_0| \leq 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ .  $\square$

Lemma 15 lets us create a well-defined reduction of a coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_s t$  to a coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_t$ .

**Lemma 16.** Let  $s$  and  $t$  be positive integers. Let  $R_0, R_1, \dots, R_{t-1}$  be the residue classes modulo  $t$  for  $\mathbb{Z}_{st}$  with corresponding residue palettes  $P_i$ . Suppose  $c$  is a coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_{st}$  where  $|P_i \setminus P_0| \leq 1$ . Let  $\hat{c}$  be a coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_t$  given by

$$\hat{c}(i) := \begin{cases} P_i \setminus P_0 & \text{if } |P_i \setminus P_0| = 1 \\ \alpha & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha \notin P_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq t$ . If  $\hat{c}$  contains a rainbow Schur triple, then  $c$  contains a rainbow Schur triple.

*Proof.* Suppose  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  is a rainbow Schur triple in  $\hat{c}$ . Then, at least two of  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  must receive a color other than  $\alpha$ . We consider the following two cases.

**Case 1:** Neither  $x_1$  nor  $x_2$  receive color  $\alpha$ .

Without loss of generality, assume that  $c(x_1) = G$  and  $C(x_2) = B$ . This implies that there exist  $n, m$  such that  $c(nt + x_1) = G$  and  $c(mt + x_2) = B$ . There is a Schur triple of the form  $(nt + x_1, mt + x_2, (n + m)t + (x_1 + x_2))$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{st}$ . Since  $x_1 + x_2 \equiv x_3 \pmod{t}$ ,  $(n + m)t + (x_1 + x_2)$  is in the residue class  $R_{x_3}$ . As  $\hat{c}(x_3) \neq G, B$ , we have  $G, B \notin P_{x_3}$ . Therefore, the triple  $(nt + x_1, mt + x_2, (n + m)t + (x_1 + x_2))$  is rainbow.

**Case 2:** One of  $x_1$  or  $x_2$  is colored  $\alpha$ .

Without loss of generality, assume that  $c(x_1) = \alpha$ ,  $c(x_2) = B$ , and  $c(x_3) = G$ . Then  $c(nt + x_2) = B$  for some  $n$ , and  $c(mt + x_3) = G$  for some  $m$ . There is a Schur triple of the form  $((m - n)t + (x_3 - x_2), nt + x_2, mt + x_3)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{st}$ . Since  $x_1 + x_2 \equiv x_3 \pmod{t}$ ,  $(m - n)t + (x_3 - x_2)$  is in the residue class  $R_{x_1}$ . As  $\hat{c}(x_1) = \alpha$ , we have  $G, B \notin P_{x_1}$ . Therefore, the triple  $((m - n)t + (x_3 - x_2), nt + x_2, mt + x_3)$  is rainbow.

Hence, if  $\hat{c}$  has a rainbow Schur triple, then  $c$  has a rainbow Schur triple.  $\square$

We use the coloring described in Lemma 16 to prove an upper bound for  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_{st}, 1)$ .

**Proposition 17.** *Let  $s$  and  $t$  be positive integers. Then  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_{st}, 1) \leq rb(\mathbb{Z}_s, 1) + rb(\mathbb{Z}_t, 1) - 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $c$  be an exact  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_{st}$ , and let  $\hat{c}$  be a coloring constructed from  $c$  as in Lemma 16. Notice that the set of colors used in  $c$  is comprised of the colors in  $R_0$  and each color used in  $\hat{c}$  other than  $\alpha$ . Thus,  $r = |P_0| + |\hat{c}| - 1$ , where  $|\hat{c}|$  is the number of colors appearing in  $\hat{c}$ . If  $c$  is a rainbow-free coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_{st}$ , then  $R_0$  is a rainbow-free coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_s$ . Thus,  $|P_0| \leq rb(\mathbb{Z}_s, 1) - 1$ . Also,  $\hat{c}$  is a rainbow-free coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_t$ , so  $|\hat{c}| \leq rb(\mathbb{Z}_t, 1) - 1$ . Thus,  $r \leq rb(\mathbb{Z}_s, 1) + rb(\mathbb{Z}_t, 1) - 3$ . If we let  $c$  be the maximum rainbow-free coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_{st}$ , then  $r = rb(\mathbb{Z}_{st}, 1) - 1$ . This shows that  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_{st}, 1) \leq rb(\mathbb{Z}_s, 1) + rb(\mathbb{Z}_t, 1) - 2$ .  $\square$

Using both the upper bound we just established and the lower bound established in Proposition 14 of Section 1.2, we prove Theorem 2.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Recursively applying Proposition 17 to prime factors of  $n$  yields

$$rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, 1) \leq 2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \alpha_i (rb(\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}, 1) - 2) \right).$$

Since this is identical to the lower bound from Proposition 14 in Section 1.2, we can conclude

$$rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, 1) = 2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \alpha_i (rb(\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}, 1) - 2) \right).$$

$\square$

## 2 Triples for $x_1 + x_2 = px_3$ , $p$ prime

Section 2 is dedicated to proving Theorem 5. In Section 2.1, we establish exact values for  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_q, p)$  where  $p \neq q$  are prime. Finding an exact value for  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_p, p)$  is more difficult, and is the subject of Section 2.2. Some properties of rainbow-free colorings of  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  are used in the construction of the general lower bound in Section 2.3. The equivalent upper bound is proved in 2.4. Combining Sections 2.3 and 2.3 proves Theorem 5.



## 2.1 Exact values for $rb(\mathbb{Z}_q, p)$ , $p \neq q$ prime

Lemmas 20, 21, 22, 23 establish the upper bound  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_q, p) \leq 4$ . These lemmas are proven by assuming that there exists a rainbow-free  $r$ -coloring  $c$  with  $r \geq 4$ , and reducing  $c$  to a 3-coloring  $\hat{c}$ . In each case, we find that  $\hat{c}$  does not conform to the structure of a rainbow-free 3-coloring outlined in Theorem 18 proven in [8]. For convenience, we include Theorem 18 and the necessary definitions from [8].

For a subset  $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_q^*$  and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_q^*$  define  $aX := \{ax \mid x \in X\}$ ,  $X + a := \{x + a \mid x \in X\}$ , and  $X - a := X + (-a)$ . We say the set  $aX$  is the *dilation* of  $X$  by  $a$ . Let  $\langle x \rangle \leq \mathbb{Z}_q^*$  denote the subgroup multiplicatively generated by  $x$ . A subset  $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_q^*$  is *H-periodic* if  $X$  is the union of cosets of  $H$ , where  $H \leq \mathbb{Z}_q^*$ . In the case that  $X$  is  $\langle -1 \rangle$ -periodic, we say that  $X$  is *symmetric*. This coincides with the notion that  $X$  is symmetric if and only if  $X = -X$ .

**Theorem 18.** *[[8], Theorem 2] A 3-coloring  $\mathbb{Z}_q = A \cup B \cup C$  with  $1 \leq |A| \leq |B| \leq |C|$  is rainbow-free for  $x_1 + x_2 = kx_3$  if and only if, up to dilation, one of the following holds.*

1.  $A = \{0\}$  and both  $B$  and  $C$  are symmetric and  $\langle k \rangle$ -periodic subsets.
2.  $A = \{1\}$  for
  - (i)  $k = 2 \pmod q$ ,  $(B - 1)$  and  $(C - 1)$  are symmetric and  $\langle 2 \rangle$ -periodic subsets.
  - (ii)  $k = -1 \pmod q$ ,  $(B \setminus \{2\}) + 2^{-1}$ ,  $(C \setminus \{2\}) + 2^{-1}$  are symmetric subsets.
3.  $|A| \geq 2$ , for  $k = -1 \pmod q$  and  $A, B$ , and  $C$  are arithmetic progressions with difference 1 such that  $A = [a_1, a_2 - 1]$ ,  $B = [a_2, a_3 - 1]$ , and  $C = [a_3, a_1 - 1]$ , with  $(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) = 1$  or  $2$ .

Suppose that  $q \geq 5$  is prime. Let  $c$  be a coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  with color classes  $C_1, \dots, C_r$  with  $1 \leq |C_1| \leq |C_2| \leq \dots \leq |C_r|$  and  $r \geq 4$ .

**Observation 19.** *If  $C_1 = \{0\}$  and  $C_2 = \{x\}$ , then  $(x, -x, 0)$  is a rainbow triple for  $x \neq 0$ .*

Therefore, if  $c$  has two or more singleton color classes, we can assume that  $\{0\}$  is not a color class. Furthermore, since dilation preserves the rainbow-free property, we can assume that if  $|C_2| = 1$ , then  $C_1 = \{1\}$ .

**Lemma 20.** *If  $p \not\equiv -1 \pmod q$  and  $|C_2| = 1$ , then  $c$  admits a rainbow triple.*

*Proof.* Consider the coloring  $\hat{c}$  given by the color classes  $C_1, C_2, \bigcup_{i=3}^r C_i$ . If  $\hat{c}$  admits a rainbow triple, then  $c$  also admits a rainbow triple and we are done. If  $\hat{c}$  does not admit a rainbow triple, then  $\hat{c}$  must conform to case 2.(i) in Theorem 18. Therefore,  $p \equiv 2 \pmod q$ . In this case, triples satisfying  $x_1 + x_2 = kx_3$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  are 3-term arithmetic progressions. In [5], Proposition 3.5 establishes that  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_q, 2) \leq 4$ . Therefore, there exists a rainbow triple under  $c$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 21.** *If  $p \equiv -1 \pmod q$  and  $|C_3| = 1$ , then  $c$  admits a rainbow triple.*

*Proof.* Let  $C_2 = \{x\}, C_3 = \{y\}$ . For the sake of contradiction, assume that  $c$  is rainbow free.

If  $x = 2$ , then  $(x, -3, 1)$  is a rainbow triple. The same argument for  $y$  shows that  $x, y \neq 2$ .

Consider the coloring  $\hat{c}$  given by the color classes  $C_1, C_2, \bigcup_{i=3}^r C_i$ . Then by Theorem 18 we must have  $C_2 \setminus \{2\} + 2^{-1}$  is symmetric and so  $x + 2^{-1} = -2^{-1} - x$ . Solving for  $x$  gives that  $x = -2^{-1}$ . Considering the coloring given by  $C_1, C_3, C_2 \cup \bigcup_{i=4}^r C_i$  gives that  $y = -2^{-1}$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 22.** *If  $p \not\equiv -1 \pmod q$ , and  $|C_2| \geq 2$ , then  $c$  admits a rainbow triple.*

*Proof.* For the sake of contradiction, suppose that  $c$  does not admit a rainbow triple. Consider the coloring  $\hat{c}$  given by  $C_1 \cup C_2, C_3, \bigcup_{i=4}^r C_i$ . Since  $|C_3| \geq |C_2| \geq 2$ , notice that  $\hat{c}$  does not have a singleton color class and is rainbow-free. This contradicts Theorem 18.  $\square$

**Lemma 23.** *If  $p \equiv -1 \pmod q$  and  $|C_3| \geq 2$ , then  $c$  admits a rainbow triple.*



*Proof.* For the sake of contradiction, suppose that  $c$  does not admit a rainbow triple. There are two cases:  $|C_2| \geq 2$ , or  $|C_2| = 1$ .

**Case 1:** Assume that  $|C_2| \geq 2$  and  $C_1 = \{x\}$ . By Theorem 18, the coloring  $C_1 \cup C_2, C_3, \bigcup_{i=4}^r C_i$  is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 \cup C_2 &= [a_1, a_2 - 1], \\ C_3 &= [a_2, a_3 - 1], \\ \bigcup_{i=4}^r C_i &= [a_3, a_1 - 1]. \end{aligned}$$

$x$  is not adjacent to at least one of  $C_3$  or  $\bigcup_{i=4}^r C_i$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $x$  is not adjacent to  $C_3$  (the other case follows the same argument). Consider the coloring  $\hat{c}$  given by  $C_2, C_1 \cup C_3, \bigcup_{i=4}^r C_i$ . Notice that  $\hat{c}$  can only be dilated by 1 or  $-1$  to preserve the interval structure of  $\bigcup_{i=4}^r C_i$ . However, dilating by 1 or  $-1$  will not make  $C_1 \cup C_3$  an arithmetic progression with difference 1. This is a contradiction.

**Case 2:** Assume that  $|C_2| = 1$ . Consider the coloring  $\hat{c}$  given by  $C_1 \cup C_2, C_3, \bigcup_{i=4}^r C_i$ . By Theorem 18,  $\hat{c}$  is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 \cup C_2 &= [a_1, a_2 - 1], \\ C_3 &= [a_2, a_3 - 1], \\ \bigcup_{i=4}^r C_i &= [a_3, a_1 - 1] \end{aligned}$$

with  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \in \{1, 2\}$ . Since every set is an arithmetic progression with difference 1,  $a_2 - 1 = a_1 + 1$ . This implies that  $a_3 \in \{-2a_1 - 1, -2a_1\}$ . This implies that  $c(-2a_1 - 1) \neq c(a_1), c(a_1 + 1)$ . Therefore, triple  $(-2a_1 - 1, a_1, a_1 + 1)$  is rainbow, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.* By Lemmas 20, 21, 22, and 23, we know that  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_q, p) \leq 4$ . Therefore, it suffices to show that there exists a rainbow-free 3-coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  if and only if  $p, q$  do not satisfy either condition 1 or 2. First we will prove that if there exists a rainbow-free 3-coloring, then  $p, q$  do not satisfy conditions 1 and 2.

Let  $c$  be a rainbow-free 3-coloring. There are two cases,  $p \not\equiv -1 \pmod q$  or  $p \equiv -1 \pmod q$ .

**Case 1:** By Theorem 18, either 0 is uniquely colored, or  $p \equiv 2 \pmod q$ .

Suppose 0 is uniquely colored and  $c(1) = R$ . Notice that if  $c(x) = R$ , then  $c(px) = R$  and  $c(-x) = R$ . If  $p, q$  satisfy either 1 or 2, then  $\{p^i, -p^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \mathbb{Z}_q^*$ , which contradicts the fact that  $c$  is a 3-coloring.

Suppose  $p \equiv 2 \pmod q$ . Then neither 1 nor 2 are satisfied by Theorem 3.5 in [7].

**Case 2:** Suppose  $p \equiv -1 \pmod q$ . Then  $|p| = 2$ . If  $(q - 1)/2$  is odd, then  $(q - 1)/2 \neq 2$ . Therefore, neither 1 nor 2 are satisfied.

To prove the reverse direction, suppose that  $p, q$  do not satisfy either 1 or 2. Let  $c$  be given by

$$C_1 = \{0\}, C_2 = \{p^i, -p^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}, C_3 = \mathbb{Z}_q^* \setminus C_2.$$

Since  $p, q$  do not satisfy either 1 or 2,  $C_3$  is non-empty. Notice that any rainbow triple must contain 0 and some element  $y \in C_2$ . However, if  $0, y, z$  is a triple, then  $z \in C_2$ . Therefore,  $c$  is rainbow-free.  $\square$

The following corollary is used in Section 2.3 to prove a general lower bound for  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, p)$ .

**Corollary 24.** *There exists a maximum rainbow-free coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  where 0 is uniquely colored and the color classes are symmetric.*

## 2.2 Exact values for $rb(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}, p)$ , $p$ prime

In order to determine the rainbow numbers for equations of the form  $x_1 + x_2 = px_3$  for prime  $p \geq 3$  we still need to determine  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}, p)$  for  $\alpha \geq 1$ . We will prove Theorem 4 using induction. Observation 25 and Propositions 26, 27, and 28 provide the lower bound and base case for our induction argument. Lemmas 29 and 30 provide the basic structure of a rainbow-free coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$ . Lastly, Lemmas 31, and 32 exploit the structure to derive a contradiction by forcing a rainbow triple. Throughout this section, for  $0 \leq k \leq p-1$ , recall that the  $k^{\text{th}}$  residue class mod  $p$  is the set  $R_k = \{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha} : j \equiv k \pmod{p}\}$  and that the  $k^{\text{th}}$  residue palette  $P_k$  is the set of colors which appear on  $R_k$ .

**Observation 25.** Notice  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_3, 3) = 3$  and  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_9, 3) = 4$ .

**Proposition 26.** Let  $p \geq 3$  be prime. Then  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_p, p) = \frac{p+1}{2} + 1$ .

*Proof.* To prove the lower bound, consider the following coloring:

$$c(x) = \begin{cases} x & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{p+1}{2} \\ -x & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Notice that  $c(x) = c(-x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Furthermore, if  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  is a triple, then  $x_1 = -x_2$ . Thus,  $c$  is a rainbow-free  $\frac{p+1}{2}$  coloring, and  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_p, p) > \frac{p+1}{2}$ .

To prove the upper bound, assume that  $c$  is an  $\frac{p+1}{2} + 1$  coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . By the pigeonhole principle, there exists  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $x \neq 0$  and  $c(x) \neq c(-x)$ . Since  $p \geq 3$ ,  $x \neq -x$ , and there exist  $y \neq x, -x$  such that  $c(y) \neq c(x), c(-x)$ . Therefore,  $(x, -x, y)$  is a rainbow-triple, and  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_p, p) \leq \frac{p+1}{2} + 1$ .  $\square$

For the rest of the section, we will assume that  $\alpha \geq 2$ .

**Proposition 27.** For  $\alpha \geq 2$ ,

$$rb(\mathbb{Z}_{3^\alpha}, 3) > 3.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\alpha \geq 3$  and  $\bar{c}$  is a rainbow-free 3-coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_9$ . Let  $c$  be a 3-coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$  given by  $c(i) := \bar{c}(i \pmod{9})$ . Assume that  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  is a triple in  $\mathbb{Z}_{3^\alpha}$ . Then  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  is a triple in  $\mathbb{Z}_9$  and cannot be rainbow.  $\square$

**Proposition 28.** For prime  $p \geq 5$  and  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,

$$rb(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}, p) \geq \frac{p+1}{2} + 1.$$

*Proof.* Color all of  $R_i, R_{p-i}$  color  $i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$ . Suppose  $x_1 + x_2 = px_3$  and  $x_1 \equiv j \pmod{p}$  for  $0 \leq j \leq p-1$ . Then  $x_2 \equiv p-j \pmod{p}$ , and  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  is not rainbow.  $\square$

**Lemma 29.** If  $c$  does not admit a rainbow triple, then

$$P_i = P_{p-i}$$

when  $0 < i < p$ .

*Proof.* For the sake of contradiction, suppose that there exists  $0 < i < p$  with  $G \in P_i \setminus P_{p-i}$ . Then there exists an element  $px + i$  with color  $G$  in  $R_i$ . Let  $py + p - i$  be an element in  $R_{p-i}$ . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= p(py - x + p - 1 - i) + p - i \\ x_2 &= px + i \\ x_3 &= py + p - i \end{aligned}$$

is a triple. Since  $G \notin P_{p-i}$ , we have  $c(x_3) = c(x_1)$ . Furthermore,  $x_1 - x_3 = p(py - x + p - 1 - i) + p - i - py - p + i = p(y(p-1) - x + p - 1)$ . Since  $py + p - i$  was arbitrary, we can choose  $y$  so that  $y(p-1) - x + p - 1 \not\equiv 0$

mod  $p$ . Since  $y(p-1) - x + p - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , we know that  $y(p-1) - x + p - 1$  is an additive generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-1}}$ . This implies that  $P_{p-i} = \{B\}$ .

Let  $pz + j$  be an element with  $c(pz + j) \notin \{G, B\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= p(pz - x + j - 1) + p - i \\x_2 &= px + i \\x_3 &= pz + j\end{aligned}$$

is a rainbow triple, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

Notice that by Lemma 29, it is sufficient to only consider the structure of  $R_i$  for  $0 < i < \frac{p+1}{2}$ .

**Lemma 30.** *Suppose  $c$  does not admit a rainbow triple. If there exists  $0 < i < p$  such that  $|P_i \setminus P_0| \geq 1$ , then  $|P_0| = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $c$  does not admit a rainbow triple,  $P_i = P_{p-i}$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $G \in P_i \setminus P_0$  and let  $c(pa_1 + i) = c(pa_2 + p - i) = G$ . Let  $pb \in R_0$  be arbitrary. Consider the following triple:

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= pb \\x_2 &= p(pa_1 + i - b) \\x_3 &= pa_1 + i.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $c$  is rainbow-free,  $c(x_1) = c(x_2)$ . Next, consider the following triple:

$$\begin{aligned}x'_1 &= p(pa_1 + i - b) \\x'_2 &= p(pa_2 + p - i - pa_1 - i + b) \\x'_3 &= pa_2 + p - i.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $c$  is rainbow-free,  $c(x'_1) = c(x'_2)$ . This implies that

$$c(pb) = c(p(pa_2 + p - i - pa_1 - i + b)).$$

Notice that difference in position between  $x'_2$  and  $pb$ , given by  $pa_2 + p - i - pa_1 - i + b - b$ , does not depend on  $b$ . Furthermore,  $pa_2 + p - i - pa_1 - i + b - b$  is relatively prime to  $p^{\alpha-1}$ . Therefore, all elements in  $R_0$  receive the same color.  $\square$

**Lemma 31.** *Let  $p$  be prime with  $p \geq 5$ . If there exists  $0 < i < \frac{p+1}{2}$  such that  $|P_i \setminus P_0| \geq 2$  and  $G \notin P_i \cup P_0$ , then  $c$  admits a rainbow triple.*

*Proof.* For the sake of contradiction, suppose that  $c$  does not admit a rainbow triple. Since  $p \geq 5$  and  $|P_0| = 1$ , there exists  $j \neq i$  such that  $0 < j < p$  and  $G \in P_j \setminus (P_i \cup P_0)$ . By Lemma 29,  $P_j = P_{p-j}$  and  $P_i = P_{p-i}$ . Let  $c(pa_1 + j) = c(pa_2 + p - j) = G$ . Let  $pb + i \in R_i$  be arbitrary. Consider the following triple:

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= pb + i \\x_2 &= p(pa_1 + j - b - 1) + p - i \\x_3 &= pa_1 + j.\end{aligned}$$

Then  $c(x_1) = c(x_2)$ . Next consider the following triple:

$$\begin{aligned}x'_1 &= p(pa_1 + j - b - 1) + p - i \\x'_2 &= p(pa_2 + p - j - pa_1 - j + b) + i \\x'_3 &= pa_2 + p - j\end{aligned}$$

Then  $c(x'_1) = c(x'_2)$ . This implies that

$$c(pb + i) = c(p(pa_2 + p - j - pa_1 - j + b) + i).$$

Notice that the difference in position between  $x'_2$  and  $pb + i$ , given by  $pa_1 + p - j - pa_1 - j + b - b$ , does not depend on  $b$ . Furthermore,  $pa_2 + p - j - pa_1 - j + b - b$  is relatively prime to  $p^{\alpha-1}$ . Therefore, all elements in  $R_i$  receive the same color. This is a contradiction, since  $|P_i| \geq 2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 32.** *If  $p \geq 5$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$  is colored with at least 4 colors, and there exists  $0 < i < \frac{p+1}{2}$  with  $\text{Im}(c) = P_i \cup P_0$  and  $|P_i \setminus P_0| \geq 2$ , then  $c$  admits a rainbow triple.*

*Proof.* For the sake of contradiction, suppose that  $c$  does not admit a rainbow triple. By Lemma 30, let  $P_0 = \{R\}$ . By Lemma 29,  $P_i = P_{p-i}$ . Since  $P_i$  contains all colors except possibly  $R$ , there exists  $a, b, d$  such that  $c(pa + i) = G$ ,  $c(pb + p - i) = B$  and  $c(pd + i) = B$ . Consider the following triple:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= pa + i \\ x_2 &= p(pb + p - i - a - 1) + p - i \\ x_3 &= pb + p - i. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $c(x_2) \in \{B, G\}$ . Let  $x \in \{a, d\}$  such that  $c(px + i) \neq c(x_2)$  and consider the following triple:

$$\begin{aligned} x'_1 &= p(pb - p - i - a - 1) + p - i \\ x'_2 &= p(px - pb + p + 2i + a) + i \\ x'_3 &= px + i. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $c(x'_2) \in \{B, G\}$ . Furthermore, the difference in position between  $x'_2$  and  $pa + i$ , given by  $px - pb + p + 2i \equiv 2i \pmod{p}$ , does not depend on  $a, b, d$  modulo  $p$ . Therefore, for any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  there exists  $a \equiv x$  such that  $c(pa + i) \in \{B, G\}$ .

Since  $P_{p-i}$  contains all colors of  $c$  except for possibly  $R$ , there exists  $y$  such that  $c(py + p - i) = Y$ . Select  $a \equiv -1 - y \pmod{p}$  such that  $c(pa + i) \in \{B, G\}$ . Then the triple  $(py + p - i, pa + i, a + y + 1)$  is rainbow since  $a + y + 1 \in R_0$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.* Proposition 27 provides the lower bound for  $p = 3, \alpha \geq 2$ . Observation 25 covers the case when  $p = 3, \alpha = 1, 2$ .

We will proceed by induction on  $\alpha$ . Suppose that  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-1}}, 3) = 4$  for some  $\alpha \geq 3$ . Let  $c$  be a 4 coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_{3^\alpha}$ . For the sake of contradiction, suppose that  $c$  does not admit a rainbow triple. If  $|P_0| = 4$ , then  $c$  admits a rainbow triple by the induction hypothesis. Therefore,  $|P_0| \leq 3$  and there exists  $0 < i < p$  such that  $|P_i \setminus P_0| \geq 1$ . By Lemma 30,  $|P_0| = 1$ . This implies that  $\text{im}(c) = |P_i \setminus P_0|$ . By Lemma 32,  $c$  admits a rainbow triple. This completes the case when  $p = 3$ .

Let  $p \geq 5$ . With Proposition 26 as the base case, we will proceed by induction on  $\alpha$ . Suppose that  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha-1}}, p) = \frac{p+1}{2} + 1$  for some  $\alpha \geq 2$ . For the sake of contradiction, suppose that  $c$  does not admit a rainbow triple. If  $|P_0| = \frac{p+1}{2} + 1$ , then  $c$  admits a rainbow triple by the induction hypothesis. Therefore,  $|P_0| \leq \frac{p+1}{2}$  and there exists  $0 < j < p$  such that  $|P_j \setminus P_0| \geq 1$ . By Lemma 30,  $P_0 = \{R\}$ . By the pigeon hole principle, there exists  $0 < i < \frac{p+1}{2}$  such that  $|P_i \setminus P_0| \geq 2$ . Notice that one of the following must hold:

1.  $G \notin P_i \cup P_0$  for some color  $G \neq R$ ,
2.  $\text{im}(c) = P_i \cup P_0$ .

Therefore, by Lemmas 31 and 32,  $c$  must admit a rainbow triple. This completes the case when  $p \geq 5$ .  $\square$

### 2.3 Lower bound for $rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, p)$ , $p$ prime

Since  $p$  is the coefficient of the equation that we are considering, we will use  $q$  to denote a prime other than  $p$ . Using values for  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_q, k)$ , we establish a lower bound for  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, p)$ . In order to proceed in a similar manner as with the Schur equation, two lemmas about the structure of triples are necessary.

**Lemma 33.** *If  $x_1 + x_2 = kx_3$  is a triple in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  where  $m|x_1, x_2, x_3$  for some  $m|n$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then there exists a triple of the form  $x_1/m + x_2/m = kx_3/m$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n}{m}}$ .*

*Proof.* By definition  $x_1 + x_2 = kx_3$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  implies:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + x_2 &= qn + r \\ kx_3 &= tn + r \end{aligned}$$

Divide both equations by  $m$  to get:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x_1}{m} + \frac{x_2}{m} &= q\frac{n}{m} + \frac{r}{m} \\ k\frac{x_3}{m} &= t\frac{n}{m} + \frac{r}{m} \end{aligned}$$

Now we must check that  $\frac{r}{m}$  is an integer. Since  $m|(x_1 + x_2 - qn)$ , we know  $m|r$ . By definition, this means there exists a triple of the form  $x_1/m + x_2/m = x_3/m$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n}{m}}$ .  $\square$

Next, we show that  $q$  cannot divide exactly two terms of a triple.

**Lemma 34.** *Let  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  be a triple of the form  $x_1 + x_2 = kx_3$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{qn}$ . If  $q$  is relatively prime to  $k$  and  $q$  divides two of the terms in  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  then  $q$  must divide the third term in  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ .*

*Proof.* We consider the case where  $q$  divides  $x_1, x_2$  and the case where  $q$  divides  $x_1, x_3$ .

**Case 1:** Assume  $q$  divides  $x_1, x_2$ . By definition the equation  $x_1 + x_2 = kx_3$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{qn}$  means:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + x_2 &= c_1qn + r \\ k \cdot x_3 &= c_2qn + r \end{aligned}$$

We rearrange the first equation to get  $q$  divides  $x_1 + x_2 - c_1qn$  which implies that  $q$  divides  $r$ . Thus  $q$  divides  $c_2qn + r$  which implies  $q$  divides  $kx_3$ . We know  $q$  and  $k$  are relatively prime, therefore  $q$  must divide  $x_3$ .

**Case 2:** Similarly, assume  $q$  divides  $x_1, x_3$ . By definition the equation  $x_1 + x_2 = kx_3$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{qn}$  means:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + x_2 &= c_1qn + r \\ k \cdot x_3 &= c_2qn + r \end{aligned}$$

From the second equation we get  $q$  divides  $kx_3 - c_2qn$  which implies that  $q$  divides  $r$ . Thus  $q$  divides  $x_1 - c_1 \cdot qn - r$  which implies  $q$  divides  $x_2$ .  $\square$

Notice that Lemmas 33 and 34 are stated for the equation  $x_1 + x_2 = kx_3$  without the stipulation that  $k$  is prime. We can use the above lemmas to find our lower bound.

**Lemma 35.** *Let  $q, t$  be positive integers with  $q$  prime, and  $q \neq p$ . If there exists a rainbow-free  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_t$ , then there exists a rainbow-free  $(r + rb(\mathbb{Z}_q, p) - 2)$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_{qt}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $q, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $q$  is prime, and  $q \neq p$ . Let  $\hat{c}$  be a rainbow-free  $r$ -coloring for  $\mathbb{Z}_t$  and let  $\bar{c}$  be a maximum coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  such that 0 is uniquely colored and the other color classes are symmetric subsets, as described in Corollary 24. Let  $c$  be an exact  $(r+1)$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_{qt}$  if  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_q, p) = 3$  or an exact  $(r+2)$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_{qt}$  if  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_q, p) = 4$  as follows:

$$c(x) = \begin{cases} \hat{c}\left(\frac{x}{q}\right) & x \equiv 0 \pmod{q} \\ r + \bar{c}(x \pmod{q}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $q$  and  $p$  are distinct primes,  $q$  and  $p$  are relatively prime. By Lemma 34, since  $q$  is relatively prime to  $p$ ,  $q$  cannot divide exactly two of the terms in  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  for the equation  $x_1 + x_2 = px_3$ . Therefore, for all triples in  $\mathbb{Z}_{qt}$ ,  $q$  can divide all three elements, no elements, or exactly one element of the triple.

**Case 1:** If  $q$  divides all three terms in  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , then by the constructions of  $c$ , the triple has the same colors as the triple  $(\frac{x_1}{q}, \frac{x_2}{q}, \frac{x_3}{q})$  in  $\hat{c}$ . By Lemma 33, if  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  is a triple in  $\mathbb{Z}_{qt}$  and  $q|x_1, x_2, x_3$ , then  $(\frac{x_1}{q}, \frac{x_2}{q}, \frac{x_3}{q})$  is a triple in  $\mathbb{Z}_t$ . Thus, since  $\hat{c}$  is a rainbow-free coloring, triples where all three elements are divisible by  $q$  cannot be rainbow in  $c$ .

**Case 2:** Suppose  $q$  divides none of the terms in  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , there is a maximum of two colors added on terms not divisible by  $q$ . Thus, there are at most two colors coloring the elements in any such triple, and triples of the form  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  with each  $x_i$  not divisible by  $q$  are not rainbow.

**Case 3:** Suppose  $q$  divides exactly one of  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ . First assume  $q$  divides  $x_1$ . Notice that if  $x_1 + x_2 \equiv px_3 \pmod{qt}$  then  $x_1 + x_2 \equiv px_3 \pmod{q}$ . Since 0 is uniquely colored in  $\bar{c}$ , the rainbow-free coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ , any triple in  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  of the form  $0 + x_2 \equiv px_3 \pmod{q}$  is colored so that  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  receive the same color. In this case,  $c(x_2) = r + \bar{c}(x_2 \pmod{q})$  and  $c(x_3) = r + \bar{c}(x_3 \pmod{q})$ , so  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  is not rainbow under  $c$ . If  $q$  divides either  $x_2$  or  $x_3$  the argument proceeds the same way.  $\square$

**Proposition 36.** *Let  $p$  be prime and let  $n$  be an integer with prime factorization  $n = p^\alpha \cdot q_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot q_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots q_m^{\alpha_m}$  where  $q_i$  is prime,  $q_i \neq q_j$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $\alpha_i \geq 0$ . Then,*

$$rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, p) \geq rb(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}, p) + \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \alpha_i (rb(\mathbb{Z}_{q_i}, p) - 2) \right)$$

*Proof.* If  $n$  is a power of  $p$ , then there is nothing to show. Suppose that the claim holds true for  $n$  where  $n$  has  $N$  prime factors that are not  $p$ .

Assume that  $n = p^\alpha \cdot q_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot q_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots q_m^{\alpha_m}$  where  $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m = N + 1$ . By the induction hypothesis, there exists a rainbow-free  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_{n/q_1}$  where

$$r = rb(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}, p) + \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \alpha_i (rb(\mathbb{Z}_{q_i}, p) - 2) \right) - rb(\mathbb{Z}_{q_1}, p) + 2.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 35 there exists a rainbow-free  $r + \mathbb{Z}_{q_1}, p) - 2$  coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Thus, by induction

$$rb(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}, p) + \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \alpha_i (rb(\mathbb{Z}_{q_i}, p) - 2) \right).$$

$\square$

## 2.4 Upper bound for $rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, p)$ , $p$ prime

In this section we prove an upper bound matching Proposition 36. The proof of the upper bound uses the following lemmas.

**Lemma 37.** *Suppose  $c$  is a rainbow-free coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_{qt}$  for  $x_1 + x_2 = px_3$  where  $t$  is some positive integer and  $q \neq p$  is prime. Let  $R_0, \dots, R_{t-1}$  be the residue classes modulo  $t$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_{qt}$ , with corresponding color palettes  $P_0, \dots, P_{t-1}$ . Let  $j$  be an index such that  $|P_j| \geq |P_i|$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq t-1$ . Then  $|P_i \setminus P_j| \leq 1$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq t-1$ .*

*Proof.* For the sake of contradiction, assume that there exists  $i$  such that  $|P_i \setminus P_j| \geq 2$ . This implies that there exists  $tu + i$  and  $tv + i$  with colors G and B respectively, that are not in  $P_j$ . Without loss of generality,  $v > u$

First suppose that  $P_{pi-j} \neq P_j$ . There are two cases: either  $P_{pi-j}$  has a color that is not in  $P_j$ , or  $P_j$  has a color that is not in  $P_{pi-j}$ .

**Case 1:** Suppose that  $c(st + pi - j) \notin P_j$ . Without loss of generality,  $c(st + pi - j) \neq G$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= ts + pi - j \\x_2 &= ptu + -ts + j \\x_3 &= tu + i\end{aligned}$$

is a rainbow triple.

**Case 2:** Suppose that  $c(ts + j) \notin P_{pi-j}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= ts + j \\x_2 &= ptu - ts + pi - j \\x_3 &= tu + i\end{aligned}$$

is rainbow.

Since  $c$  is assumed to be rainbow-free, both cases result in a contradiction. Therefore,  $P_j = P_{pi-j}$ .

Let  $ts + j \in R_j$ . Since  $c$  is rainbow-free,  $c(ptu - ts + pi - j) = c(ts + j)$ . Similarly, the triple

$$\{t(pu - s) + pi - j, t(pv - pu + s) + j, tv + i\}$$

shows that  $c(ptv - ptu + ts + j) = c(ptu - ts + pi - j) = c(ts + j)$ . Notice that the difference of position between  $ptv - ptu + ts + j$  and  $ts + j$  in  $R_j$  is  $p(v - u)$ . Since  $p \neq q$  is prime and  $v - u < q$ , we know that  $p(v - u)$  generates  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ . Therefore,  $R_j$  is monochromatic; this contradicts the maximality of  $|P_j|$ .  $\square$

Lemma 37 allows us to create a well-defined reduction of a coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_{qt}$  to a coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_t$ .

**Lemma 38.** *Let  $t$  be a positive integer and  $q \neq p$  be prime. Let  $R_0, R_1, \dots, R_{t-1}$  be the residue classes modulo  $t$  for  $\mathbb{Z}_{qt}$  with corresponding residue palettes  $\{P_i\}$ . Let  $j$  be an index such that  $|P_j| \geq |P_i|$  for all  $0 \leq i < t$ . Suppose  $c$  is a coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_{qt}$  where  $|P_i \setminus P_j| \leq 1$ . Let  $\hat{c}$  be a coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_t$  such that:*

$$\hat{c}(i) := \begin{cases} P_i \setminus P_j & \text{if } |P_i \setminus P_j| = 1 \\ \alpha & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

*If  $\hat{c}$  contains a rainbow triple then  $c$  contains a rainbow triple.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  is a rainbow triple in  $\mathbb{Z}_t$  under  $\hat{c}$ . There are two cases:  $\hat{c}(x_3) = \alpha$ , or  $\hat{c}(x_3) \neq \alpha$ .

**Case 1:** If  $\hat{c}(x_3) = \alpha$ , then  $\alpha \neq \hat{c}(x_1), \hat{c}(x_2)$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are colored  $G$  and  $B$ , respectively. This implies that there exists  $u, v$  such that  $c(tu + x_1) = G$  and  $c(tv + x_2) = B$ . We must find integer  $s$  such that

$$u + v - ps \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod q & x_1 + x_2 \geq t \\ 0 \pmod q & x_1 + x_2 < t \end{cases}.$$

Since  $p$  and  $q$  are relatively prime, we can always solve for  $s$ . Therefore, there exists a rainbow triple in  $\mathbb{Z}_{qt}$  under  $c$ .

**Case 2:** Assume  $\hat{c}(x_3) \neq \alpha$ . Without loss of generality,  $\hat{c}(x_1) \neq \alpha$ , and there exists  $u, v$  such that  $c(tu + x_1) = G$  and  $c(tv + x_3) = B$  where  $G, B \notin P_{x_2}$ . Notice that  $ptv - tu + px_3 - x_1 \in R_{x_2}$ . Therefore, there exist a rainbow triple in  $\mathbb{Z}_{qt}$  under  $c$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 39.** *Let  $t$  be a positive integer, and let  $q$  and  $p$  be distinct primes. Then*

$$rb(\mathbb{Z}_{qt}, p) \leq rb(\mathbb{Z}_t, p) + rb(\mathbb{Z}_q, p) - 2.$$



*Proof.* Let  $c$  be a rainbow-free  $r$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_{qt}$ , and let  $\hat{c}$  be a coloring constructed from  $c$  as described in Lemma 38. Notice that the set of colors used in  $c$  is comprised of the colors in  $R_j$  and each color used in  $\hat{c}$  other than  $\alpha$ . Thus, we know that  $r = |P_j| + |\hat{c}| - 1$ , where  $|\hat{c}|$  is the number of colors appearing in  $\hat{c}$ .

Since  $c$  is a rainbow-free coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_{qt}$ , then  $c|_{R_j}$  must be a rainbow-free coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ , so  $|P_j| \leq rb(\mathbb{Z}_q, p) - 1$ . Furthermore,  $\hat{c}$  is a rainbow-free coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_t$ , implying that  $|\hat{c}| \leq rb(\mathbb{Z}_t, p) - 1$ . Therefore,  $r \leq rb(\mathbb{Z}_t, p) + rb(\mathbb{Z}_q, p) - 3$ . If we let  $c$  be the maximum rainbow-free coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_{qt}$ , then  $r = rb(\mathbb{Z}_{qt}, p) - 1$ . This shows that  $rb(\mathbb{Z}_{qt}, p) \leq rb(\mathbb{Z}_t, p) + rb(\mathbb{Z}_q, p) - 2$ .  $\square$

We can use Proposition 39 to find a matching upper bound for Proposition 36.

*Proof of Theorem 5.* Recursively applying Proposition 39 for every prime factor  $p_i \neq p$  of  $n$  gives

$$rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, p) \leq rb(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}, p) + \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \alpha_i (rb(\mathbb{Z}_{q_i}, p) - 2) \right).$$

Since this is identical to the lower bound from Proposition 36, we can conclude

$$rb(\mathbb{Z}_n, p) = rb(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}, p) + \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \alpha_i (rb(\mathbb{Z}_{q_i}, p) - 2) \right).$$

$\square$

## Acknowledgements

This research took place primarily at SUAMI at Carnegie Mellon University and the authors would like to thank the NSA for funding the program.

## References

- [1] M. Axenovich, and D. Fon-Der-Flaass, On rainbow arithmetic progressions. *European Journal of Combinatorics* **11** (2004), no. 1, Research Paper 1, 7pp.
- [2] M. Axenovich, and R.R. Martin, Sub-Ramsey numbers for arithmetic progressions. *Graphs Comb.* **22** (2006), no. 1, 297-309.
- [3] F.A. Behrend, On sets of integers which contain no three terms in arithmetical progression. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **32** (1946), 331-332.
- [4] Z. Berikkyzy, A. Schulte, and M. Young. Anti-van der Waerden numbers of 3-term arithmetic progressions. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, **24**(2): #P2.39, (2017).
- [5] S. Butler, C. Erickson, L. Hogben, K. Hogenson, L. Kramer, R. Kramer, J. Lin, R. Martin, D. Stolee, N. Warnberg, and M. Young, Rainbow arithmetic progressions. *Journal of Combinatorics*, **7** (4) (2016), 595-626.
- [6] W.T. Gowers, A new proof of Szemerédi's theorem. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **11** (2001), n0. 3, 465-588.
- [7] V. Jungić, J. Licht (Fox), M. Mahdian, J. Nešetřil, and R. Radoičić, Rainbow arithmetic progressions and anti-Ramsey results. *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **12** (2003), no. 5-6, 599-620.
- [8] B. Llano and A. Montejano, Rainbow-free colorings for  $x + y = cz$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . *Discrete Mathematics*, **312** (17) (2012), 2566-2573.
- [9] M. Young, Rainbow arithmetic progressions in finite abelian groups. To appear in *Journal of Combinatorics*. arXiv:1603.08153 [math.CO].