

**A list of typos, errors, updates, issues, and other  
such errata for:**

Everything You Always Wanted To Know About Mathematics\*

(\*But didn't even know to ask)

A Guided Journey Into the World of Abstract Mathematics and  
the Writing of Proofs

**by Brendan W. Sullivan**

**Current contact email:** [sullivanb@emmanuel.edu](mailto:sullivanb@emmanuel.edu)

**Please send any and all errors you find, no matter how small!**

**Last updated: Saturday 1<sup>st</sup> March, 2014, 16:12**

## Chapter 1

- **p. 89, Section 1.6 Lookahead**

The bulleted list goes (a), (2), (3), (4). Obviously, (a) should be (1).

## Chapter 3

- **p. 199, Exercise 3.11.5**

The set  $A$  should be defined as  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x^2 - x - 12 < 0\}$ . That is, it should read “ $< 0$ ” inside the definition.

## Chapter 4

- **p. 224, 4.3.5 “Try It” Exercise (3)**

The roles should be switched here. As stated, this is impossible. Instead, it should ask:

Write an example of a variable proposition  $P(x)$  such that  $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $P(x)$  is True, but  $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  $P(x)$  is False.

- **p. 227, 4.4.3**

In the first paragraph, we refer to “the statement  $A$  we defined above”. This should say “the statement  $Q_1$  we defined above on p. 225”.

- **p. 307, Exercise 4.11.20**

The last part asks for a counterexample, but this statement is True. Instead, consider this part (f) of the exercise, and prove it.

- **p. 308, Exercise 4.11.25**

This is identical to Exercise 4.11.19 on the previous page, so strike this one out. (Indeed, Exercise 4.11.19 even offers a small hint, while this one does not.)

## Chapter 5

- **p. 338, 5.4.3 Template for a “Proof by Strong Induction”**

The Induction Hypothesis is flawed here. There’s a variable mixup between  $k$  and  $i$ . It should say:

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrary and fixed. Suppose  $\forall i \in [k]$ .  $P(i)$  holds.