Rigidly breaking potential flows and a countable Alexandrov theorem for polytopes

Jian-Guo Liu^{∗ 1} and Robert L. Pego^{† 2}

¹Departments of Mathematics and Physics, Duke University ²Department of Mathematical Sciences, Carnegie Mellon University

November 11, 2024

Abstract

We study all the ways that a given convex body in d dimensions can break into countably many pieces that move away from each other rigidly at constant velocity, with no rotation or shearing. The initial velocity field is locally constant, but may be continuous and/or fail to be integrable. For any choice of mass-velocity pairs for the pieces, such a motion can be generated by the gradient of a convex potential that is affine on each piece. We classify such potentials in terms of a countable version of a theorem of Alexandrov for convex polytopes, and prove a stability theorem. For bounded velocities, there is a bijection between the massvelocity data and optimal transport flows (Wasserstein geodesics) that are locally incompressible.

Given any rigidly breaking velocity field that is the gradient of a continuous potential, the convexity of the potential is established under any of several conditions, such as the velocity field being continuous, the potential being semi-convex, the mass measure generated by a convexified transport potential being absolutely continuous, or there being a finite number of pieces. Also we describe a number of curious and paradoxical examples having fractal structure.

Keywords: Least action, optimal transport, semi-convex functions, power diagrams, Monge-Ampère measures

Mathematics Subject Classification: 49Q22, 52B99, 35F21, 58E10, 76B99

[∗]Email address: Jian-Guo.Liu@duke.edu

[†]Email address: rpego@cmu.edu

Contents

1 Introduction

Imagine that a brittle body, such as a crystal ball, shatters instantaneously into pieces which fly apart from each other with constant velocities. Experience tells us to expect a large number of shards that may be extremely small.

To model this in a simple way mathematically, we represent the body by a bounded convex open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and suppose its mass density is constant and normalized to unity. We suppose that the body shatters into pieces represented by a countable collection of pairwise disjoint open subsets A_i whose union $A =$ $\iint_i A_i$ has full Lebesgue measure in Ω . For simplicity we presume the pieces travel by rigid translation with no rotation. This means that any point z in A at time $t = 0$ is transported to the point

$$
X_t(z) = z + tv(z) \tag{1.1}
$$

at time $t > 0$, where the velocity field $v \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is a constant v_i on A_i . It is natural to require the pieces to remain pairwise disjoint, thus we require the transport map X_t to be *injective* on A for every $t > 0$. Given such a velocity field v, we will say that v rigidly breaks Ω into A_i , $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ The number of pieces A_i may be finite or countably infinite.

We imagine that by observations around some time $t > 0$ after shattering occurs, we can determine the mass m_i and the velocity v_i for each piece. Our first result shows that these data suffice to determine all the pieces (and thus the entire flow) in an essentially unique way, provided we happen to know that the velocity is a gradient of a convex potential.

Below, we call any function $\varphi \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ *locally affine a.e.* if it is affine on some neighborhood of x, for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Such a function is affine on each component of an open set A having full Lebesgue measure $\lambda(A) = \lambda(\Omega)$. Its gradient $\nabla \varphi$ is locally constant a.e., meaning constant on a neighborhood of x, for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Thus $\nabla \varphi$ takes a countable set of distinct values $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ on disjoint open sets A_i with union A .

Theorem 1.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded convex open set, let v_1, v_2, \ldots be **Theorem 1.1.** Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded convex open set, let $v_1, v_2, ...$ be distinct in \mathbb{R}^d , and let $m_1, m_2, ...$ be positive so that $\sum_i m_i = \lambda(\Omega)$. Then there is a function φ on Ω (unique up to adding a constant) that is convex and locally affine a.e. so $\nabla \varphi = v_i$ on a set A_i with $\lambda(A_i) = m_i$. Also, each A_i is convex.

Theorem [1.1](#page-2-1) extends a geometric theorem of Alexandrov on unbounded convex polytopes [\[1,](#page-34-0) [15\]](#page-35-0) to the case of a countably infinite number of faces. We will discuss this further later in this introduction.

As a consequence of Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-1) for any given mass-velocity data m_i, v_i , $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ as described, there exists a velocity potential φ that is locally affine and convex and induces a partition of Ω as the data require. Importantly, this map X_t is injective on A for all $t \geq 0$, due to a simple lemma:

Lemma 1.2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be open and convex, let $\varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex, and let $X_t(z) = z + t\nabla\varphi(z)$ for all $z \in \Omega$. If φ is differentiable at $x, y \in \Omega$, then

$$
|X_t(x) - X_t(y)| \ge |x - y| \quad \text{for all } t \ge 0. \tag{1.2}
$$

It is natural to wonder about a few things at this point. First, under what sort of conditions can we ensure that a rigidly breaking velocity field is a gradient of a convex potential? Second, what is there to say about the difference between having infinitely many pieces versus finitely many? And further, is there a sense in which the flows depend continuously on the mass-velocity data, justifying finite approximation? This paper is aimed at addressing these issues.

Conditions for convexity. Our motivation for considering the first of these questions stems from our work [\[19\]](#page-35-1) with Dejan Slepčev. Certain results in that paper imply, roughly speaking, that any incompressible least-action mass transport flow must have initial velocity which is locally constant, equal to the gradient of a potential φ which is locally affine and semi-convex. Saying φ is semi-convex is equivalent to saying that the function

$$
\psi_t(z) = \frac{1}{2}|z|^2 + t\varphi(z)
$$
\n(1.3)

is convex for some $t > 0$. In the immediate context, ψ_t is the potential for the transport map $X_t = \nabla \psi_t$, and its convexity follows from Brenier's theorem in optimal transport theory. (Below, we will assume $\psi_t = +\infty$ outside Ω .)

Presently, we work in a somewhat more general situation. We study potential flows that are rigidly breaking and start from a convex source domain, but need not have least action or even finite action. Our first result does not actually require the transport maps X_t determined by $v = \nabla \varphi$ to be injective a priori.

Theorem 1.3. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded open convex set. If $\varphi \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is locally affine and semi-convex, then it is convex.

Under hypotheses that ensure $v = \nabla \varphi$ rigidly breaks Ω , we can list a number of other criteria sufficient for convexity of φ .

Theorem 1.4. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded open convex set. Let $\varphi \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous, and locally affine on a maximal open set A of full measure in Ω . Assume that the map $z \mapsto X_t(z) = z + t\nabla \varphi(z)$ is injective on A for every $t > 0$. Further, assume any one of the following:

- (i) The dimension $d = 1$.
- (ii) The number of components of A is finite.
- (iii) φ is C^1 .
- (iv) φ is semi-convex.
- (v) φ is uniformly continuous on Ω and for some $t > 0$, the push-forward of Lebesgue measure under the (a.e.-defined) gradient of the convexification of ψ_t , written

$$
\kappa_t = (\nabla \psi_t^{**})_\sharp \lambda \,,
$$

is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure λ on \mathbb{R}^d .

Then φ is convex.

Condition (i) is easy to establish, of course, and (iv) follows from Theo-rem [1.3.](#page-3-0) The maximality assumption on A is primarily used to establish condition (ii). It is clear that such a maximal open set A exists, for if φ is locally affine on each member of any family $\{A^{\alpha}\}\$ of open sets, then it is locally affine on their union. Without this assumption, one could remove an arbitrary closed null set from A and get different components.

Conditions (iii) and (v) relate to formulas of Hopf for solutions of two Hamilton-Jabobi equations for which the maps X_t formally provide characteristics. To prove Theorem [1.4](#page-3-1) in the case of condition (iii), we will make use of the Hopf-Lax formula which formally provides a solution to an initial-value problem with convex Hamiltonian, namely

$$
\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 = 0,
$$
 $u(x, 0) = \varphi(x).$ (1.4)

For the case of condition (v) we employ the second Hopf formula for an initialvalue problem with convex initial data, namely

$$
\partial_t w + \varphi(\nabla w) = 0, \qquad w(x,0) = \psi_0^*(x). \tag{1.5}
$$

To clarify the meaning of this, we let ψ_t^* denote the Legendre transform of ψ_t for $t \geq 0$, taking ψ_t to be defined by [\(1.3\)](#page-3-2) on the convex domain Ω and equal to $+\infty$ outside. According to a result of Bardi and Evans [\[5\]](#page-34-1), with φ extended continuously to \mathbb{R}^d , $w = \psi_t^*$ is the unique viscosity solution of [\(1.5\)](#page-4-0).

The double transform ψ_t^{**} is the convexification of ψ_t . The push-forward measure κ_t in condition (v) is also described as the Monge-Ampére measure determined by ψ_t^* , as we discuss in Section [7](#page-18-0) below. In space dimension $d = 1$, the measure κ_t reduces to a mass measure induced by *sticky particle flow*, due to results of Brenier and Grenier [\[7\]](#page-34-2). When the velocity potential is non-convex, the velocity is not monotonically increasing, and the sticky particle flow is sure to form mass concentrations.

Remark 1.5. For Ω bounded open convex and φ locally affine a.e., condition (v) is actually *equivalent* to the convexity of φ . See Corollary [7.5](#page-20-0) in Section [7.](#page-18-0)

Remark 1.6. It seems reasonable to conjecture that one can infer φ is convex under the hypotheses of Theorem [1.4](#page-3-1) without assuming any additional conditions such as $(i)-(v)$, only imposing some mild regularity assumption such as local Lipschitz regularity, perhaps. We have been unable to prove or disprove such a result, however. Thus it appears interesting to investigate various criteria which suffice to ensure convexity.

Incompressible least-action flows with convex source. Combined with our results from [\[19\]](#page-35-1), Theorems [1.1](#page-2-1) and [1.3](#page-3-0) provide a classification of actionminimizing mass-transport flows that are incompressible and transport Lebesgue measure in a given bounded open convex set Ω_0 in \mathbb{R}^d to Lebesgue measure in some other bounded open set. A precise description of such flows is provided in Theorem [9.2](#page-26-0) of Section [9](#page-25-0) below. There we will show that they correspond in one-to-one fashion with countable sets $\{(m_i, v_i)\}\$ of pairs consisting of positive one-to-one tashion with countable sets $\{(m_i, v_i)\}\$ of pairs consisting of positive masses m_i and distinct velocities v_i bounded in \mathbb{R}^d , such that $\sum_i m_i = \lambda(\Omega_0)$.

Infinitely many vs. finitely many pieces. Characterizing convex and piecewise affine functions by volume and slope data relates to a classic geometric problem. In 1897, Minkowski [\[23,](#page-36-0) [1\]](#page-34-0) proved that any compact convex polytope is uniquely determined, up to translation, by the list of face normals and areas, subject to a natural compatibility condition saying that the integral of the unit outward normal field over all faces must vanish. Alexandrov solved a version of this problem for unbounded convex polytopes whose unbounded edges are parallel, and he presented his solution in his 1950 book Convex Polyhedra [\[1\]](#page-34-0) (see sections 7.3.2 and 6.4.2). We quote Alexandrov's result essentially as reformulated by Gu *et al.* [\[15\]](#page-35-0) in terms of convex, piecewise affine functions, as follows.

Theorem 1.7 (Alexandrov). Let Ω be a compact convex polytope with nonempty interior in \mathbb{R}^d , let $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be distinct and let $m_1, \ldots, m_k > 0$ so that $\sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} m_i = \lambda(\Omega)$. Then there is convex, piecewise affine function φ on Ω (unique up to adding a constant) so $\nabla \varphi = v_i$ on a convex set A_i with volume $\lambda(A_i) = m_i$.

Alexandrov's unbounded polyhedra correspond to the supergraph sets

$$
\{(z,y)\in\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}:z\in\Omega,\ y\geqslant\varphi(z)\},\
$$

whose unbounded edges are parallel to the last coordinate axis.

We remark that in [\[15\]](#page-35-0), Gu et al. provided an elementary self-contained proof for a generalization of Theorem [1.7,](#page-5-0) essentially equivalent here to minimizing $I_{\Omega} \varphi d\lambda$ as a function of the constants h_i in the representation

$$
\varphi(z) = v_i \cdot z + h_i \quad \text{for all } z \in A_i, \tag{1.6}
$$

subject to the given volume constraints on A_i . This is a variant of Minkowski's original proof (presented in [\[1,](#page-34-0) sec. 7.2]) of the existence of bounded polyhedra with prescribed face areas and normals through a constrained maximization of volume. But this technique does not appear to work in the countably infinite case of Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-1)

In the case of finitely many pieces, in addition to the conclusions stated in Alexandrov's theorem it is known that:

- (i) The velocity field $v = \nabla \varphi$ is discontinuous on Ω if $k > 1$.
- (ii) Each piece A_i is the interior of a convex polytope.

Of course, property (i) is trivial since Ω is connected. Property (ii) is due to the affineness from [\(1.6\)](#page-5-1) and the convexity of φ , which imply $\varphi(z) \geq v_i \cdot z + h_i$ for all $z \in \Omega$. It follows $z \in A_i$ if and only if $z \in \Omega$ and

$$
v_i \cdot z + h_i > v_j \cdot z + h_j \quad \text{for all } j \neq i. \tag{1.7}
$$

Equality is not possible since the v_i are distinct and A_i is open. By consequence A_i is the intersection of a finite number of half-spaces, i.e., a polytope.

Figure 1: Breaking of an Apollonian gasket at $t = 0.5$

In the case of infinitely many pieces, it turns out that neither (i) nor (ii) is necessarily true. A rigidly breaking velocity field can be continuous on Ω , and a piece (shard) may assume any convex shape. As the reader may suspect, examples involve fractal structure. We will explore constructions involving Cantor sets, Vitali coverings, and Apollonian gaskets. Figure [1](#page-6-0) illustrates the latter: The shaded circles have the form $A_i + tx_i$, where the A_i are Apollonian disks in the unit circle Ω , x_i is the center of A_i , and $t = 0.5$. See Section [10.2](#page-27-2) for details.

Actually, continuity of the velocity is a highly paradoxical property, since it immediately implies that the flow images $X_t(\Omega)$ are connected, so seemingly not "broken" at all! As we will show, this phenomenon generates fat Cantor sets by "expanding" the standard Cantor set in a simple way.

Plan of the paper. Following this introduction, we first provide the proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-2-1) and Lemma [1.2](#page-2-2) in Section [2.](#page-7-0) In Section [3](#page-9-0) we study and classify rigidly breaking flows in the case of one space dimension, $d = 1$. There we also discuss a paradoxical example with rigidly breaking but continuous velocity given by the Cantor function.

We carry out the proof of Theorem [1.4](#page-3-1) in Sections [4–](#page-11-0)[7.](#page-18-0) We handle case (ii) in Section [4,](#page-11-0) where we assume the flow rigidly breaks the convex domain into finitely many pieces. Next, in Section [5,](#page-14-0) we establish convexity of the potential in the case (iv), when it is assumed to be semi-convex. The case (iii), with $C¹$ potential, is handled in Section [6,](#page-17-0) making use of the Hopf-Lax formula for the solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation [\(1.4\)](#page-4-1). We complete the proof of Theorem [1.4](#page-3-1) in Section [7,](#page-18-0) proving convexity under condition (v). In particular, in case $\varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, locally affine, and non-convex, Theorem [7.4](#page-20-1) shows that the Monge-Ampère measure κ_t in Theorem [1.4](#page-3-1) has a Lebesgue decomposition with a non-trivial singular part.

We next investigate the stability of rigidly breaking flows with respect to the mass-velocity data, in Section [8.](#page-23-0) There we show that weak-star convergence of transported Lebesgue measure follows from weak-star convergence of pure point measures naturally associated with the mass-velocity data.

In Section [9](#page-25-0) we complete our description of incompressible least-action flows with convex source from [\[19\]](#page-35-1), establishing in Theorem [9.2](#page-26-0) that these flows are characterized uniquely by their mass-velocity data $\{(m_i, v_i)\}.$

We study the possible shapes that the convex "pieces" A_i may take in Sec-tion [10.](#page-27-0) In particular, we show that all the A_i may be round balls, corresponding to a full packing of Ω (e.g., any Apollonian or osculatory packing), and we show that an individual component A_i can assume any convex shape.

The paper concludes with a Discussion that addresses three points. We discuss how the continuity assumption on the potential φ in Theorem [1.4](#page-3-1) is ensured by the absence of shear (i.e., symmetry of the distributional gradient ∇v) and a local integrability condition. We complete our Cantor-function example in Section [3](#page-9-0) showing how fat Cantor sets are produced in a uniformly expanded way. Finally, although we lack any characterization of rigidly breaking velocity fields that are continuous when the dimension $d > 1$, we discuss some constraints on such fields.

2 Proof of a countable Alexandrov theorem

Here we provide the proofs of Theorem [1.1](#page-2-1) and Lemma [1.2.](#page-2-2) We prove Theorem [1.1](#page-2-1) by a straightforward application of a theorem of McCann [\[20\]](#page-35-2) which improved Brenier's theorem in optimal transport theory.

Proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-1) Let the measure μ be given by $\lambda \mathfrak{L} \Omega$, Lebesgue measure restricted to the bounded convex open set Ω , and let the measure ν given as a combination of Dirac delta masses concentrated at the distinct points v_i ,

$$
\nu = \sum_{i} m_{i} \delta_{v_{i}}, \quad \text{where } \sum_{i} m_{i} = \lambda(\Omega). \tag{2.1}
$$

With no moment assumptions, the main theorem in [\[20\]](#page-35-2) produces a convex function φ on \mathbb{R}^d whose gradient $T = \nabla \varphi$ is determined uniquely a.e. in Ω and pushes μ forward to ν . The push-forward property $T_{\sharp}\mu = \nu$ has the consequence that $\nabla \varphi = v_i$ on a Borel set $A_i \subset \Omega$ with $\lambda(A_i) = m_i$. Because Ω is connected, this determines φ up to a constant.

Since φ is convex it is not difficult to deduce that φ is affine on the closure of the convex hull of A_i , taking the form in (1.6) ; see the lemma below. Thus since $\lambda(A_i) > 0$ we may take A_i convex. \Box

Lemma 2.1. Assume $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is an open convex set and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex. (i) If f is differentiable at points $x, y \in \Omega$ with $\nabla f(x) = \nabla f(y)$ then f is affine on the line segment connecting x and y. (ii) If ∇f is constant on a set B, then f is affine on the closed convex hull of B in Ω .

Proof. To prove (i), restrict f to the line segment connecting x to y, defining $g(\tau) = f(x + \tau(y - x))$. Then g is differentiable at $\tau = 0$ and 1, with

$$
g'(0) = \nabla f(x) \cdot (y - x) = \nabla f(y) \cdot (y - x) = g'(1).
$$

Then q is affine since it is convex. Part (ii) follows from (i) by continuity. \Box

Remark 2.2. Evidently, any arbitrary pure point measure ν on \mathbb{R}^d having total mass $\nu(\mathbb{R}^d) = \lambda(\Omega)$ can be expressed in the form [\(2.1\)](#page-7-1) for countable mass-velocity data that satisfy the assumptions of Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-1) Reordering the data yield the same measure, hence there is a bijection between countable sets $\{(m_i, v_i)\}\$ of such mass-velocity data and such pure point measures. McCann's main theorem from [\[20\]](#page-35-2) associates a convex potential with any Radon measure v on \mathbb{R}^d having $\nu(\mathbb{R}^d) = \lambda(\Omega)$. The association of mass-velocity data with potentials in Theorem 1 is obtained by restricting this to pure point measures.

Remark 2.3. In Section [8](#page-23-0) we will prove a stability (or continuity) theorem for the flows $X_t = id + t\nabla\varphi$ determined by mass-velocity data as in the proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-2-1) above. In Theorem [8.1](#page-23-1) we show that for any sequence of pure point measures ν_n defined as in [\(2.1\)](#page-7-1), weak-star convergence of ν_n implies weakstar convergence of Lebesgue measure restricted to the transported sets $X_t^n(A^n)$ where A^n is the maximal open set on which φ_n is locally affine.

Proof of Lemma [1.2.](#page-2-2) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be open and convex, let $\varphi \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex, define $X_t(z) = z + t\nabla \varphi(z)$ for $z \in \Omega$, and suppose φ is differentiable at two points $x, y \in \Omega$. Convexity implies the graph of φ lies above the tangent planes at x and y , hence

$$
\nabla \varphi(x) \cdot (x - y) \geq \varphi(x) - \varphi(y) \geq \nabla \varphi(y) \cdot (x - y).
$$

The monotonicity condition follows:

$$
(\nabla \varphi(x) - \nabla \varphi(y)) \cdot (x - y) \ge 0, \qquad (2.2)
$$

whence

$$
(X_t(x) - X_t(y)) \cdot (x - y) = |x - y|^2 + t(\nabla \varphi(x) - \nabla \varphi(y)) \cdot (x - y) \ge |x - y|^2.
$$

We infer $|X_t(x) - X_t(y)| \ge |x - y|$ by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

We infer $|X_t(x) - X_t(y)| \geq |x - y|$ by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

3 One space dimension

In order to develop understanding of rigidly breaking flows with a countably infinite number of components, we consider the case of one space dimension. We provide the easy proof of Theorem [1.4](#page-3-1) in this case, and we illustrate and characterize the paradoxical possibility that a rigidly breaking velocity field may be continuous.

3.1 Convexity in 1D

Proof of Theorem [1.4\(](#page-3-1)i). Make the assumptions of the theorem, and suppose the dimension $d = 1$. Then injectivity of X_t for all $t > 0$ evidently implies that whenever $z, y \in A$ with $z < y$ then $v(z) \leq v(y)$. Thus φ' is increasing on a dense open set in the interval Ω and it follows φ is convex. This establishes the sufficiency of condition (i) in Theorem [1.4.](#page-3-1) \Box

3.2 Example: "Cantor's elastic band"

Take $\Omega = (0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$, and consider the velocity field given by $v = c$ in Ω , where $c: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is the standard *Cantor function*. The function c is increasing yet continuous on [0, 1] with $c(0) = 0$ and $c(1) = 1$, and c is locally constant on the open set $A = (0, 1)\mathcal{C}$, where C denotes the standard Cantor set.

For each component interval A_i of A , let v_i denote the value of c on A_i . Then the flow in (1.1) is given by rigid transport in A_i , with

$$
X_t(z) = z + tv_i \,, \quad z \in A_i.
$$

Note that the distance between $X_t(A_i)$ and $X_t(A_j)$ increases linearly with t, since $v_i < v_j$ for $A_i < A_j$. Thus v rigidly breaks Ω into the A_i , according to our definition at the beginning of the introduction.

definition at the beginning of the introduction.
Indeed, the velocity potential $\varphi(z) = \int_0^z c(r) dr$ is convex and locally affine a.e. Yet $v = \nabla \varphi$ is continuous. This seems paradoxical, for it implies the image $X_t(\Omega)$ remains connected under the flow of the "rigidly breaking" velocity field v, and must comprise the full interval $(0, 1 + t)!$

Evidently, the injective maps X_t "stretch" the interval [0, 1] to cover the longer interval $[0, 1 + t]$ by countably many rigidly translated images $X_t(A_i)$ together with the image of the Cantor set $X_t(\mathcal{C})$. The union of the rigid images is the set $X_t(A)$, which is open and dense in $(0, 1 + t)$. Of course the Lebesgue measure $\lambda((0, 1 + t)) = 1 + t$, yet evidently

$$
\lambda(X_t(A)) = \sum_i \lambda(X_t(A_i)) = \sum_i \lambda(A_i) = \lambda(A) = 1.
$$

What we infer from this is that the image $C_t := X_t(\mathcal{C})$ is a fat Cantor set. It is closed and nowhere dense in $(0, 1 + t)$, and has Lebesgue measure $\lambda(\mathcal{C}_t) = t$. The map X_t has "stretched" the Cantor set C with Lebesgue measure zero to a set with positive Lebesgue measure.

In terms of physical intuition, we might fancifully imagine $\mathcal C$ as consisting of an ephemeral kind of matter having zero mass and always nowhere dense, but infinitely stretchable so it can cover a set of positive Lebesgue measure. The body $\Omega = (0, 1)$ might be considered to model an elastic band made of a mixture of such stretchy stuff and ordinary rigid matter. In this interpretation, deforming Ω to $X_t(\Omega)$ stretches the band but it does not disconnect it.

Less fancifully, we wish to describe what is "broken" in a mathematically natural way. For this we can focus on matter that has positive mass density. The rigid translation of the connected open pieces A_i induces a mass measure ν_t on the image domain $X_t(\Omega)$ that is not the restriction of Lebesgue measure to $X_t(\Omega)$. Instead, ν_t is the restriction of Lebesgue measure to the disconnected to $X_t(\Omega)$. Instead, ν_t is the restriction of Lebesgue measure to the disconnected open (yet dense) set $X_t(A) = \bigcup_i X_t(A_i)$. We can say the body Ω is broken into the disconnected components $X_t(A_i)$ that carry all the mass. This induced mass measure ν_t is nothing but the push-forward under X_t of $\lambda \mathfrak{L} \Omega$, Lebesgue measure restricted to Ω . We have $(X_t)_\#(\lambda \cup \Omega) = \lambda \cup X_t(A)$ in the present example, and this differs from $\lambda \mathcal{L} X_t(\Omega)$. While one can make different choices of the set A with this property, it seems natural to take A to be the maximal open set on which the velocity potential is locally affine, as discussed following the statement of Theorem [1.4](#page-3-1) in the Introduction.

In Fig. [2](#page-11-1) we illustrate this example by plotting the velocity $v = c$ as a function of transported position $x = X_t(z) = z + tc(z)$. The transported pieces $X_t(A_i)$ are (non-singleton) level sets of the transported velocity $v = f(x, t)$, which is constant along the flow lines $x = z + tc(z)$. As a side remark, it is interesting to note that while the partial derivative $\partial f/\partial x = 0$ in every translated component $X_t(A_i)$, it turns out that $\partial f/\partial x = 1/t$ a.e. in the fat Cantor set \mathcal{C}_t , meaning these sets expand uniformly in time. We defer proof to the Discussion below, see Proposition [11.2.](#page-32-1)

3.3 Characterization of continuity in one dimension

The Cantor-function example generalizes to provide necessary and sufficient conditions for a rigidly breaking velocity field to be continuous when $d = 1$. Recall that by Theorem [1.4\(](#page-3-1)i), such a velocity field must be the derivative of a $C¹$ potential φ that is convex and locally affine a.e.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded open interval, and let φ be convex and locally affine a.e. on Ω , with φ' taking the distinct values $\{v_i\}$ on an open set of full measure in Ω . Then φ is C^1 if and only if the sequence $\{v_i\}$ is dense in an interval.

Proof. Suppose φ is convex and locally affine a.e., so φ' is defined and constant on each component of an open set A of full measure in Ω . If φ is C^1 , then the continuous image $\varphi'(\Omega)$ must be connected, hence an interval, and $\varphi'(A) = \{v_i\}$ must be dense in it. On the other hand, if $\varphi'(A)$ is dense in an interval I, then because φ' is increasing on A, we have $\varphi = \int v dx$ where the function given by

$$
v(x) = \lim_{z \uparrow x, z \in A} \varphi'(z), \quad x \in \Omega,
$$

Figure 2: Cantor expansion wave: $v = c(z)$ vs. $x = z + tc(z)$ at $t = 0$ and 1.

is increasing with no jump discontinuities. So v is continuous, and φ is C^1 .

Remark 3.2. By Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-1) for any sequence $\{v_i\}$ of distinct values dense in an interval, such $C¹$ potentials exist and are specified uniquely by any positive an interval, such C^1 potentials exist and are specified uniquely by any positive
sequence $\{m_i\}$ with $\sum_i m_i = \lambda(\Omega)$. In this case $v = \varphi'$ is a Cantor-like function, continuous and increasing on Ω and constant on an interval A_i with $\lambda(A_i) = m_i$.

4 Finitely many pieces

In this section we prove Theorem [1.4](#page-3-1) under condition (ii) which states that the number of components A_i of A is finite. Briefly, our strategy will be to show that if φ is non-convex, then two adjacent components must have velocities that force their images under the flow X_t to overlap immediately for $t > 0$. We do this by finding a line segment along which the restriction of φ is non-convex and intersects ∂A only at finitely many points on "faces" between adjacent components.

Throughout this section we work under the basic assumptions of Theo-rem [1.4,](#page-3-1) and assume the dimension $d > 1$. Recall we assume A is maximal and its components A_i are open and connected and their number N is finite. The case $N = 1$ is trivial, so assume $N > 1$. Given that φ is locally affine on A and continuous on Ω , there exist $v_1, \ldots, v_N \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and h_1, \ldots, h_N such that we have the representation

$$
\varphi(z) = v_i \cdot z + h_i, \quad z \in \bar{A}_i, \quad i \in [N] = \{1, \dots, N\}.
$$
 (4.1)

Repeated values are possible. Note $\partial A \cap \Omega = \Omega \backslash A$ since A is open and dense. Because [\(4.1\)](#page-12-1) holds for each i, each point in the interior of \overline{A}_i must be in A by maximality. Hence A_i is the interior of \bar{A}_i , and moreover each point of $\partial A_i \cap \Omega$ must lie in ∂A_i for some $j \neq i$.

4.1 Geometry of the pieces

We begin by precisely describing some of the geometric structure of the dense open set A and its boundary (or complement) in Ω. Define an "adjacency function" by

$$
\mathcal{I}(z) = \{ i \in [N] : z \in \bar{A}_i \} \quad \text{for each } z \in \Omega.
$$
 (4.2)

Necessarily the cardinality $\#\mathcal{I}(z) \geq 1$ since A is dense. Evidently $\#\mathcal{I}(z) = 1$ if and only if $z \in A$. Define "face" and "edge" sets respectively by

$$
F = \{ z \in \Omega : \# \mathcal{I}(z) = 2 \}, \quad E = \{ z \in \Omega : \# \mathcal{I}(z) \geq 3 \}. \tag{4.3}
$$

For all $i, j \in [N]$ define

$$
H_{ij} = \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^d : v_i \cdot z + h_i = v_j \cdot z + h_j \}.
$$
 (4.4)

Provided $v_i \neq v_j$ this set is a hyperplane of codimension 1. Let H denote the collection of these co-dimension–1 sets.

Proposition 4.1. Make the assumptions of Theorem [1.4](#page-3-1) including condition (ii). Let $A^c = \Omega \backslash A$. Then $A^c = \partial A \cap \Omega = E \cup F$, and moreover:

- (a) The set A^c is contained in a finite union of codimension-1 hyperplanes.
- (b) For any $z \in A^c$, $z \in F$ if and only if z lies in $B \cap H_{ij}$ for some open ball B and some hyperplane H_{ij} in H such that $B \backslash H_{ij} \subset A$.
- (c) The set E is contained in a finite union of codimension-2 hyperplanes.

Proof. (a) Let $z \in A^c$. Then $\#\mathcal{I}(z) \geq 2$. For each pair of indices $i, j \in \mathcal{I}(z)$, we must have

$$
v_i \cdot z + h_i = v_j \cdot z + h_j. \tag{4.5}
$$

Some such pair exists with $v_i \neq v_j$, for φ cannot be affine in any neighborhood of z by the maximality of A. Then z lies in the codimension-1 hyperplane H_{ij} . This proves (a).

(b) Let $z \in F$. Then $\mathcal{I}(z) = \{i, j\}$ with $v_i \neq v_j$, so $z \in H_{ij}$, and the distance from z to \bar{A}_k is positive for any $k \notin \mathcal{I}(z)$. For any small enough open ball B containing z, then, $B \subset \overline{A}_i \cup \overline{A}_j$, while both $B \cap \partial A_i$ and $B \cap \partial A_j$ lie in H_{ij} . Hence $B \backslash H_{ij} \subset A_i \cup A_j \subset A$.

Conversely, if $z \in A^c$ and $B \backslash H \subset A$ for some open ball B and hyperplane $H \in \mathcal{H}$, then since $B\backslash H$ has exactly two components, there exists a unique pair i, j with $v_i \neq v_j$ (by maximality of A) such that $H = H_{ij}$ and $B \subset \overline{A}_i \cup \overline{A}_j$. Thus $\mathcal{I}(z) = \{i, j\}$ and $z \in F$.

(c) If $z \in E$, then $z \in A^c$ but $z \notin F$. It follows from part (a) that z must lie in some hyperplane H_{ij} of H , and from part (b) that $B\backslash H_{ij}$ intersects A^c for every sufficiently small ball B . Then since H is finite, necessarily z must lie in the intersection of two different (i.e., non-coinciding) hyperplanes of H . Such intersections form a finite collection of hyperplanes of co-dimension 2. \Box

4.2 Convexity for finitely many pieces

Recall that under the hypotheses of Theorem [1.4,](#page-3-1) the transport map $X_t(z)$ $z + t\nabla \varphi(z)$ is injective on A. Proposition [4.1](#page-12-2) allows us to prove the following local monotonicity property for φ .

Lemma 4.2. Suppose $\overline{A}_i \cap \overline{A}_j$ contains a point $z \in F$. Then in any sufficiently small open ball containing z ,

$$
(\nabla \varphi(x) - \nabla \varphi(y)) \cdot (x - y) > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in A_i \text{ and } y \in A_j.
$$

Proof. Necessarily $\mathcal{I}(z) = \{i, j\}$ and $z \in H_{ij}$. Let B be an open ball as given by Proposition [4.1\(](#page-12-2)b). Let u be a unit vector orthogonal to the hyperplane H_{ij} pointing from A_j toward A_i . By the definition of H_{ij} . $u = a(v_i - v_j)$ for some nonzero $a \in \mathbb{R}$. And for all small enough $b > 0$, $z_i := z + bu \in A_i$ and $z_j := z - bu \in A_j$. The injectivity of X_t implies

$$
0 \neq X_t(z_i) - X_t(z_j) = z_i - z_j + t(v_i - v_j) = (2b + ta)u
$$

for all $t > 0$. Hence $a > 0$, and this entails $(v_i - v_j) \cdot (z_i - z_j) = 2ab > 0$. This implies the result, since both $u \cdot (z_i - z_j)$ and $u \cdot (x - y)$ are positive for $x, y \in B$ with $x \in A_i$, $y \in A_j$. \Box

Now we are able to complete the proof of Theorem [1.4](#page-3-1) under condition (ii).

Proof of Theorem [1.4\(](#page-3-1)ii). 1. We claim φ is convex in Ω . Suppose not. Then there must exist distinct $x, y \in \Omega$ and $\hat{\tau} \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$
\varphi(x\hat{\tau} + y(1-\hat{\tau})) > \varphi(x)\hat{\tau} + \varphi(y)(1-\hat{\tau}).\tag{4.6}
$$

We may take $x, y \in A$, since φ is continuous and A is dense. Let $u = x - y$ and let u^{\perp} be the hyperplane of co-dimension 1 orthogonal to u. The orthogonal projection P_u of \mathbb{R}^d onto u^{\perp} maps the line segment \overline{xy} to a point, where

$$
\overline{xy} = \{x\tau + y(1-\tau) : \tau \in [0,1]\}.
$$

The same projection maps the set E of Proposition [4.1](#page-12-2) into a union of hyperplanes of relative codimension-1 in u^{\perp} . By replacing x, y by $x + v, y + v$ for some small $v \in u^{\perp}$, we can therefore ensure that the line \overline{xy} is disjoint from E, and intersects A^c only at points of F, and only at finitely many of those. As the line \overline{xy} cannot be contained in a single component of A, at least one such intersection point exists.

2. The function $\hat{\varphi}(\tau) = \varphi(x\tau + y(1 - \tau))$ defined for $\tau \in [0, 1]$ satisfies

$$
\frac{d\hat{\varphi}}{d\tau} = \nabla \varphi (x\tau + y(1-\tau)) \cdot (x-y)
$$

whenever $x\tau + y(1 - \tau) \in A$. Then $d\hat{\varphi}/d\tau$ is locally constant on $(0, 1)$, with a jump at any value of τ where $z = x\tau + y(1 - \tau) \in A^c$. Necessarily $z \in F$ by step 1, and by applying Lemma [4.2](#page-13-1) we can conclude that $d\hat{\varphi}/d\tau$ makes a positive jump at such a value of τ . This implies $\hat{\varphi}$ is convex on $(0, 1)$, contradicting [\(4.6\)](#page-13-2). Hence φ is convex in Ω . This finishes the proof of Theorem [1.4](#page-3-1) under condition (ii). \Box

5 Locally affine and semi-convex implies convex

In this section we prove Theorem [1.3.](#page-3-0) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded open convex set in \mathbb{R}^d , and let $\varphi \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be locally affine and semi-convex. We will show that φ must be convex.

Recall that φ is semi-convex if ψ_t is convex for some $t > 0$, where for $z \in \Omega$, $\psi_t(z) = \frac{1}{2}|z|^2 + t\varphi(z)$. Since φ is convex if and only if $t\varphi$ is, without loss of generality we may suppose that $\varphi(z) = \psi(z) - \frac{1}{2}|z|^2$ where ψ is convex on Ω .

As convexity is equivalent to convexity along every line, first we deal with the case $d = 1$. This is easy if φ is piecewise affine, for in that case the derivative φ' has finitely many jump discontinuities which it shares with ψ' , so the derivative must increase across the jumps. The locally affine case is more subtle.

Lemma 5.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded open interval, let $\psi \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex, and set $\varphi(x) = \psi(x) - \frac{1}{2}x^2$. If φ is locally affine a.e., then it is convex.

Proof. The right derivative $f(x) := D^+ \psi(x)$ of the convex function ψ exists at every point of Ω , and increases with x, meaning $f(x) \leq f(y)$ whenever $x \leq y$. Then the right derivative $g := D^+\varphi$ exists as well, and we have

$$
g(x) = f(x) - x \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega.
$$

Assuming φ is locally affine a.e., g is constant in each component of an open set A of full measure in Ω . (It may be the case that g is continuous, though, like a Cantor function.)

We claim that g is increasing. It suffices to prove this for any compact subinterval K of Ω . On such an interval, the functions f and g are bounded. We know f is increasing, hence q is of bounded variation on K. The function q then determines a signed Borel measure on K , which we denote by dg . Let

$$
dg = \nu_+ - \nu_-
$$

be the Jordan decomposition of this finite signed measure into two (positive) finite measures ν_+ and ν_- on K which are mutually singular. The total variation $|dg| = \nu_+ + \nu_-$ vanishes on each component of $A \cap K$, hence is singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure λ . Since now

$$
df = (\lambda + \nu_+) - \nu_- \tag{5.1}
$$

is the Jordan decomposition of the Borel measure df induced by the increasing function f, it follows $\nu = 0$. Therefore q is increasing on K, hence on Ω .

Now, whenever x, y, z lie in Ω with $x < z < y$, it follows by the fundamental theorem of calculus for Lebesgue integrals that

$$
\frac{\varphi(z)-\varphi(x)}{z-x}=\frac{1}{z-x}\int_x^z g(s)\,ds\leqslant g(z)\leqslant\frac{1}{y-z}\int_z^y g(s)\,ds=\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(z)}{y-z}.
$$

This implies φ is convex.

To handle the multidimensional case, the idea will be that by applying Lemma [5.1,](#page-14-1) we get convexity of ψ along "good" lines with singular sets having one-dimensional measure zero. But then, if convexity fails along some "bad" line, we can find a "good" one nearby along which convexity must also fail, by using Tonelli's theorem. Thus Lemma [5.1](#page-14-1) extends to dimensions $d > 1$ as follows. As indicated at the beginning of this section, Theorem [1.3](#page-3-0) immediately follows.

Proposition 5.2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded open convex set, let $\psi \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex, and set $\varphi(x) = \psi(x) - \frac{1}{2}|x|^2$. If φ is locally affine a.e., then it is convex.

Proof. 1. Assuming φ is locally affine a.e., it is affine on each of the countably many components A_i of an open set $A \subset \Omega$ of full measure. For each i, then, there is an affine function $\eta_i(x) = v_i \cdot x + h_i$ on \mathbb{R}^d with $\varphi = \eta_i$ on A_i . Define

$$
\eta_*(x) = \sup_i \eta_i(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega.
$$

As the supremum of a set of convex functions, η_* is convex. Our goal is to show $\varphi = \eta_*$ everywhere in Ω .

2. Notice $\varphi \leq \eta_*$ since $\varphi = \eta_i \leq \eta_*$ on A_i and $A = \bigcup_i A_i$ is dense in Ω as its complement is closed and null. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $\varphi(z_*) < \eta_*(z_*)$ for some $z_* \in \Omega$. By density and continuity, there exists an index j such that $\varphi(z) = \eta_i(z) < \eta_*(z)$ for some $z \in A_j$. Then there must exist $i \neq j$ such that $\eta_i(z) < \eta_i(z)$, whence

$$
\eta_j(z) < \eta_i(z) \quad \text{for all } z \text{ in some open set } B \subset A_j. \tag{5.2}
$$

3. We claim there exist $x \in A_i$ and $y \in B$ such that the line segment connecting x and y has a large intersection with A , in the sense that the set

$$
A_{xy} = \{ \tau \in (0,1) : x + \tau(y - x) \in A \}
$$
\n(5.3)

has full one-dimensional Lebesgue measure in $[0, 1]$. To prove this claim, fix any $x \in A_i$ and $y \in B$, and denote the "open" line segment connecting x and y by

$$
\ell(x, y) = \{x + \tau(y - x) : \tau \in (0, 1)\}.
$$

$$
\Box
$$

For small enough $\varepsilon > 0$, if $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $|w| < \varepsilon$ then $x + w \in A_i$ and $y + w \in B$, so the connecting line segment $\ell(x + w, y + w)$ lies in Ω . Define

$$
U = \bigcup_{w \in E} \ell(x + w, y + w), \quad \text{where} \quad E = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^d : w \perp (y - x) \text{ and } |w| < \varepsilon\},\
$$

to be a tube comprised of normal translates of $\ell(x, y)$. This tube U is contained in Ω and has positive Lebesgue measure. Moreover, the intersection $U \cap A$ has full measure in U. By applying Tonelli's theorem in appropriate orthogonal coordinates to the integral of the characteristic function of A over U , we infer that for some (indeed, many) $w \in E$, the sets

$$
A_w = \{ \tau \in (0,1) : x + w + \tau(y - x) \in A \}
$$

have full one-dimensional Lebesgue measure in $[0, 1]$. Taking some such w and relabeling $x + w$ by x and $y + w$ by y proves our claim.

4. Given $x \in A_i$ and $y \in B$ with the property established in step 3, let $\hat{\tau} = |y - x| > 0$ and note $y = x + \hat{\tau}u$ where $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a unit vector. Restrict φ to the line containing (x, y) by defining

$$
\hat{\varphi}(\tau) = \varphi(x + \tau u) \tag{5.4}
$$

whenever $x + \tau u \in \Omega$. Then $\hat{\varphi}$ is defined on some open interval $\hat{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}$ that contains $[0, \hat{\tau}]$. Shrinking $\hat{\Omega}$ if needed, we may suppose $x + \tau u$ lies in A_i if $\tau \leq 0$ and lies in B if $\tau \geq \hat{\tau}$. It follows

$$
\hat{\varphi}(\tau) = \begin{cases} \eta_i(x + \tau u) & \text{for } \tau \le 0, \\ \eta_j(x + \tau u) & \text{for } \tau \ge \hat{\tau}. \end{cases}
$$
(5.5)

5. Since the set A_{xy} in [\(5.3\)](#page-15-0) has full measure in [0, 1] and is open, the set

$$
\hat{A} = \{ \tau \in \hat{\Omega} : x + \tau u \in A \}
$$

has full measure in $\hat{\Omega}$ and is open. Therefore $\hat{\varphi}$ is locally affine a.e. on $\hat{\Omega}$. Further, the function $\hat{\psi}(\tau) = \hat{\varphi}(\tau) + \frac{1}{2}\tau^2$ is convex, since it differs from the convex function $\psi(x + \tau u)$ by an affine function:

$$
\psi(x + \tau u) - \hat{\psi}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}|x + \tau u|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\tau u|^2 = \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 + \tau u \cdot x.
$$

By Lemma [5.1](#page-14-1) we deduce that $\hat{\varphi}$ is convex.

6. Since $\tau \mapsto \eta_i(x + \tau u)$ is affine and agrees with $\hat{\varphi}(\tau)$ for $\tau \leq 0$, convexity implies $\hat{\varphi}(\tau) \geq \eta_i(x + \tau u)$ for all $\tau \in \hat{\Omega}$. Recalling $y = x + \hat{\tau}u \in A_j$, it follows

$$
\eta_j(y) = \hat{\varphi}(\hat{\tau}) \geq \eta_i(y).
$$

This contradicts [\(5.2\)](#page-15-1), and proves $\varphi = \eta_*$ as desired. Hence φ is convex. \Box

6 Continuously differentiable potentials

In order to prove Theorem [1.4](#page-3-1) under condition (iii), it suffices to prove the following proposition. The proof is motivated by the idea that the transport maps X_t are related to characteristic curves for the Hamilton-Jacobi initial-value problem

$$
\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 = 0, \qquad u(x,0) = \varphi(x),
$$

whose solution, under suitable conditions, is given by the Hopf-Lax formula

$$
u(x,t) = \min_{y} \left(\frac{|x-y|^2}{2t} + \varphi(y) \right). \tag{6.1}
$$

The proof will make use of Theorem [1.3](#page-3-0) in order to ensure that a certain needed minimizer exists inside Ω .

Proposition 6.1. Let Ω be a bounded open convex set in \mathbb{R}^d . Let $\varphi \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be C^1 on Ω and locally affine on a maximal open set A of full measure in Ω . Suppose φ is not convex. Then X_t is non-injective on A for all sufficiently small $t > 0$.

Proof. 1. Suppose φ is not convex. Then it is not convex in some nonempty subset

$$
\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \{ x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) > \varepsilon \},
$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$ (fixed). The set Ω_{ε} is convex itself, as is easily shown. Let $L = \sup_{\bar{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \varphi|$ and $M = \sup_{\bar{\Omega}_{\varepsilon/4}} |\varphi|$. Fix $t > 0$ so $Lt < \varepsilon/2$ and $Mt < \varepsilon^2/64$.

2. By Theorem [1.3,](#page-3-0) φ is not semi-convex on Ω_{ε} , hence $\psi_t(z) = z + t\varphi(z)$ is not convex on Ω_{ε} , and cannot coincide with its convexification ψ_t^{**} . Since A is dense in Ω_{ε} , there exists $z_0 \in \Omega_{\varepsilon} \cap A$ such that $\psi_t(z_0) > \psi_t^{**}(z_0)$. Let $x = z_0 + tv_0$ where $v_0 = \nabla \varphi(z)$ for all z in some small neighborhood of z. Then $|x - z_0| \leq tL < \varepsilon/2$, so $x \in \Omega_{\varepsilon/2}$.

3. Taking the min over $y \in \overline{\Omega}_{\varepsilon/4}$ in the Hopf-Lax formula [\(6.1\)](#page-17-1), we have $u(x, t) \leq M$ by taking $y = x$. When $y \in \partial \Omega_{\varepsilon/4}$ we have $|x - y| > \varepsilon/4$, whence

$$
\frac{|x-y|^2}{2t}+\varphi(y)\geqslant\frac{\varepsilon^2}{32t}-M>M.
$$

Hence any minimizer y_1 lies in the open set $\Omega_{\varepsilon/4}$, and it follows

$$
x = y_1 + t\nabla \varphi(y_1) = z_0 + t\nabla \varphi(z_0)
$$
, i.e., $X_t(y_1) = X_t(z_0)$.

Necessarily $y_1 \neq z_0$, since with $h = tu(x, t) - \frac{1}{2}|x|^2$, we have $h + x \cdot y \leq \psi_t(y)$ for all $y \in \Omega_{\varepsilon/4}$, with equality at y_1 , which implies $\psi_t(y_1) = \psi_t^{**}(y_1)$ since the affine function $h + x \cdot y \leq \psi_t^{**}(y) \leq \psi_t(y)$ for all y.

4. Since $z_0 = x - tv_0 = X_t(y_1) - tv_0$, by using the continuity of $\nabla \varphi$ we can perturb y_1 to some $\tilde{y}_1 \in A$ and still have $\tilde{z}_0 = X_t(\tilde{y}_1) - tv_0 \in A$ with $\tilde{z}_0 \neq \tilde{y}_1$ and $v_0 = \nabla \varphi(\tilde{z}_0)$ and $\tilde{x} = X_t(\tilde{z}_0) = X_t(y_1)$. This contradicts the assumed injectivity of X_t on A , and concludes the proof. \Box **Remark 6.2.** This Proposition handles locally affine functions φ that resemble the Cantor expansion example in 1D in that they have continuous gradient.

Remark 6.3. We suspect that if φ is C^1 , locally affine and non-convex then X_t is non-injective for *every* $t > 0$. But we leave this issue aside for the present.

7 Mass concentrations in convexified transport

The main goal of this section is to complete the proof of Theorem [1.4](#page-3-1) under condition (v). As mentioned in the Introduction, the measure κ_t is related to the second Hopf formula for the solution to the following initial value problem with convex initial data:

$$
\partial_t w + \varphi(\nabla w) = 0, \qquad w(x,0) = \psi_0^* \,. \tag{7.1}
$$

Here $f^*(x) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} x \cdot z - f(z)$ denotes the Legendre transform of f, and

$$
\psi_0(y) = \frac{1}{2}|y|^2 + \mathbb{I}_{\bar{\Omega}}(y),\tag{7.2}
$$

where \mathbb{I}_S is the indicator function of the set S: $\mathbb{I}_S(z) = 0$ if $z \in S$, $+\infty$ if $z \notin S$. Since $\psi_0^*(x) = \sup_{z \in \bar{\Omega}} x \cdot z - \frac{1}{2}|z|^2$ and this is Lipschitz, results of Bardi and Evans [\[5\]](#page-34-1) imply that (regarding φ as extended continuously to all of \mathbb{R}^d) the unique viscosity solution of [\(7.1\)](#page-18-2) is given by the second Hopf formula, which states

$$
w_t = \psi_t^* \qquad \text{where} \quad \psi_t = \psi_0 + t\varphi \,. \tag{7.3}
$$

We will make no direct use of this fact. Instead, we will focus attention on what is known as the *Monge-Ampère* measure for by the convex function ψ_t^* . This is the Borel measure whose value on each Borel set B in \mathbb{R}^d is given by

$$
\kappa_t(B) = \lambda(\partial \psi_t^*(B)) = \lambda \left(\bigcup_{x \in B} \partial \psi_t^*(x) \right).
$$
 (7.4)

See [\[13,](#page-35-3) p. 7]. Results to be quoted below show that this agrees with the pushforward formula for κ_t in condition (v).

7.1 Convex mass transport

In order to suggest why it is reasonable to look at the measure κ_t , we first study what happens when we *assume* φ is convex.

Proposition 7.1. Let Ω be a bounded open convex set in \mathbb{R}^d , and let $\varphi \colon \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Assume φ is locally affine on a maximal open set A of full measure in Ω . Further assume φ is convex. Then for all $t > 0$, the Monge-Ampère measure in (7.4) is given by

$$
\kappa_t = \lambda \mathsf{L} \, X_t(A) \,,
$$

Lebesgue measure on the set $X_t(A)$ whose each component is translated rigidly.

 \Box

Proof. To begin we note that for each $t > 0$, $\psi_t : \mathbb{R}^d \to (-\infty, \infty]$ is convex, lower semicontinuous, and finite on $\overline{\Omega}$. Then $\psi_t = \psi_t^{**}$ by the Fenchel-Moreau theorem; see [\[8,](#page-34-3) §1.4]. Several further basic facts regarding the subgradients $\partial \psi_t$ in this context are the following (see [\[19,](#page-35-1) Appendix A] for simple proofs of (2) and (3)):

- (1) The inverse $(\partial \psi_t)^{-1} = \partial \psi_t^*$, according to Rockafellar [\[24,](#page-36-1) Thm 23.5].
- (2) $\partial \psi_t$ has range \mathbb{R}^d . Also $x \in \partial \psi_t(y)$ iff $x = y + z$ with $z \in \partial (\mathbb{I}_{\bar{\Omega}} + t\varphi)(y)$.
- (3) The inverse $(\partial \psi_t)^{-1}$ is a single-valued function on \mathbb{R}^d that is a contraction.

Let B be a Borel set in \mathbb{R}^d and let $x \in B$, $y = (\partial \psi_t)^{-1}(x)$. Then $x \in \partial \psi_t(y)$ by (i), whence necessarily $y \in \overline{\Omega}$, for otherwise $\partial \psi_t(y)$ is empty. As the set $\overline{\Omega} \backslash A$ has Lebesgue measure zero, it follows

$$
\kappa_t(B) = \lambda \left(A \cap (\partial \psi_t)^{-1}(B) \right).
$$

For any $y \in A$, the point $x = X_t(y) = y + t\nabla \varphi(y)$ is the unique point in $\partial \psi_t(y)$ (which is therefore a singleton set), for ψ_t is strictly convex and smooth near y. Let the components of A be denoted A_i and let v_i be the value of $v = \nabla \varphi$ in A_i . Note $y \in A_i$ if and only if $x = y + tv_i \in X_t(A_i)$. Hence

$$
A \cap (\partial \psi_t)^{-1}(B) = \bigcup_i A_i \cap (B - tv_i),
$$

and by translation invariance of Lebesgue measure it follows

$$
\kappa_t(B) = \sum_i \lambda(A_i \cap (B - tv_i)) = \sum_i \lambda(X_t(A_i) \cap B) = \lambda(X_t(A) \cap B).
$$

Hence $\kappa_t = \lambda \mathfrak{L} X_t(A)$ as claimed.

7.2 Non-convex mass transport

In light of Proposition [7.1,](#page-18-4) it seems reasonable to seek to associate non-injectivity in X_t with a failure of κ_t to represent rigid mass transport. Although we have not managed to succeed in this, we are indeed able to associate non-convexity of φ with formation of singular concentrations in κ_t , as follows.

We note that when φ is continuous on Ω and non-convex, the Legendre transform of the convexification ψ_t^{**} is ψ_t^* by the Fenchel-Moreau theorem, and the inverse relation (1) in the previous subsection becomes

$$
(\partial \psi_t^{**})^{-1} = \partial \psi_t^*
$$

.

Hence the Monge-Ampère measure $\kappa_t = (\partial \psi_t^{**})_\sharp (\lambda \cup \Omega)$, for this simply means

$$
\kappa_t(B) = \lambda((\partial \psi_t^{**})^{-1}(B)),
$$

which is the same as [\(7.4\)](#page-18-3). This is not different from the formula in condition (v) of Theorem [1.4,](#page-3-1) saying $\kappa_t = (\nabla \psi_t^{**})_\sharp \lambda$, because any set $(\partial \psi_t^{**})^{-1}(B)$ is contained in $\bar{\Omega}$ and can differ from $(\nabla \psi_t^{**})^{-1}(B)$ only at points where ψ_t^{**} is not differentiable, which form a Lebesgue null set, cf. [\[21,](#page-35-4) Lemma 4.1].

Remark 7.2. For fixed t, the fact that the function w_t has Monge-Ampère measure given by κ_t simply means that $u = w_t$ is the Alexandrov solution to the Monge-Ampère equation

$$
\det D^2 u = \kappa_t.
$$

Our main result in this section is the following theorem which directly implies the conclusion of Theorem [1.4](#page-3-1) under condition (v). It shows that when φ is non-convex, the mass evolution determined by the Monge-Ampère measure κ_t decomposes into a part given by rigid translation $z \mapsto \nabla \psi_t(z) = z + t \nabla \varphi(z)$ locally, and a nontrivial remainder that instantaneously concentrates on a null set.

Definition 7.3. For each $t > 0$ we define the "touching set"

$$
\Theta_t = \{ y \in \bar{\Omega} : \psi_t(y) = \psi_t^{**}(y) \},\tag{7.5}
$$

and for $t = 0$ we define $\Theta_0 = \overline{\Omega}$. We let Θ_t° denote the interior of Θ_t .

Theorem 7.4. Let Ω be a bounded open convex set in \mathbb{R}^d , and let $\varphi : \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Assume φ is locally affine on a maximal open set A of full measure in Ω . Also assume φ is non-convex. Let $t > 0$ and define the sets

$$
\mathcal{B}_t = \nabla \psi_t(A \cap \Theta_t^{\circ}), \quad \mathcal{S}_t = \partial \psi_t^{**}(A \backslash \Theta_t^{\circ}).
$$

Then the Monge-Ampère measure κ_t for ψ_t^* has the (Lebesgue) decomposition

 $\kappa_t = \mu_t + \nu_t$ where $\mu_t = \lambda \mathbb{I} \mathcal{B}_t$, $\nu_t = \kappa_t \mathbb{I} \mathcal{S}_t$.

In addition,

- (i) The sets \mathcal{B}_t and \mathcal{S}_t are disjoint,
- (ii) The map $\nabla \psi_t : A \cap \Theta_t^{\circ} \to \mathcal{B}_t$ is bijective and locally rigid translation,
- (iii) $\lambda(\mathcal{S}_t) = 0$ and $\kappa_t(\mathcal{S}_t) > 0$.

The results of Proposition [7.1](#page-18-4) and Theorem [7.4](#page-20-1) directly imply the following equivalence between convexity of φ and absolute continuity of κ_t .

Corollary 7.5. Let Ω be a bounded open convex set in \mathbb{R}^d , and let $\varphi : \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Assume φ is locally affine a.e. in Ω . Then φ is convex if and only if the Monge-Ampère measure κ_t for ψ_t^* is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d , for some (equivalently all) $t > 0$.

To proceed toward the proof of the Theorem [7.4](#page-20-1) we relate ψ_t^* to the function u_t given by the Hopf-Lax formula (1st Hopf formula)

$$
u_t(x) = \min_{z \in \bar{\Omega}} \frac{|x - z|^2}{2t} + \varphi(z).
$$
 (7.6)

We relate the touching sets to minimizers in this formula as follows. First, note that by expanding the quadratic, we have

$$
tu_t(x) + \psi_t^*(x) = \frac{1}{2}|x|^2
$$
 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. (7.7)

Lemma 7.6. Let $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then in the Hopf-Lax formula [\(7.6\)](#page-20-2), a point $y \in \overline{\Omega}$ is a minimizer if and only if $y \in \Theta_t \cap \partial \psi_t^*(x)$.

Proof. Recall the Young inequality says

$$
x \cdot z \leq \psi_t^*(x) + \psi_t^{**}(z) = \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 - tu_t(x) + \psi_t^{**}(z)
$$

for all x and z, with equality when $z \in \partial \psi_t^*(x)$ or equivalently $x \in \partial \psi_t^{**}(z)$. Since $\psi_t^{**} \leq \psi_t = \frac{1}{2} |\cdot|^2 + t\varphi$, we find that for all $z \in \overline{\Omega}$,

$$
tu_t(x) \le \frac{1}{2}|x - z|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|z|^2 + \psi_t^{**}(z)
$$

$$
\le \frac{1}{2}|x - z|^2 + t\varphi(z)
$$

If $z = y$ is a minimizer in [\(7.6\)](#page-20-2) then equality holds in both inequalities here, hence $\psi_t^{**}(y) = \psi_t(y)$ and $y \in \partial \psi_t^*(x)$. And the converse holds. П

Lemma 7.7. Let $t > 0$. If $y \in \Theta_t \cap \Omega$ and φ is differentiable at y then $\partial \psi_t^{**}(y)$ is a singleton set containing only $x = y + t\nabla\varphi(y)$.

Proof. Let $y \in \Theta_t \cap \Omega$. Then $\psi_t(z) \geq y \psi_t^{**}(z)$, so given any $x \in \partial \psi_t^{**}(y)$, it follows

$$
\psi_t(z) - x \cdot z + \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 \geq y \psi_t(y) - x \cdot y + \frac{1}{2}|x|^2.
$$

This means that $\frac{1}{2}|z-x|^2 + t\varphi(z)$ is minimized at $z = y$. Since φ is differentiable at y, necessarily $x = y + t\nabla\varphi(y)$. П

The touching set Θ_t is a closed subset of $\overline{\Omega}$. Its (relative) complement is the non-touching set $\Theta_t^c = \overline{\Omega} \backslash \Theta_t$, which is (relatively) open. Then their common boundary $\partial \Theta_t = \partial \Theta_t^c$ is nowhere dense and Θ_t is the closure of its interior Θ_t^o .

Proposition 7.8. Let $t > 0$, $y \in A$ and $x \in \partial \psi_t^{**}(y)$. Then there are three cases:

- (i) If $y \in \Theta_t^c$ then $\partial \psi_t^*(x)$ is not a singleton.
- (ii) We have $y \in \Theta_t^o$ if and only if $\partial \psi_t^{**}(y)$ is a singleton set containing $x = y + t\nabla\varphi(y)$ and $\partial\psi_t^*(x)$ is a singleton containing y.
- (iii) We have $y \in \partial \Theta_t$ if and only if $\partial \psi_t^{**}(y)$ is a singleton set containing $x = y + t \nabla \varphi(y)$ and $\partial \psi_t^*(x)$ is not a singleton.

Proof. 1. Suppose $y \in A \cap \Theta_t^c$ and $x \in \partial \psi_t^{**}(y)$. Let $y_* \in \overline{\Omega}$ be a minimizer in the Hopf-Lax formula [\(7.6\)](#page-20-2). Then by Lemma [7.6,](#page-21-0) $y_* \in \Theta_t \cap \partial \psi_t^*(x)$. But since $y \in \partial \psi_t^*(x)$ also, $\partial \psi_t^*(x)$ is not a singleton. This proves (i).

2. For both parts (ii) and (iii), note that if $y \in A \cap \Theta_t$ then φ is differentiable at y, so by Lemma [7.7](#page-21-1) we have $\partial \psi_t^{**}(y) = \{x\}$ with $x = y + t \nabla \varphi(y)$.

3. Suppose next that $y \in A \cap \Theta_t^{\circ}$. Note that in some neighborhood of y, φ is affine and we have that

$$
\psi_t^{**}(z) = \psi_t(z) = \frac{1}{2}|z|^2 + t\varphi(z)
$$

which is strictly convex and quadratic. Thus hyperplanes with slope x that support the graph of ψ_t^{**} at y cannot touch it at any other point, so $\partial \psi_t^*(x)$ must be a singleton.

4. Now assume that $y \in A_i$, that $\partial \psi_i^{**}(y) = \{x\}$ where $x = y + tv_i$, and that $\partial \psi_t^*(x) = \{y\}$. By part (i), necessarily $y \in \Theta_t$, and by Lemma [7.6,](#page-21-0) $z = y$ is the unique minimizer in the Hopf-Lax formula [7.6.](#page-20-2) For any $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ given, define

$$
H_p(z) = \frac{|p-z|^2}{2t} + \varphi(z)
$$

Then $z = y$ is the unique minimizer of H_x , and $H_x(y) = u_t(x)$. Choosing $\delta > 0$ so that $z \in A_i$ whenever $|z - y| < \delta$, we necessarily have

$$
\min\{H_x(z) : |z - y| \ge \delta, z \in \overline{\Omega}\} = u_t(x) + \gamma \quad \text{where } \gamma > 0.
$$

Note that φ takes the form $\varphi(z) = v_i \cdot z + h$ in A_i . Simple calculation shows that provided $|p| < \delta$ and $|v_i||p| < \gamma$, the function $H_{x+p}(z)$ is minimized within A_i at the point $z = y + p$, where we have

$$
H_{x+p}(y+p) = u_t(x) + v_i \cdot p < u_t(x) + \gamma.
$$

Hence this point $y + p$ is the unique global minimizer of H_{x+p} . By Lemma [7.6](#page-21-0) this means $y + p \in \Theta_t$. Thus $y \in \Theta_t^{\circ}$. This finishes the proof of (ii).

5. Part (iii) follows from parts (i) and (ii) as the remaining case. \Box

Before beginning the proof of Theorem [7.4](#page-20-1) we recall that a function f , convex on \mathbb{R}^d and finite at x, is differentiable at x if and only if $\partial f(x)$ is a singleton [\[24,](#page-36-1) Thm. 25.1].

Proof of Theorem [7.4.](#page-20-1) 1. On each component A_i of A, recall $\nabla \psi_t$ is given by rigid translation, $\nabla \psi_t(y) = y + tv_i$. The set $\mathcal{B}_t = \nabla \psi_t(A \cap \Theta_t^o)$ is then a disjoint union of open sets

$$
\mathcal{B}_t = \bigsqcup_i B_i, \quad \text{where} \quad B_i = \nabla \psi_t (A_i \cap \Theta_t^{\text{o}}) = A_i \cap \Theta_t^{\text{o}} + tv_i.
$$

By part (ii) of Prop. [7.8,](#page-21-2) $\partial \psi_t^*$ is single-valued on \mathcal{B}_t . Thus ψ_t^* is differentiable there and on each B_i we have $\nabla \psi_t^*(x) = x - tv_i$. Given any Borel set $B \subset \mathcal{B}_t$, then

$$
\kappa_t(B) = \sum_i \lambda(\nabla \psi_t^*(B \cap B_i)) = \sum_i \lambda(B \cap B_i - tv_i) = \lambda(B).
$$

Thus $\kappa_t \, \mathcal{L} \mathcal{B}_t = \lambda \, \mathcal{L} \mathcal{B}_t$.

2. For each point $x \in \mathcal{S}_t = \partial \psi_t^{**}(A \setminus \Theta_t^{\circ})$ we have $x \in \partial \psi_t^{**}(y)$ for some $y \in A \backslash \Theta_t^o$. By parts (i) and (iii) of Prop. [7.8,](#page-21-2) $\partial \psi_t^*(x)$ is not a singleton. Thus ψ_t^* is not differentiable at any point of \mathcal{S}_t . As ψ_t^* is convex, hence locally Lipschitz, we must have $\lambda(\mathcal{S}_t) = 0$ by Rademacher's theorem.

3. Since $\partial \psi_t^*(x)$ cannot be both singleton and non-singleton, $\partial \psi_t^*(\mathcal{B}_t)$ is disjoint from $\partial \psi_t^* (\mathcal{S}_t)$. Hence \mathcal{B}_t and \mathcal{S}_t are disjoint. Moreover,

$$
\partial \psi_t^*(\mathcal{B}_t) = A \cap \Theta_t^{\circ}
$$
 and $\partial \psi_t^*(\mathcal{S}_t) \supset A \setminus \Theta_t^{\circ}$.

Since $\partial \psi_t^*(x) \subset \overline{\Omega}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and A has full measure in $\overline{\Omega}$,

$$
\kappa_t(\mathbb{R}^d) \leq \lambda(\bar{\Omega}) = \lambda(A \cap \Theta_t^o) + \lambda(A \setminus \Theta_t^o)
$$

\n
$$
\leq \lambda(\partial \psi_t^*(\mathcal{B}_t)) + \lambda(\partial \psi_t^*(\mathcal{S}_t))
$$

\n
$$
= \kappa_t(\mathcal{B}_t) + \kappa_t(\mathcal{S}_t) \leq \kappa_t(\mathbb{R}^d).
$$

Hence equality holds throughout, and the desired Lebesgue decomposition is established. Moreover, $\kappa_t(\mathcal{S}_t) > 0$ since the non-touching set Θ_t^c is relatively open in $\overline{\Omega}$ and so the open set $A \cap \Theta_t^c \subset A \backslash \Theta_t^o$ is non-empty. \Box

8 Stability and approximation of rigidly breaking flows

For the rigidly breaking potential flows provided by Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-1) the countable Alexandrov theorem, a natural question that arises is whether and in what sense the flow produced depends continuously on the mass-velocity data, particularly in the absence of a moment assumption. In this section we provide a stability theorem that addresses this issue.

Recall from Remark [2.2](#page-8-0) that sets of mass-velocity data $\{(m_i, v_i)\}\)$ for which Theorem [1.1](#page-2-1) applies are in bijective correspondence with pure point measures ν on \mathbb{R}^d having $\nu(\mathbb{R}^d) = \lambda(\Omega)$. A natural notion of stability of the flows determined by such data involves weak-star convergence of measures in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d) = C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)^*$, the space of finite signed Radon measures on \mathbb{R}^d .

Theorem 8.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded convex open set with $\lambda(\Omega) = 1$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ let ν_n be a pure point probability measure on \mathbb{R}^d . Let φ_n be the potential associated with ν_n by Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-1) let A^n be the maximal open set in Ω on which φ_n is locally affine, and let $X_t^n = id + t\nabla \varphi_n$ be the corresponding flow map. Also let $\kappa_t^n = \lambda \mathfrak{L} X_t^n(A^n)$.

If $\nu_n \stackrel{\star}{\rightharpoonup} \nu_{\infty}$ as $n \to \infty$ weak-* in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $\kappa_t^n \stackrel{\star}{\rightharpoonup} \kappa_t^{\infty}$ weak-* in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for each $t > 0$.

The basis of the proof is the following result, which provides a stability theorem for the transport maps provided by McCann's main theorem in [\[20\]](#page-35-2). This result is unlikely to be new, but we were unable to locate a precise reference. It is closely related to well-known stability results for transport maps in optimal transport theory; see Corollary 5.23 in Villani's book [\[27\]](#page-36-2), e.g.

Theorem 8.2. Let μ be a probability measure on \mathbb{R}^d absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure λ . For each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, let ν_n be a probability measure on \mathbb{R}^d , and let $\varphi_n : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be a convex function as given by McCann's main theorem in [\[20\]](#page-35-2). If $\nu_n \triangleq \nu_{\infty}$ weak-* as $n \to \infty$, then $\nabla \varphi_n$ converges to $\nabla\varphi_{\infty}$ in μ -measure on \mathbb{R}^d .

Proof. The coupling defined by $\gamma_n = (\mathrm{id} \times \nabla \varphi_n)_{\sharp} \mu$ has marginals μ and ν_n . These couplings are probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$, so by the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, any subsequence has a further subsequence that converges weak-* to some measure $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Since we assume that ν_n converges weak-* to ν_{∞} , by Lemma 9(ii) of [\[20\]](#page-35-2) we infer that the limit measure γ is a probability measure coupling μ and ν_{∞} . Lemma 9(i) of [\[20\]](#page-35-2) implies the support of γ is cyclically monotone in the sense of McCann's Definition 3, hence, as McCann states, a theorem of Rockafellar implies that the support of γ is contained in the subdifferential of some convex function ψ on \mathbb{R}^d . Next, by Proposition 10 of [\[20\]](#page-35-2), the gradient of ψ pushes μ forward to ν_{∞} , i.e., $\nabla \psi_{\sharp} \mu = \nu_{\infty}$.

By the uniqueness part of McCann's main theorem in [\[20\]](#page-35-2), it follows that $\nabla \psi = \nabla \varphi_{\infty} \mu$ -a.e. in \mathbb{R}^d . Thus we can say the coupling $\gamma = (\mathrm{id} \times \nabla \varphi_{\infty})_{\sharp} \mu$. Since this limit measure γ is unique, the full sequence γ_n converges to it.

The last step of the proof is to invoke Theorem 6.12 on stability of transport maps in [\[2\]](#page-34-4), which states that in this situation, the weak-* convergence of γ_n to γ is equivalent to the convergence of $\nabla \varphi_n$ to $\nabla \varphi_\infty$ in the sense of μ -measure on \mathbb{R}^d . This finishes the proof of Theorem [8.2.](#page-23-2) \Box

Proof of Theorem [8.1.](#page-23-1) Make the assumptions stated in the Theorem. For each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, the transport map $X_t^n = id + t\nabla \varphi_n$ is well-defined on the set A^n . Let $\mu = \lambda \log n$, and recall from the proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-2-1) that φ_n is a potential associated with ν_n by McCann's main theorem in [\[20\]](#page-35-2). For any $t > 0$ fixed, evidently it follows from Theorem [8.2](#page-23-2) that X_t^n converges to X_t^{∞} in measure on Ω as $n \to \infty$.

Next, recall from Proposition [7.1](#page-18-4) that the pushforward measure

$$
(X_t^n)_\sharp\mu=\lambda\mathbin{\mathsf L} X_t^n(A^n)=\kappa_t^n.
$$

In order to prove κ_t^n converges to κ_t^{∞} weak-* on \mathbb{R}^d , we should prove that for any continuous function f on \mathbb{R}^d that vanishes at ∞ ,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) d\kappa_t^n(x) \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) d\kappa_t^{\infty}(x) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.
$$
 (8.1)

Since the measures κ_t^n are uniformly bounded in the space $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it suffices to prove this for functions f of compact support. But in this case we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) d\kappa_t^n(x) = \int_{\Omega} f(X_t^n(z)) d\lambda(z), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}.
$$

For any subsequence of these quantities, there is a further subsequence along which X_t^n converges to X_t^{∞} a.e. in Ω . We conclude that [\(8.1\)](#page-24-0) holds by using the dominated convergence theorem and the uniqueness of the limit.

Remark 8.3. Consider a countably infinite set $\{(m_i, v_i)\}\)$ of mass-velocity data **Remark 8.3.** Consider a countably infinite set $\{(m_i, v_i)\}\$ of mass-velocity data with $\sum_i m_i = \lambda(\Omega) = 1$ and arbitrary v_i . A natural way to approximate the pure point measure $\nu = \sum_i m_i \delta_{v_i}$ is by truncating to a finite sum of Dirac masses and normalizing, taking $\overline{\nu_n} = \tilde{\nu}_n / \tilde{\nu}_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $\tilde{\nu}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \delta_{v_i}$ are the partial sums. The Alexandrov theorem (Theorem [1.7\)](#page-5-0) then can be used to provide the velocity potential φ_n , instead of McCann's theorem which is based on cyclic monotonicity for couplings. Theorem [8.1](#page-23-1) then implies that the piecewise-rigidly breaking flows X_t^n converge to X_t in the sense that the restricted Lebesgue measures $\lambda \mathsf{L} X_t^n(A^n)$ converge weak-* to $\lambda \mathsf{L} X_t(A)$.

Evidently this still relies on cyclic monotonicity and Rockafellar's theorem, however, through the proof of Theorem [8.1](#page-23-1) above. It could be interesting to have a stability proof that avoids this reliance and proceeds completely in the spirit of Minkowski and Alexandrov, perhaps using a standard stability theorem for Monge-Ampère measures like Prop. 2.6 in [\[13\]](#page-35-3).

9 Incompressible optimal transport flows with convex source

In this section we complete our characterization of incompressible optimal transport flows with convex source as was mentioned in the Introduction. Our paper [\[19\]](#page-35-1) with Dejan Slepčev mainly concerned transport distance along volumepreserving paths of set deformations. In terms of optimal transport, effectively this means studying paths $t \mapsto \rho_t = \lambda \, \Omega_t$ comprising Lebesgue measure on a family of sets Ω_t having the same measure. One of the main results of [\[19\]](#page-35-1) was that, given two bounded measurable sets Ω_0 and Ω_1 of equal measure, the infimum of the Benamou-Brenier action

$$
\mathcal{A} = \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v|^2 \, d\rho_t \, dt \,,
$$

subject to the transport equation $\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho v) = 0$, but further constrained by the requirement that the measures ρ_t have the form

$$
\rho_t = \lambda \mathbf{1} \, \Omega_t \,, \quad t \in [0, 1], \tag{9.1}
$$

is the same as $d_W(\mu, \nu)^2$, the squared Monge-Kantorovich (Wasserstein) distance between the measures

$$
\mu = \lambda \mathfrak{l} \ \Omega_0, \quad \nu = \lambda \mathfrak{l} \ \Omega_1. \tag{9.2}
$$

The squared distance $d_W(\mu, \nu)^2$ is infimum of A without the constraint [\(9.1\)](#page-25-1), and the minimum is achieved for a unique minimizing path $(\mu_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ known as the Wasserstein geodesic path.

Assume Ω_0 and Ω_1 are open, for the rest of this section. Let $(\mu_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ be the Wasserstein geodesic path connecting the measures μ and ν in [\(9.2\)](#page-25-2). Theorem 1.4 of [\[19\]](#page-35-1) says that if the infimum of A is achieved as described above at some path $(\rho_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ satisfying the constraint [\(9.1\)](#page-25-1), then $\rho_t = \mu_t$. That is, any minimizing path satisfying the incompressibility constraint [\(9.1\)](#page-25-1) must be the Wasserstein geodesic path.

We refer to such minimizers as *incompressible optimal transport paths*. Let (ρ_t) be such an incompressible optimal transport path. Let ψ be the convex Brenier potential whose gradient pushes $\mu = \rho_0$ to $\nu = \rho_1: \nabla \psi_{\sharp} \mu = \nu$. Then $\rho_t = (\nabla \psi_t)_{\sharp} \rho_0$ for each $t \in (0, 1)$, where

$$
\psi_t(z) = \frac{1}{2}|z|^2 + t\varphi(z) \quad \text{with} \quad \varphi(z) = \psi(z) - \frac{1}{2}|z|^2. \tag{9.3}
$$

At points of differentiabilty of ψ , the transport flow is given by

$$
X_t(z) = \nabla \psi_t(z) = z + tv(z) \quad \text{with} \quad v = \nabla \varphi.
$$

This velocity potential φ is semi-convex, by [\(9.3\)](#page-26-1).

Because Ω_0 , Ω_1 are bounded open sets and the characteristic functions on Ω_0 and Ω_1 are smooth, according to the regularity theory of Caffarelli [\[9\]](#page-35-5), Figalli [\[12\]](#page-35-6) and Figalli and Kim [\[14\]](#page-35-7), $\nabla \psi$ is a smooth diffeomorphism $\nabla \psi$: $A_0 \rightarrow A_1$, where $A_0 \subset \Omega_0$ and $A_1 \subset \Omega_1$ are open sets of full measure.

In this situation, we call the flow given by X_t an *incompressible optimal* transport flow taking Ω_0 to Ω_1 . Corollary 5.8 of [\[19\]](#page-35-1) states that necessarily the velocity v of such a flow is constant on each component of the open set A_0 of full measure in Ω_0 . Therefore φ is locally affine and semi-convex.

Then the range of $v = \nabla \varphi$ is a countable set $\{v_i\}$ of distinct vectors in \mathbb{R}^d , $v = v_i$ on an open subset A_i with positive measure $m_i = \lambda(A_i) > 0$, and $i_i m_i = \lambda(\Omega_0)$. Recall that we refer to the set $\{(m_i, v_i)\}\)$ as the mass-velocity data of the incompressible optimal transport flow.

Definition 9.1. Let $MV(\Omega_0)$ denote the collection of countable sets of pairs (m_i, v_i) such that the v_i are uniformly bounded and distinct in \mathbb{R}^d ($v_i = v_j$) (m_i, v_i) such that the v_i are uniformly bounded and *c* implies $i = j$, the m_i are positive, and $\sum_i m_i = \lambda(\Omega_0)$.

As we have just seen, each incompressible optimal transport flow determines some set of mass-velocity data in $MV(\Omega_0)$. The result we are aiming at asserts that this association is bijective if the source domain is convex.

Theorem 9.2. Let Ω_0 be a convex bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^d . Given any incompressible optimal transport flow taking Ω_0 to some other bounded open set, let $\{(m_i, v_i)\}\in MV(\Omega_0)$ be the mass-velocity data of the flow as described above. Then this map from flows to data is bijective.

Proof. Let an incompressible optimal transport flow be given as above, taking Ω_0 to some bounded open set Ω_1 with the same measure. Such a flow, and its associated mass-velocity data $\{(m_i, v_i)\}\in MV(\Omega_0)$, is determined uniquely by the locally affine and semi-convex velocity potential φ . Since Ω_0 is convex, the potential φ is necessarily convex by Theorem [1.3.](#page-3-0) Then Theorem [1.1](#page-2-1) applies. Because of the invariance of φ under reordering of the data as discussed in Remark [2.2,](#page-8-0) the set of pairs $\{(m_i, v_i)\}\$ determines φ (up to a constant), and hence the flow, uniquely.

Conversely, given any countable set $\{(m_i, v_i)\}\$ in $MV(\Omega_0)$, Theorem [1.1](#page-2-1) provides velocity potential φ that is convex on Ω_0 and locally affine in a maximal open subset A_0 . The velocity field $v = \nabla \varphi$ defined a.e. is bounded, rigidly breaks Ω_0 , and the ensuing flow is an incompressible optimal transport flow. \Box

10 Shapes of shards

In Section [4,](#page-11-0) we have seen that when the number of pieces A_i is finite, the pieces are bounded by hyperplanes, like polytopes. And in general, with infinitely many pieces possible, the pieces are convex. It is interesting to investigate what shapes the pieces may have. In this section we will discuss constructions that show a given piece may take an arbitrary convex shape, for example, or that all pieces can be round balls.

10.1 Power diagrams

Recall that in the case of finitely many pieces, the A_i are determined by the condition (6). This means that, with $\varphi(x) = v_i \cdot x + h_i$ in A_i as in [\(1.6\)](#page-5-1),

$$
A_i = \{ x \in \Omega : v_i \cdot x + h_i > v_j \cdot x + h_j \text{ for all } j \neq i \}.
$$
 (10.1)

Through completing the square, this provides the equivalent description

$$
A_i = \{x \in \Omega : |x - v_i|^2 - w_i < |x - v_j|^2 - w_j \text{ for all } i \neq j\},\tag{10.2}
$$

where $w_i = 2h_i + |v_i|^2$. This realizes the decomposition of Ω into the pieces A_i as a power diagram determined by the points v_i and weights w_i . Power diagrams are a generalization of Voronoi tesselations (for which the $w_i = 0$) and which have many uses in computational geometry and other subjects, see [\[3,](#page-34-5) [4\]](#page-34-6).

In the general case here, when φ is convex and locally affine a.e. with countably many pieces possible, the pieces A_i satisfy

$$
A_i = \text{int}\left\{x \in \Omega : v_i \cdot x + h_i \geq \sup_{j \neq i} v_j \cdot x + h_j\right\},\tag{10.3}
$$

(int denotes the interior) or with $w_i = 2h_i + |v_i|^2$ as before,

$$
A_i = \inf \{ x \in \Omega : |x - v_i|^2 - w_i \le \inf_{j \ne i} |x - v_j|^2 - w_j \}.
$$
 (10.4)

Thus the decomposition of Ω into the A_i can be considered as a countable power diagram determined by the countably many points v_i and weights w_i .

10.2 Full packings by balls

The power-diagram description motivates the possibility that with countably many pieces, the pieces can assume some convex shape different from a polytope, such as a ball. We will describe three ways that optimal breaking can produce pieces that are all ball-shaped.

Take $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ as any bounded open convex set. By a *full packing* of Ω by balls we mean a collection of countably many disjoint open balls $B_i = \{x : |x - x_i|$ r_i in Ω with centers x_i and radii r_i , such that the union $B = \bigcup_i B_i$ is an open set of full measure in Ω .

Lemma 10.1. Given any full packing $\{B_i\}$ of Ω by balls, there exists a function φ convex and locally affine a.e., with maximal pieces $A_i = B_i$, such that $\nabla \phi$ maps B_i to the center of B_i .

Proof. Since $\bigcup_{j \neq i} B_j$ is dense in $\Omega \backslash B_i$, we can say

$$
B_i = \{x \in \Omega : |x - x_i|^2 - r_i^2 < \inf_{j \neq i} |x - x_j|^2 - r_j^2\}.\tag{10.5}
$$

Comparing this with [\(10.4\)](#page-27-3), we see that the B_i constitute a power diagram determined by the ball centers x_i and squared radii $w_i = r_i^2$. We infer that the convex function defined by

$$
\varphi(x) = \sup_{i} v_i \cdot x + h_i \quad \text{with} \quad v_i = x_i \,, \quad h_i = \frac{1}{2} (r_i^2 - |x_i|^2) \,, \tag{10.6}
$$

is locally affine a.e., with maximal pieces $A_i = B_i$ and $\nabla \varphi = x_i$ in A_i . \Box

Any velocity potential φ produced by this lemma cannot be C^1 , for each point in the set of ball centers $\{x_i\}$ is isolated, so $\nabla\varphi(\Omega)$ cannot be connected.

Full packings by balls can be produced in a variety of ways. Three that are interesting to discuss are (i) using Vitali's covering theorem; (ii) so-called osculatory packing; (iii) Apollonian packing.

(i) Using Vitali's covering theorem

The collection of all open balls in Ω constitutes a Vitali covering of Ω , so a full packing of Ω by balls exists by the Vitali covering theorem [\[10,](#page-35-8) Thm. III.12.3]. Actually, one can specify a finite number of the balls at will: Take B_1, \ldots, B_k to be given disjoint balls in Ω . Then apply the Vitali covering theorem to the collection of open balls in $O(1)^k$ collection of open balls in $\Omega \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^k \bar{B}_i$.

(ii) Osculatory packings

A sequence $\{B_j\}$ of disjoint balls in Ω is called *osculatory* if B_i is a ball of largest A sequence ${B_j}$ or disjoint balls in Ω is called *osculatory* if B_i is a ball of largest possible radius in $\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} B_j$ whenever i is greater than some k. Boyd [\[6\]](#page-34-7) elegantly proved that an osculatory sequence in any open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of finite measure is a full packing. Earlier, Melzak [\[22\]](#page-35-9) had proved this for the case of dimension $d = 2$ and when Ω itself is a disk.

(iii) Apollonian packings of disks

A classic and beautiful tree construction that produces an osculatory packing in case Ω is the unit disk in \mathbb{R}^2 is associated with the name of Apollonius of Perga, who in antiquity classified all configurations of circles tangent to three given ones.

Start with two circles bounding disjoint disks B_1, B_2 in Ω , tangent to each other and tangent to the unit circle. These circles determine two curvilinear

Figure 3: Apollonian bowl: graph of velocity potential locally affine a.e.

triangles. At stage 1, inscribe a circle in each of the curvilinear triangles. These circles bound new disks B_3 , B_4 and divide each curvilinear triangle into three smaller ones. At each subsequent stage we continue by inscribing a circle in each of the curvilinear triangles created at the previous stage, adding the disks they bound to the collection, and subdividing the curvilinear 'parent' triangle into three 'children.' From the two triangles and disks we start with at stage 1, upon completing stage k we have $2 \cdot 3^k$ disks at stage k.

Rearranged in order of decreasing radii, the sequence of disks produced in this way is osculatory. A proof that this Apollonian sequence produces a full packing of Ω was provided by Kasner & Supnick in 1943 [\[16\]](#page-35-10). The closed set $\overline{\Omega} \setminus \bigcup_i B_i$, determined by removing the open disks in an Apollonian packing from the unit disk, is known as an Apollonian gasket. It has measure zero and is nowhere dense.

Apollonian packings can be generated algorithmically using the generalized Descartes circle theorem due to Lagarias *et al.* [\[18\]](#page-35-11). If parent circles C_1 , C_2 , C_3 (possibly including the unit circle) are mutually tangent and tangent to children C_4 and C_5 , and C_i has complex center z_i and curvature $b_i = 1/r_i$ (with $b_i = -1$) for the outer unit circle), this theorem implies

$$
b_4 + b_5 = 2(b_1 + b_2 + b_3),
$$

$$
b_4z_4 + b_5z_5 = 2(b_1z_1 + b_2z_2 + b_3z_3).
$$

From the data b_i and z_i for three parent circles and one child, these equations determine the entire packing. Famously, all curvatures b_i are integers if the initial four are. Possibly, this property was first noticed only in the 20th century by the chemist Soddy [\[25\]](#page-36-3). In Fig. [3](#page-29-0) we plot the graph of the convex and a.e. locally affine velocity potential generated by Lemma [10.1](#page-28-0) in this case. Recall that Fig. [1](#page-6-0) illustrates the rigidly separated disks $X_t(B_i)$ at time $t = 0.5$ as shaded in blue.

10.3 Shards with arbitrary convex shape

As promised, we will show here that it is possible for some piece to assume an arbitrary convex shape. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded open convex set, and let U be any convex open subset. Without loss of generality, for convenience we translate and scale coordinates so that $0 \in U$ and Ω is contained in the unit ball ${x : |x| < 1}.$

To begin, we construct a sequence of approximations to the distance function

$$
\Phi(x) := \text{dist}(x, U) = \inf\{|x - y| : y \in U\}.
$$

The function Φ is convex, and of course, $\overline{U} = \{x \in \overline{\Omega} : \Phi(x) = 0\}$. Let $\{\sigma_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^d dense in the sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , and for each i choose $x_i \in \partial U$ to maximize $\sigma_i \cdot x$ on \overline{U} . Then it is simple to show that

$$
\Phi(x) = 0 \vee \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_i \cdot (x - x_i). \tag{10.7}
$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$
\Phi_n(x) = 0 \lor \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sigma_i \cdot (x - x_i).
$$

Then $\Phi_n(x)$ increases as $n \to \infty$ to the limit $\Phi(x)$ for all x, with

$$
0 \leqslant \Phi_n(x) \leqslant \Phi(x) \leqslant 1. \tag{10.8}
$$

Moreover, Φ_n is convex and piecewise affine, and since $|\nabla \Phi_n| \leq 1$ a.e. we have $|\Phi_n(x) - \Phi_n(y)| \leq |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in \Omega$. Invoking the Arzela-Ascoli theorem we can conclude that Φ_n converges uniformly to Φ . Thus, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists N_k such that for all $n \geq N_k$,

$$
\sup_{x \in \Omega} |\Phi_n(x) - \Phi(x)| < \frac{1}{k} \,. \tag{10.9}
$$

With these preliminaries, we can construct a convex function, locally affine a.e., having U as one of its maximal pieces, as follows.

Proposition 10.2. Let $\{a_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers satisfying $a_{k+1} \leq \frac{1}{k} a_k$ for all k. Let

$$
\varphi(x) = \sup_{k} a_k \Phi_{N_k}(x), \qquad x \in \Omega.
$$
 (10.10)

Then φ is nonnegative, convex, and locally affine a.e., with $\varphi(x) = 0$ if and only if $x \in \overline{U}$.

Proof. Let $\varphi_k(x) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} a_i \Phi_{N_i}(x)$. Then φ_k is nonnegative and piecewise affine, and it vanishes on a polytope containing U. If $dist(x, U) = \Phi(x) > \frac{2}{k}$ and $x \in \Omega$, then by [\(10.9\)](#page-30-1) and [\(10.8\)](#page-30-2),

$$
\varphi_k(x) \geqslant a_k \Phi_{N_k}(x) > \frac{a_k}{k} \geqslant a_{k+1} \geqslant a_{k+1} \Phi_{N_{k+1}}(x),
$$

hence $\varphi_{k+1}(x) = \varphi_k(x)$. By consequence, φ is piecewise affine outside any open neighborhood of \bar{U} . We can conclude it is locally affine a.e. in Ω and vanishes only on \overline{U} . П

11 Discussion

In this paper we have focused attention on flows that rigidly break a convex domain, flows of a type that permits a classification in terms of mass-velocity data for the pieces. In particular, we have investigated conditions under which rigidly breaking potential flows must arise from a convex potential. As mentioned in Remark [1.6,](#page-4-2) it may be reasonable to conjecture that the conditions such as $(i)-(v)$ in Theorem [1.4](#page-3-1) which ensure the potential's convexity may be weakened. We have also investigated and illustrated several differences between flows that break a domain into finitely many vs. infinitely many pieces.

We conclude this paper with a discussion of a few points, concerning: (a) conditions that ensure the velocity field can be realized as the gradient of a continuous potential; (b) in our one-dimensional example of subsection [3.2,](#page-9-2) the fat Cantor sets expand uniformly in time; (c) some necessary criteria for a rigidly breaking velocity field to be continuous in dimensions $d > 1$.

11.1 Sufficient conditions for continuity of the potential

In Theorem [1.4](#page-3-1) we assume the velocity field is the gradient of a potential φ that is locally affine a.e. in the convex set Ω , and we assume a priori that φ is continuous. In this subsection we briefly investigate conditions on v that are sufficient to ensure these properties.

In order that some $\varphi \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ should exist with $v = \nabla \varphi$ in the sense of distributions, it is simple to check that necessarily the distributional Jacobian matrix $(\partial_i v_k)$ should be symmetric. In physical terms, this means that the velocity field should generate no shear.

Some integrability condition on v appears needed as well. Note, however, that Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-1) our countable Alexandrov theorem, provides a rigidly breaking velocity field v that fails to be in $L^1(\Omega)$ if the mass-velocity data is such that $i_{i} m_{i} |v_{i}| = \infty$. However, since $v = \nabla \varphi$ with φ convex, necessarily v is locally bounded a.e. in Ω .

In order to ensure that a velocity field $v = \nabla \varphi$ with φ continuous, then, we should require v is curl-free and it is reasonable to require some local boundedness or integrability in Ω . We find the following conditions are indeed sufficient.

Proposition 11.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be bounded, open and convex. Suppose that for some $p > d$, $v \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and its (matrix-valued) distributional derivative is symmetric. Then $v = \nabla \varphi$ a.e. in Ω , for some locally Hölder continuous function $\varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. By a standard cutoff and mollification argument we find a sequence of smooth velocity fields v^k converging to v in $L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$. Fix $z_0 \in \Omega$. Inside any convex subdomain $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ with compact closure in Ω and containing z_0 , we can ensure that for k sufficiently large, the v^k are curl-free, having symmetric Jacobian matrices ∇v^k inside Ω' . By path integration along line segments from z₀, we can define smooth φ^k on Ω such that $\varphi^k(z_0) = 0$ and on Ω' we have $\nabla \varphi^k = v^k$. Then the sequence $(\nabla \varphi^k)$ is bounded in $L^p(\Omega')$ and by Morrey's inequality, (φ^k) is bounded in C^{α} norm on Ω' for $\alpha = 1 - d/p$. Then it follows that φ^k converges locally uniformly in Ω to a Hölder continuous limit φ . \Box

Finally, we comment on what might happen with rigidly breaking flows if shear is allowed. Without the potential flow assumption, it is easy to imagine a great variety of rigidly breaking flows that appear difficult to classify. E.g., as a simple example consider Ω to be the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^2 , let f be any function whose graph $x_2 = f(x_1)$ disconnects Ω in two pieces, and let v be the velocity field that sends the upper piece moving rigidly upward and the lower piece downward at speed 1. If the graph is not a horizontal line, however, then the distributional curl of v will be concentrated on the graph and nonzero.

11.2 Uniform expansion of the Cantor set

Here we provide a proof of our comment in subsection [3.2](#page-9-2) regarding the uniform expansion of the Cantor set under the transported velocity field plotted in Fig. [2.](#page-11-1) This figure plots the Cantor-function velocity $v = c(z)$ vs. the transported location $x = z + t c(z) = X_t(z)$, which is a continuous and strictly increasing function of z for $t > 0$. Define this velocity as a function of $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \geq 0$ by

$$
f(x,t) = c(z)
$$
, where $x = z + tc(z)$. (11.1)

(Here $c(z) = 0$ for $z \le 0$ and $= 1$ for $z \ge 1$.) This is the Lax implicit formula for a solution of the inviscid Burgers equation $\partial_t f + \partial_x (\frac{1}{2} f^2) = 0$. The function $f(\cdot, t)$ is increasing. As discussed in section [3.2,](#page-9-2) $f(\cdot, t)$ is constant on each component interval of the complement of the "expanded" set $\mathcal{C}_t = \{z + tc(z) : z \in \mathcal{C}\}\,$, which is a fat Cantor set of Lebesgue measure $\lambda(\mathcal{C}_t) = \lambda(\mathcal{C}_t) = t$. Indeed, this set expands Lebesgue measure uniformly, as we now show.

Proposition 11.2. For $t > 0$, the function in [\(11.1\)](#page-32-2) is given by

$$
f(x,t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^x \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}_t}(s) d\lambda(s).
$$

Thus $\partial f/\partial x = 0$ on \mathcal{C}_{t}^{c} , and $\partial f/\partial x = 1/t$ at each Lesbegue point of \mathcal{C}_{t} .

.

Proof. Fix $t > 0$. The function $x \mapsto f(x, t)$ satisfies a one-sided Lipschitz bound (Oleinik inequality), with a simple proof: Say $\hat{x} = X_t(\hat{z}) > x = X_t(z)$. Then

$$
\hat{x} - x = \hat{z} - z + t(c(\hat{z}) - c(z)) \geqslant \hat{z} - z,
$$

hence

$$
0 \le \frac{f(\hat{x}, t) - f(x, t)}{\hat{x} - x} = \frac{c(\hat{z}) - c(z)}{\hat{x} - x} = \frac{1}{t} \left(1 - \frac{\hat{z} - z}{\hat{x} - x} \right) \le \frac{1}{t}
$$

Since f is increasing in x, it is differentiable a.e., whence $0 \le \partial f / \partial x \le 1/t$. Hence $\partial f/\partial x$ is L^1_{loc} , and we infer from Lebesgue's version of the fundamental theorem of calculus that

$$
1 = c(1) = f(1 + t, t) = \int_{\mathcal{C}_t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(s, t) d\lambda(s) \leq \frac{1}{t} \lambda(\mathcal{C}_t) = 1.
$$

Then indeed $\partial f / \partial x (\cdot, t) = 1/t$ a.e. in \mathcal{C}_t , and

$$
f(x,t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^x \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}_t}(s) d\lambda(s).
$$

Moreover this shows $\partial f/\partial x = 1/t$ at every Lebesgue point of \mathcal{C}_t .

Remark 11.3. The function f is in fact the entropy solution to the inviscid Burgers equation with initial data $f(x, 0) = c(x)$, see [\[11,](#page-35-12) Sec. 3.4].

11.3 On continuous velocities in multidimensions

We lack any characterization like the one in Proposition [3.1](#page-10-1) for describing rigidly breaking velocity fields that are continuous when $d > 1$. So here we confine ourselves to discuss some necessary constraints.

Suppose $v = \nabla \varphi$ is rigidly breaking and continuous, where φ is C^1 , convex and locally affine a.e. on a bounded open convex set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\{v_i\}$ be the distinct values of v on the maximal open set A where φ is locally affine. Since A is dense in Ω , necessarily the set $\{v_i\}$ is dense in the continuous image $v(\Omega)$, which must be connected, as in the case $d = 1$ treated in Proposition [3.1.](#page-10-1)

Recall that for all $t \geq 0$, the flow map X_t is a continuous injection from Ω onto $X_t(\Omega)$. Indeed, it is a homeomorphism, since the inequality proved in Lemma [1.2,](#page-2-2)

$$
|X_t(z) - X_t(y)| \geq |z - y|,
$$

implies the inverse is a contraction. Brouwer's domain invariance theorem (see [\[17\]](#page-35-13) or [\[26,](#page-36-4) Sec. 1.6.2]) implies $X_t(\Omega)$ is open in \mathbb{R}^d . Topologically $X_t(\Omega)$ is the same as Ω , not disconnected in any way nor having "holes." Instead it is contractible to a point. Moreover we can deform Ω into $v(\Omega)$ through the homotopy defined by

$$
S(x,\tau) = (1-\tau)x + \tau v(x),
$$

noting S is continuous on $\Omega \times [0, 1]$. Thus the image $v(\Omega)$ is a limit of homeomorphic images $S_{\tau}(\Omega) = X_t(\Omega)/(1 + t), \tau = t/(1 + t).$

 \Box

But we have been unable to determine whether $v(\Omega)$ must be homotopy equivalent to Ω , or whether this property, say, would suffice to ensure φ be $C¹$. The monotonicity of the velocity (as in (2.2)) should be relevant, since for example, the smooth but non-monotone map $v(x_1, x_2) = (\cos 8x_1, \sin 8x_1)$ maps the square $\Omega = (0, 1)^2$ surjectively onto the unit circle.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge, for support and hospitality during the programme Frontiers in Kinetic Theory, where work on this paper was undertaken. This work was supported by EPSRC grant no EP/R014604/1. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under grants DMS 2106988 (JGL) and 2106534 (RLP).

References

- [1] A. D. Alexandrov, Convex polyhedra, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. Translated from the 1950 Russian edition by N. S. Dairbekov, S. S. Kutateladze and A. B. Sossinsky, With comments and bibliography by V. A. Zalgaller and appendices by L. A. Shor and Yu. A. Volkov. [3,](#page-2-4) [6](#page-5-2)
- [2] L. AMBROSIO, E. BRUÉ, AND D. SEMOLA, Lectures on optimal transport, vol. 130 of Unitext, Springer, Cham, [2021] ©2021. La Matematica per il 3+2. [25](#page-24-1)
- [3] F. AURENHAMMER, Power diagrams: properties, algorithms and applications, SIAM J. Comput., 16 (1987), pp. 78–96. [28](#page-27-4)
- [4] F. AURENHAMMER, R. KLEIN, AND D.-T. LEE, Voronoi diagrams and Delaunay triangulations, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2013. [28](#page-27-4)
- [5] M. Bardi and L. C. Evans, On Hopf 's formulas for solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, Nonlinear Anal., 8 (1984), pp. 1373–1381. [5,](#page-4-3) [19](#page-18-5)
- [6] D. W. BOYD, Osculatory packings by spheres, Canad. Math. Bull., 13 (1970), pp. 59–64. [29](#page-28-1)
- [7] Y. Brenier and E. Grenier, Sticky particles and scalar conservation laws, SIAM journal on numerical analysis, 35 (1998), pp. 2317–2328. [5](#page-4-3)
- [8] H. Brezis, Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2011. [20](#page-19-1)
- [9] L. A. Caffarelli, Some regularity properties of solutions of Monge Ampère equation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 44 (1991), pp. 965–969. [27](#page-26-2)
- [10] N. DUNFORD AND J. T. SCHWARTZ, Linear Operators. I. General Theory, With the assistance of W. G. Bade and R. G. Bartle. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 7, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York; Interscience Publishers, Ltd., London, 1958. [29](#page-28-1)
- [11] L. C. Evans, Partial differential equations, vol. 19 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second ed., 2010. [34](#page-33-1)
- [12] A. FIGALLI, Regularity properties of optimal maps between nonconvex domains in the plane, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 35 (2010), pp. 465–479. [27](#page-26-2)
- $[13]$ —, The Monge-Ampère equation and its applications, Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2017. [19,](#page-18-5) [26](#page-25-3)
- [14] A. FIGALLI AND Y.-H. KIM, *Partial regularity of Brenier solutions of the* Monge-Ampère equation, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 28 (2010), pp. 559– 565. [27](#page-26-2)
- [15] X. Gu, F. Luo, J. Sun, and S.-T. Yau, Variational principles for Minkowski type problems, discrete optimal transport, and discrete Monge-Ampere equations, Asian J. Math., 20 (2016), pp. 383–398. [3,](#page-2-4) [6](#page-5-2)
- [16] E. KASNER AND F. SUPNICK, The Apollonian packing of circles, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 29 (1943), pp. 378–384. [30](#page-29-1)
- [17] W. KULPA, *Poincaré and domain invariance theorem*, Acta Univ. Carolin. Math. Phys., 39 (1998), pp. 127–136. [34](#page-33-1)
- [18] J. C. LAGARIAS, C. L. MALLOWS, AND A. R. WILKS, Beyond the Descartes circle theorem, Amer. Math. Monthly, 109 (2002), pp. 338–361. [30](#page-29-1)
- [19] J.-G. Liu, R. L. PEGO, AND D. SLEPCEV, Least action principles for incompressible flows and geodesics between shapes, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 58 (2019). Paper No. 179, 43. [4,](#page-3-3) [5,](#page-4-3) [8,](#page-7-2) [20,](#page-19-1) [26,](#page-25-3) [27](#page-26-2)
- [20] R. J. McCann, Existence and uniqueness of monotone measure-preserving maps, Duke Math. J., 80 (1995), pp. 309–323. [8,](#page-7-2) [9,](#page-8-2) [24,](#page-23-3) [25](#page-24-1)
- $[21]$, A convexity principle for interacting gases, Adv. Math., 128 (1997), pp. 153–179. [20](#page-19-1)
- [22] Z. A. Melzak, Infinite packings of disks, Canadian J. Math., 18 (1966), pp. 838–852. [29](#page-28-1)
- [23] H. MINKOWSKI, Allgemeine lehrsätze über die konvexen polyeder, in Ausgewählte Arbeiten zur Zahlentheorie und zur Geometrie: Mit D. Hilberts Gedächtnisrede auf H. Minkowski, Göttingen 1909, Springer Vienna, Vienna, 1989, pp. 121–139. [6](#page-5-2)
- [24] R. T. ROCKAFELLAR, Convex analysis, vol. No. 28 of Princeton Mathematical Series, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970. [20,](#page-19-1) [23](#page-22-0)
- [25] F. SODDY, The bowl of integers and the hexlet, Nature, 139 (1937), pp. 77– 79. [31](#page-30-3)
- [26] T. Tao, Hilbert's fifth problem and related topics, vol. 153 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2014. [34](#page-33-1)
- [27] C. VILLANI, *Optimal transport*, vol. 338 of Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009. Old and new. [24](#page-23-3)