

First Stability Cardinals of AECs

2023 North American Annual Meeting

Samson Leung

Carnegie Mellon University

March 28, 2023

Overview

- 1 Main results
- 2 Abstract elementary classes (AECs)
- 3 Proof idea
- 4 Possible directions
- 5 References

Main results

Fact

- 1 (Shelah) Let T be a stable first-order theory. The first stability cardinal is bounded above by $2^{|T|}$.

Main results

Fact

- 1 (Shelah) Let T be a stable first-order theory. The first stability cardinal is bounded above by $2^{|T|}$.
- 2 (Vasey) Let \mathbf{K} be a tame stable AEC with the amalgamation property (AP). The first stability cardinal is bounded above by the first Hanf number $= \beth_{(2^{\text{LS}(\mathbf{K})})^+}$.

Main results

Fact

- ① (Shelah) Let T be a stable first-order theory. The first stability cardinal is bounded above by $2^{|T|}$.
- ② (Vasey) Let \mathbf{K} be a tame stable AEC with the amalgamation property (AP). The first stability cardinal is bounded above by the first Hanf number $= \beth_{(2^{\text{LS}(\mathbf{K})})^+}$.

Open question

Can we lower the bound of (2) to $2^{\text{LS}(\mathbf{K})}$? Or are there counterexamples?

Main results

Theorem (Proposition 4.1)

Let λ be an infinite cardinal and α be an ordinal with $\lambda \leq \alpha < (2^\lambda)^+$. Then there is a stable AEC \mathbf{K} such that $\text{LS}(\mathbf{K}) = \lambda$ and its first stability cardinal is $\beth_\alpha(\lambda)$. Moreover, \mathbf{K} is tame but fails AP.

Main results

Theorem (Proposition 4.1)

Let λ be an infinite cardinal and α be an ordinal with $\lambda \leq \alpha < (2^\lambda)^+$. Then there is a stable AEC \mathbf{K} such that $\text{LS}(\mathbf{K}) = \lambda$ and its first stability cardinal is $\beth_\alpha(\lambda)$. Moreover, \mathbf{K} is tame but fails AP.

First stability cardinal	Tame+AP	Tame+(\neg AP)
Upper bound	$\beth_{(2^{\text{LS}(\mathbf{K})})^+}$ (Vasey)	? (Open)
Can go up to	? (Open)	$\beth_{(2^{\text{LS}(\mathbf{K})})^+}$ (4.1)

Abstract elementary classes (AECs)

Shelah developed an axiomatic framework to contain certain classes of models, including models of first-order theories.

Definition

Let L be a finitary language. An abstract elementary class $\mathbf{K} = \langle K, \leq_{\mathbf{K}} \rangle$ in $L = L(\mathbf{K})$ satisfies the following axioms:

- 1 K is a class of L -structures and $\leq_{\mathbf{K}}$ is a partial order on K .
- 2 For $M_1, M_2 \in K$, $M_1 \leq_{\mathbf{K}} M_2$ implies $M_1 \subseteq M_2$ (as L -substructure).

Abstract elementary classes (AECs)

Definition (Continued)

3 Isomorphism axioms:

- a If $M \in K$, N is an L -structure, $M \cong N$, then $N \in K$.

Abstract elementary classes (AECs)

Definition (Continued)

3 Isomorphism axioms:

- a If $M \in K$, N is an L -structure, $M \cong N$, then $N \in K$.
- b Let $M_1, M_2, N_1, N_2 \in K$. If $f : M_1 \cong M_2$, $g : N_1 \cong N_2$, $g \supseteq f$ and $M_1 \leq_K N_1$, then $M_2 \leq_K N_2$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_1 & \xrightarrow{g} & N_2 \\ \leq_K \uparrow & & \leq_K \uparrow \cdots \\ M_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & M_2 \end{array}$$

Abstract elementary classes (AECs)

Definition (Continued)

- ④ Coherence: Let $M_1, M_2, M_3 \in K$. If $M_1 \leq_K M_3$, $M_2 \leq_K M_3$ and $M_1 \subseteq M_2$, then $M_1 \leq_K M_2$.

Abstract elementary classes (AECs)

Definition (Continued)

- 4 Coherence: Let $M_1, M_2, M_3 \in K$. If $M_1 \leq_K M_3$, $M_2 \leq_K M_3$ and $M_1 \subseteq M_2$, then $M_1 \leq_K M_2$.
- 5 Löwenheim-Skolem axiom: There exists an infinite cardinal $\lambda \geq |L(\mathbf{K})|$ such that: for any $M \in K$, $A \subseteq |M|$, there is some $N \in K$ with $A \subseteq |N|$, $N \leq_K M$ and $\|N\| \leq \lambda + |A|$. We call the minimum such λ the Löwenheim-Skolem number $LS(\mathbf{K})$.

Abstract elementary classes (AECs)

Definition (Continued)

- ④ Coherence: Let $M_1, M_2, M_3 \in K$. If $M_1 \leq_K M_3$, $M_2 \leq_K M_3$ and $M_1 \subseteq M_2$, then $M_1 \leq_K M_2$.
- ⑤ Löwenheim-Skolem axiom: There exists an infinite cardinal $\lambda \geq |L(\mathbf{K})|$ such that: for any $M \in K$, $A \subseteq |M|$, there is some $N \in K$ with $A \subseteq |N|$, $N \leq_K M$ and $\|N\| \leq \lambda + |A|$. We call the minimum such λ the Löwenheim-Skolem number $LS(\mathbf{K})$.
- ⑥ Chain axioms: Let α be an ordinal and $\langle M_i : i < \alpha \rangle \subseteq K$ such that for $i < j < \alpha$, $M_i \leq_K M_j$.
 - ① Then $M = \bigcup_{i < \alpha} M_i$ is in K and for all $i < \alpha$, $M_i \leq_K M$.
 - ② Let $N \in K$. If in addition for all $i < \alpha$, $M_i \leq_K N$, then $M \leq_K N$.

Abstract elementary classes (AECs)

Definition

\mathbf{K} has the *amalgamation property (AP)* if for any $M_0, M_1, M_2 \in K$ with $M_0 \leq_{\mathbf{K}} M_1$ and $M_0 \leq_{\mathbf{K}} M_2$, then there exist $M_3 \in K$ and \mathbf{K} -embeddings $f_1 : M_1 \xrightarrow{M_0} M_3$ and $f_2 : M_2 \xrightarrow{M_0} M_3$.

Abstract elementary classes (AECs)

Definition

\mathbf{K} has the *amalgamation property (AP)* if for any $M_0, M_1, M_2 \in K$ with $M_0 \leq_{\mathbf{K}} M_1$ and $M_0 \leq_{\mathbf{K}} M_2$, then there exist $M_3 \in K$ and \mathbf{K} -embeddings $f_1 : M_1 \xrightarrow{M_0} M_3$ and $f_2 : M_2 \xrightarrow{M_0} M_3$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_1 & \overset{f_1}{\dashrightarrow} & M_3 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow f_2 \\ M_0 & \longrightarrow & M_2 \end{array}$$

Abstract elementary classes (AECs)

Definition (Galois types)

Let $a_i \in N_i$ and $M_i \leq_K N_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. We define $(a_1, M_1, N_1) \sim (a_2, M_2, N_2)$ when $M_1 = M_2$ and there are $N \in K$, $f_i : N_i \xrightarrow{M_i} N$ such that $f_1(a_1) = f_2(a_2)$.

Abstract elementary classes (AECs)

Definition (Galois types)

Let $a_i \in N_i$ and $M_i \leq_K N_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. We define $(a_1, M_1, N_1) \sim (a_2, M_2, N_2)$ when $M_1 = M_2$ and there are $N \in K$, $f_i : N_i \xrightarrow{M_i} N$ such that $f_1(a_1) = f_2(a_2)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a_1 \in N_1 & \overset{f_1}{\dashrightarrow} & N \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow f_2 \\ M_1 = M_2 & \longrightarrow & N_2 \ni a_2 \end{array}$$

Abstract elementary classes (AECs)

Definition (Galois types)

Let $a_i \in N_i$ and $M_i \leq_{\mathbf{K}} N_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. We define $(a_1, M_1, N_1) \sim (a_2, M_2, N_2)$ when $M_1 = M_2$ and there are $N \in \mathbf{K}$, $f_i : N_i \rightarrow N$ such that $f_1(a_1) = f_2(a_2)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a_1 \in N_1 & \overset{f_1}{\dashrightarrow} & N \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow f_2 \\ M_1 = M_2 & \longrightarrow & N_2 \ni a_2 \end{array}$$

Take the transitive closure of \sim to \equiv . We define $\text{gtp}(a_1/M_1; N_1) = (a_1, M_1, N_1)/\equiv$. The *Galois types over M* is written as $\text{gS}(M) = \{(a, M, N)/\equiv : a \in N, M \leq_{\mathbf{K}} N\}$.

Abstract elementary classes (AECs)

Definition (Tameness)

- Let $p = \text{gtp}(a/M; N)$, $M_0 \leq M$ and $a \in N$. $p \upharpoonright M_0 = \text{gtp}(a/M_0; N)$.

Abstract elementary classes (AECs)

Definition (Tameness)

- Let $p = \text{gtp}(a/M; N)$, $M_0 \leq M$ and $a \in N$. $p \upharpoonright M_0 = \text{gtp}(a/M_0; N)$.
- Let κ be a cardinal. \mathbf{K} is κ -tame if for any Galois types $p \neq q$ both in $\text{gS}(M)$, there is $M_0 \leq M$, $\|M_0\| \leq \kappa$ such that $p \upharpoonright M_0 \neq q \upharpoonright M_0$.

Abstract elementary classes (AECs)

Definition (Tameness)

- Let $p = \text{gtp}(a/M; N)$, $M_0 \leq M$ and $a \in N$. $p \upharpoonright M_0 = \text{gtp}(a/M_0; N)$.
- Let κ be a cardinal. \mathbf{K} is κ -tame if for any Galois types $p \neq q$ both in $\text{gS}(M)$, there is $M_0 \leq M$, $\|M_0\| \leq \kappa$ such that $p \upharpoonright M_0 \neq q \upharpoonright M_0$.

First-order theories are ($< \aleph_0$)-tame!

Proof idea

Theorem (Proposition 4.1)

Let λ be an infinite cardinal and α be an ordinal with $\lambda \leq \alpha < (2^\lambda)^+$. Then there is a stable AEC \mathbf{K} such that $\text{LS}(\mathbf{K}) = \lambda$ and its first stability cardinal is $\beth_\alpha(\lambda)$. Moreover, \mathbf{K} is tame but fails AP.

Idea of the construction:

Proof idea

Theorem (Proposition 4.1)

Let λ be an infinite cardinal and α be an ordinal with $\lambda \leq \alpha < (2^\lambda)^+$. Then there is a stable AEC \mathbf{K} such that $\text{LS}(\mathbf{K}) = \lambda$ and its first stability cardinal is $\beth_\alpha(\lambda)$. Moreover, \mathbf{K} is tame but fails AP.

Idea of the construction:

- Encode $\alpha < (2^\lambda)^+$ with $\text{LS}(\mathbf{K}) = \lambda$;

Proof idea

Theorem (Proposition 4.1)

Let λ be an infinite cardinal and α be an ordinal with $\lambda \leq \alpha < (2^\lambda)^+$. Then there is a stable AEC \mathbf{K} such that $\text{LS}(\mathbf{K}) = \lambda$ and its first stability cardinal is $\beth_\alpha(\lambda)$. Moreover, \mathbf{K} is tame but fails AP.

Idea of the construction:

- Encode $\alpha < (2^\lambda)^+$ with $\text{LS}(\mathbf{K}) = \lambda$;
- Build the cumulative hierarchy using α as base;

Proof idea

Theorem (Proposition 4.1)

Let λ be an infinite cardinal and α be an ordinal with $\lambda \leq \alpha < (2^\lambda)^+$. Then there is a stable AEC \mathbf{K} such that $\text{LS}(\mathbf{K}) = \lambda$ and its first stability cardinal is $\beth_\alpha(\lambda)$. Moreover, \mathbf{K} is tame but fails AP.

Idea of the construction:

- Encode $\alpha < (2^\lambda)^+$ with $\text{LS}(\mathbf{K}) = \lambda$;
- Build the cumulative hierarchy using α as base;
- Check instability below $\beth_\alpha(\lambda)$ and stability at $\beth_\alpha(\lambda)$.

Proof idea

Theorem (Proposition 4.1)

Let λ be an infinite cardinal and α be an ordinal with $\lambda \leq \alpha < (2^\lambda)^+$. Then there is a stable AEC \mathbf{K} such that $\text{LS}(\mathbf{K}) = \lambda$ and its first stability cardinal is $\beth_\alpha(\lambda)$. Moreover, \mathbf{K} is tame but fails AP.

Idea of the construction:

- Encode $\alpha < (2^\lambda)^+$ with $\text{LS}(\mathbf{K}) = \lambda$;
- Build the cumulative hierarchy using α as base;
- Check instability below $\beth_\alpha(\lambda)$ and stability at $\beth_\alpha(\lambda)$.
- \mathbf{K} is $EC(\lambda, 2^\lambda)$ ordered by $L(\mathbf{K})$ -substructure.

Proof idea

Theorem (Proposition 4.1)

Let λ be an infinite cardinal and α be an ordinal with $\lambda \leq \alpha < (2^\lambda)^+$. Then there is a stable AEC \mathbf{K} such that $\text{LS}(\mathbf{K}) = \lambda$ and its first stability cardinal is $\beth_\alpha(\lambda)$. Moreover, \mathbf{K} is tame but fails AP.

Idea of the construction:

- Encode $\alpha < (2^\lambda)^+$ with $\text{LS}(\mathbf{K}) = \lambda$;
- Build the cumulative hierarchy using α as base;
- Check instability below $\beth_\alpha(\lambda)$ and stability at $\beth_\alpha(\lambda)$.
- \mathbf{K} is $EC(\lambda, 2^\lambda)$ ordered by $L(\mathbf{K})$ -substructure.
 - ▶ $(< \aleph_0)$ -tameness;

Proof idea

Theorem (Proposition 4.1)

Let λ be an infinite cardinal and α be an ordinal with $\lambda \leq \alpha < (2^\lambda)^+$. Then there is a stable AEC \mathbf{K} such that $\text{LS}(\mathbf{K}) = \lambda$ and its first stability cardinal is $\beth_\alpha(\lambda)$. Moreover, \mathbf{K} is tame but fails AP.

Idea of the construction:

- Encode $\alpha < (2^\lambda)^+$ with $\text{LS}(\mathbf{K}) = \lambda$;
- Build the cumulative hierarchy using α as base;
- Check instability below $\beth_\alpha(\lambda)$ and stability at $\beth_\alpha(\lambda)$.
- \mathbf{K} is $EC(\lambda, 2^\lambda)$ ordered by $L(\mathbf{K})$ -substructure.
 - ▶ $(< \aleph_0)$ -tameness;
 - ▶ Galois types are quantifier-free types.

Proof idea

Theorem (Proposition 4.1)

Let λ be an infinite cardinal and α be an ordinal with $\lambda \leq \alpha < (2^\lambda)^+$. Then there is a stable AEC \mathbf{K} such that $\text{LS}(\mathbf{K}) = \lambda$ and its first stability cardinal is $\beth_\alpha(\lambda)$. Moreover, \mathbf{K} is tame but fails AP.

Idea of the construction:

- Encode $\alpha < (2^\lambda)^+$ with $\text{LS}(\mathbf{K}) = \lambda$;
- Build the cumulative hierarchy using α as base;
- Check instability below $\beth_\alpha(\lambda)$ and stability at $\beth_\alpha(\lambda)$.
- \mathbf{K} is $EC(\lambda, 2^\lambda)$ ordered by $L(\mathbf{K})$ -substructure.
 - ▶ $(< \aleph_0)$ -tameness;
 - ▶ Galois types are quantifier-free types.
 \implies This ruins AP!

Possible directions

- 1 Refine our examples (e.g. change the substructure relation);

Possible directions

- 1 Refine our examples (e.g. change the substructure relation);
- 2 Lower the bound of the first stability cardinal below $\beth_{(2^{\text{LS}(\mathbb{K}))^+}$:
 - 1 Find a substitute of Galois types?

Possible directions

- 1 Refine our examples (e.g. change the substructure relation);
- 2 Lower the bound of the first stability cardinal below $\beth_{(2^{\text{LS}(\mathbf{K})})^+}$:
 - 1 Find a substitute of Galois types?
 - 2 Investigate the notion of “order property”.

Definition

Let μ be a cardinal. \mathbf{K} has the *order property of length μ* if there exist $\langle a_i : i < \mu \rangle$, $M \leq_{\mathbf{K}} N$ such that for $i_0 < i_1$ and $j_0 < j_1$, we have $\text{gtp}(a_{i_0} a_{i_1} / M; N) \neq \text{gtp}(a_{j_1} a_{j_0} / M; N)$.

References

- Samson Leung, Hanf number of the first stability cardinal in AECs, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 174 (2), 103201
- Sebastien Vasey, Infinitary stability theory, *Archive for Mathematical Logic* 55 (2016), nos. 3-4, 562–592
- Sebastien Vasey, Shelah's eventual categoricity conjecture in universal classes: part I, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 168 (2017), no. 9, 1609–1642