The addition principle

The addition principle, which is really just a formalization of the common-sense idea of what addition means, says:

If a task can be done using either of two different methods (but not both methods simultaneously), the first of which can be done in \( m \) ways and the second of which can be done in \( n \) ways, then there are \( m + n \) different ways of completing the task.

One application of the addition principle is in counting the number of elements in the union of two disjoint sets, \( A \) and \( B \). The “task” to be performed is to choose an element from the union \( A \cup B \).

This can be done in either of two different methods: either choose an element of \( A \), or choose an element of \( B \). If we let \( m = |A| \) and \( n = |B| \), then there are \( m \) ways to choose an element of \( A \) and \( n \) ways to choose an element of \( B \), so the total number of ways to choose an element of \( A \cup B \) (in other words, the number of elements of \( A \cup B \)) is \( m + n \), or \(|A| + |B|\). So:

If \( A \) and \( B \) are disjoint sets (that is, \( A \cap B = \emptyset \)), then

\[
|A \cup B| = |A| + |B|.
\]

The principle of inclusion–exclusion

If we attempt to use the addition principle to find \(|A \cup B|\) for two sets \( A \) and \( B \) that are not disjoint, we will have overcounted. This is because the elements in the intersection \( A \cap B \) will be counted twice—once for being an element of \( A \) and once for being an element of \( B \). To correct for this overcounting, we need to subtract \(|A \cap B|\). This gives the following formula, which is often called the principle of inclusion–exclusion:

If \( A \) and \( B \) are sets, then

\[
|A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cap B|.
\]

We can also use this equation to get an expression for \(|A \cap B|\). Let’s add the quantity \(|A \cap B|\) to both sides; this gives us

\[
|A \cup B| + |A \cap B| = |A| + |B|.
\]

Now subtracting \(|A \cup B|\) from both sides produces the desired formula:

\[
|A \cap B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cup B|.
\]

Note that this is exactly the same as the formula for \(|A \cup B|\), except that the symbols \( \cup \) and \( \cap \) have been switched.

The multiplication principle

Like the addition principle, the multiplication principle is a formalization of common sense. In this case, we are formalizing the common-sense idea of what multiplication means. The multiplication principle says:

If a task can be broken up into two steps, the first of which can be done in \( m \) ways and the second of which can be done in \( n \) ways (regardless of how the first step is done), then there are \( mn \) different ways of completing the task.

The multiplication principle can easily be extended to tasks that require more than two steps. For example, if a task can be broken up into three steps, the first of which can be done in \( m \) ways, the second of which can be done in \( n \) ways (regardless of how the first step is done), and the third of which can be done in \( p \) ways (regardless of how the first and second steps are done), then there are \( mnp \) different ways of completing the task.
Choosing with replacement, order important

Suppose we have a set of \( n \) objects, and we want to repeatedly choose an element of the set, \( r \) times in all, with replacement (meaning that, after we choose an element, we put it back into the set, so the same element may be chosen more than once). Suppose also that the order in which we choose the \( r \) elements is important (so choosing the element 1 first and the element 2 second should be considered to be different from choosing the element 2 first and the element 1 second). For example, perhaps we are choosing a six-digit identification number for a new product, where each of the digits can be 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, or 9 (leading zeroes are allowed).

We can view this as a task to be performed, which is broken up into \( r \) steps: making the first choice, making the second choice, and so on. Since there are \( n \) objects in the set, each of these choices can be made in \( n \) different ways. By the multiplication principle, then, the number of ways to perform the task is \( n \times n \times n \times \cdots \times n \), with \( r \) factors of \( n \); this can also be written as \( n^r \). Hence:

The number of ways to choose \( r \) elements from a set of \( n \) objects, with replacement, when the order of the choices is important, is \( n^r \).

Permutations

A permutation of a set of \( n \) objects is a particular rearrangement or ordering of the elements of the set. For example, the permutations of the set \{1, 2, 3\} are 1, 2, 3; 1, 3, 2; 2, 1, 3; 2, 3, 1; 3, 1, 2; and 3, 2, 1.

To count the number of permutations of a set of \( n \) objects, we again view it as a task to be performed and use the multiplication principle. The task can be broken up into \( n \) steps: choosing the first element of the permutation, choosing the second element of the permutation, and so on. Note that once we have chosen an element, we cannot choose it again, because each element appears only once in the permutation. So there are \( n \) different ways to make the first choice, \( n - 1 \) different ways to make the second choice, \( n - 2 \) different ways to make the third choice, and so on; there will be only 2 ways to make the second-to-last choice, and only 1 way to make the last choice. Therefore:

The number of permutations of a set of \( n \) objects is

\[
\frac{n!}{(n-r)!}.
\]

Note that 0! is defined to be 1. (There are good reasons for this.)

Choosing without replacement, order important

Suppose we have a set of \( n \) objects, and we want to choose \( r \) of them, without replacement (so no element can be chosen twice). Suppose also that the order in which the elements are chosen is important. For example, perhaps we are judging submissions to an essay contest and must choose the first place winner, the second place winner, and the third place winner.

Viewing this as a task to be performed, and breaking it up into \( r \) steps (making the first choice, making the second choice, and so on), we see that there are \( n \) different ways to make the first choice, \( n - 1 \) different ways to make the second choice, \( n - 2 \) different ways to make the third choice, and so on; there will be only \( r \) factors in the multiplication. The last factor will be \( n - r + 1 \) (not \( n - r \); why?). Therefore:

The number of ways to choose \( r \) elements from a set of \( n \) objects, without replacement, when the order of the choices is important, is

\[
n(n-1)(n-2)\cdots(n-r+1) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!}.
\]

This is sometimes called “the number of permutations of \( n \) objects taken \( r \) at a time,” and is written with the symbol \( nP_r \) or \( P(n,r) \).
Combinations (choosing without replacement, order not important)

Suppose, as above, that we have a set of \( n \) objects from which we want to choose \( r \) elements without replacement, but this time suppose that the order in which the elements are chosen is \textit{not} important. For example, perhaps we are dealing a poker player a hand of five cards from a 52-card deck. Or perhaps, in more abstract terms, we are choosing a subset of size \( r \) from a larger set of size \( n \).

We know that \( n_{P_r} \) is the number of ways to do this if order is important. However, each individual subset of size \( r \) has \( r! \) permutations, so \( n_{P_r} \) will count it \( r! \) times. Therefore, if we don’t care about the order of the choices, we must divide \( n_{P_r} \) by \( r! \) to correct for the overcounting. So:

\[
\frac{n_{P_r}}{r!} = \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}.
\]

This number comes up so frequently that it has a special notation: \( \binom{n}{r} \), which is read “\( n \) choose \( r \).” The formula for \( \binom{n}{r} \) is, as noted above,

\[
\binom{n}{r} = \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}.
\]

This is sometimes called “the number of combinations of \( n \) objects taken \( r \) at a time,” and is sometimes written with the symbol \( n_{C_r} \).

Choosing with replacement, order not important

We have seen that, when counting the number of ways to make a sequence of choices, it is essential to know whether replacement is or is not allowed and whether the order in which the elements are chosen does not matter. Clearly there is only one way to do this—choose all \( n \) elements of the set. So \( \binom{n}{n} \) should be 1. If we plug in \( n = 5 \) and \( r = 5 \) into the formula above, we get 0! as part of the denominator; the only way the formula will give us the “right” answer is if 0! = 1.

The pigeonhole principle

The pigeonhole principle, like the other “principles” described above, is just a formalization of a common-sense idea. However, despite its apparent obviousness, the pigeonhole principle is often a very useful tool, so it is worthwhile to state it explicitly. The pigeonhole principle says:

If we are placing objects in boxes and there are more objects than boxes, then at least one of the boxes must receive at least two objects.